Dijkstra's algorithm, discussion

algorithm complexity: n nodes

- each iteration: need to check all nodes, w, not in N
- n(n+1)/2 comparisons: O(n²)
- more efficient implementations possible: O(nlogn)

oscillations possible:

e.g., support link cost equals amount of carried traffic:



Bellman-Ford equation (dynamic programming)

let

 $d_x(y) := cost of least-cost path from x to y then$

 $d_{x}(y) = \min_{v} \{c(x,v) + d_{v}(y) \}$ cost from neighbor v to destination y cost to neighbor v min taken over all neighbors v of x

Bellman-Ford example



clearly, $d_v(z) = 5$, $d_x(z) = 3$, $d_w(z) = 3$ B-F equation says: $d_u(z) = \min \{ c(u,v) + d_v(z), c(u,x) + d_x(z), c(u,w) + d_w(z), c(u,w) + d_w(z) \}$ $= \min \{2 + 5, 1 + 3, 5 + 3\} = 4$

node achieving minimum is next hop in shortest path, used in forwarding table

- * $D_x(y)$ = estimate of least cost from x to y
 - x maintains distance vector $D_x = [D_x(y): y \in N]$
- node x:
 - knows cost to each neighbor v: c(x,v)
 - maintains its neighbors' distance vectors. For each neighbor v, x maintains
 D_v = [D_v(y): y ∈ N]

key idea:

- from time-to-time, each node sends its own distance vector estimate to neighbors
- when x receives new DV estimate from neighbor, it updates its own DV using B-F equation:

 $D_x(y) \leftarrow min_v \{c(x,v) + D_v(y)\}$ for each node $y \in N$

* under minor, natural conditions, the estimate $D_x(y)$ converge to the actual least cost $d_x(y)$

iterative, asynchronous:

each local iteration caused by:

- local link cost change
- DV update message from neighbor

distributed:

- each node notifies neighbors *only* when its DV changes
 - neighbors then notify their neighbors if necessary

each node:







Network Layer 4-8

Distance vector: link cost changes

link cost changes:

- node detects local link cost change
- bad news travels slow "count to infinity" problem!
- 44 iterations before algorithm stabilizes: see text

poisoned reverse:

- ✤ If Z routes through Y to get to X :
 - Z tells Y its (Z's) distance to X is infinite (so Y won't route to X via Z)



Comparison of LS and DV algorithms

message complexity

- LS: with n nodes, E links, O(nE) msgs sent
- DV: exchange between neighbors only
 - convergence time varies

speed of convergence

- LS: O(n²) algorