

This means that the measures $\mu_k = f_k \mathbb{L}^1$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, weakly converge to $\mu = \delta_0$, the Dirac measure in 0. But $\delta_0 \perp \mathbb{L}^1$.

Conclusion: Bounded sequences in \mathbb{L}^1 need not have weakly converging sub-sequences in \mathbb{L}^1 .

Approximation and Compactness in $BV(A)$

We start from the lower semi-continuity

Theorem 1 Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and let $f_k \in BV(A)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence such that $f_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} f$ in $L^1_{loc}(A)$. Then

$$\|Df\|(A) \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Df_k\|(A).$$

Proof. Let $\varphi \in C_c^1(A; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_A f(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) dx &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \int_A f_k(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) dx \\ &\leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \|Df_k\|(A), \end{aligned}$$

□

Now we discuss the approximation by smooth functions.

Theorem 2 Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set and let $f \in BV(A)$.

Then there exists a sequence of functions $f_k \in BV(A) \cap C^\infty(A)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, such that:

- (1) $f_k \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} f$ in $L^1(A)$;
- (2) $\|Df_k\|(A) \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} \|Df\|(A)$.

Proof. We prove the theorem when $A = \mathbb{R}^n$.

For the general case see [EG] p. 172.

Let $\eta \in C_c^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ function such that $0 \leq \eta \leq 1$, $\text{supp}(\eta) \subset B_1(0)$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta \, dx = 1$. For $\varepsilon > 0$ let

We also assume:

$$\eta_\varepsilon(x) = \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \eta\left(\frac{x}{\varepsilon}\right) \quad \eta(x) = \eta(-x)$$

Define the functions

$$f_\varepsilon(x) = \eta_\varepsilon * f(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) f(y) \, dy.$$

It is well known that $f_\varepsilon \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n) \cap L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and that

$$f_\varepsilon \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} f \quad \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

By lower semicontinuity, it follows that

$$\|Df\|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq \liminf_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \|Df_\varepsilon\|(\mathbb{R}^n).$$

Now let $\varphi \in C_c^1(\mathbb{R}^n; \mathbb{R}^n)$ be such that $\|\varphi\|_\infty \leq 1$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_\varepsilon(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) \, dx &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) f(y) \, dy \right) \, dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) \, dx \right) \, dy \\ &= - \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla_x \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) \cdot \varphi(x) \, dx \right) \, dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \sum_{i=1}^n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left(\eta_\varepsilon(x-y) \right) \varphi_i(x) dx dy \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \left(\underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial y_i} \left(\underbrace{\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) \varphi_i(x) dx}_{\parallel} \right)}_{\parallel} \right) dy \\
&\quad \underbrace{\qquad\qquad\qquad}_{\parallel} \varphi'_{i,\varepsilon}(y) \\
&\quad \underbrace{\qquad\qquad\qquad}_{\parallel} \operatorname{div} \varphi_\varepsilon(y) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \operatorname{div} \varphi_\varepsilon(y) dy .
\end{aligned}$$

Notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
|\varphi_\varepsilon(y)| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_\varepsilon(y-x) \varphi(x) dx \right| \leq \\
&\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_\varepsilon(y-x) |\varphi(x)| dx \leq 1 \quad \forall y \in \mathbb{R}^n .
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f_\varepsilon(x) \operatorname{div} \varphi(x) dx = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} f(y) \operatorname{div} \varphi_\varepsilon(y) dy \leq \|Df\|(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

and thus $\|Df_\varepsilon\|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq \|Df\|(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

This implies

$$\limsup_{\varepsilon \downarrow 0} \|Df_\varepsilon\|(\mathbb{R}^n) \leq \|Df\|(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

□

Historical comment

De Giorgi's definition of the $BV(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space.

Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and consider the problem

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \Delta u & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty) \\ u(x, 0) = f(x) & \text{in } L^1(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{cases}$$

The solution u satisfies $u \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, \infty))$ and

$$\lim_{t \downarrow 0} \|u(x, t) - f(x)\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0.$$

In fact, the solution is unique and is

$$u(x, t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{1}{(4\pi t)^{n/2}} e^{-\frac{|x-y|^2}{4t}} f(y) dy.$$

Consider

$$\phi(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla u(x, t)| dx, \quad t > 0$$

De Giorgi noticed that $t \mapsto \phi(t)$ is decreasing.
Then the limit

$$\|Df\|(\mathbb{R}^n) := \lim_{t \downarrow 0} \phi(t) \in [0, \infty]$$

does exist.

Definition Let $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we say that $f \in BV(\mathbb{R}^n)$ if the limit is finite.

This definition is equivalent to the variational definition.

Compactness theorem

Definition We say that a (bounded) open set $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ has Lipschitz boundary if for any $x \in \partial A$ there is $r > 0$, there is an isometry $T: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$, there is $B \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}$ open and there is $\varphi: B \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ Lipschitz such that

$$T(\partial A \cap B_r(x)) = \{(x', \varphi(x')) \in \mathbb{R}^n : x' \in B\}.$$

Theorem Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be an open set with Lipschitz boundary. Let $f_k \in BV(A)$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, be a sequence such that

$$M = \sup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \|f_k\|_{L^1(A)} + \|Df_k\|(A) < \infty.$$

Then there is $f \in BV(A)$ and a subsequence $\{f_{k_j}\}_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$f_{k_j} \xrightarrow{j \rightarrow \infty} f \text{ in } L^1(A).$$

Example. For $k \geq 1$ let $A_k = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : \frac{1}{2k+1} < |x| < \frac{1}{2k}\}$ and let $A = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} A_k$. A is open, bounded.

But at $0 \in \partial A$, ∂A is not (locally) the graph of a Lipschitz function.

We have

$$L^n(A) = \text{dn} \left(\left(\frac{1}{2k}\right)^n - \left(\frac{1}{2k+1}\right)^n \right) := c_k \xrightarrow{k \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

Let $f_k: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the function

$$f_k(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{c_k} & \text{if } x \in A_k \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then we have $\|Df_k\|(A) = 0$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\|f_k\|_{L^1(A)} = 1$. But $(f_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ has no converging subsequence in $L^1(A)$.

Proof We prove the theorem in the following simplified situation: $A = \mathbb{R}^n$ and there is $R > 0$ such that $\text{spt}(f_k) \subset B_R(0)$ for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Let $\mathcal{F} = \{f_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$. We claim that \mathcal{F} is totally bounded in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Because $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is complete, it follows that \mathcal{F} is precompact in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ (i.e., $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ is compact in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$).

Fix $\varepsilon > 0$ and consider $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon = \{f_\varepsilon; f \in \mathcal{F}\}$ where

$$f_\varepsilon(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) f(y) dy.$$

We have for all $f \in \mathcal{F}$

- $\text{spt } f_\varepsilon \subset B_{R+\varepsilon}(0)$;
- $|f_\varepsilon(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \max_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\eta| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| dy \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^n} \max_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\eta| M$;
- $|Df_\varepsilon(x)| \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n+1}} \max_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D\eta| \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(y)| dy \leq \frac{1}{\varepsilon^{n+1}} \max_{\mathbb{R}^n} |D\eta| M$.

Then \mathcal{F}_ε is equicontinuous and equibounded.

By Ascoli-Arzelà theorem \mathcal{F}_ε is totally bounded for the sup-norm. Then \mathcal{F}_ε is totally bounded for the $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$ -norm.

(Here we use the fact that functions have uniformly bounded support).

Now we show that \mathcal{F}_ε is "uniformly close" to \mathcal{F} in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.
 Let $f \in \mathcal{F}$ and assume for a while that $f \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Then

$$\begin{aligned} f_\varepsilon(x) - f(x) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta_\varepsilon(x-y) f(y) dy - f(x) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta(z) (f(x-\varepsilon z) - f(x)) dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta(z) \int_0^1 \frac{d}{dt} (f(x-t\varepsilon z)) dt dz \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta(z) \int_0^1 Df(x-t\varepsilon z) \cdot (-\varepsilon z) dt dz, \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f_\varepsilon(x) - f(x)| dx &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \eta(z) \int_0^1 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Df(x-t\varepsilon z)| |z| dx dt dz \\ &\leq \varepsilon \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |Df(x)| dx = \varepsilon \|Df\|(\mathbb{R}^n). \end{aligned}$$

By the approximation theorem, this inequality holds for any $f \in BV$.
 The conclusion is

$$\sup_{f \in \mathcal{F}} \|f_\varepsilon - f\|_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \varepsilon M.$$

We prove the main claim, fix $\delta > 0$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$
 be such that $\varepsilon M < \delta/3$.

\mathcal{F}_ε totally bounded in $L^1(\mathbb{R}^n) \Rightarrow \exists f_1, \dots, f_N \in \mathcal{F}$ such that
 $\mathcal{F}_\varepsilon \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^N B_{L^1(\mathbb{R}^n)}(f_i, \delta/3)$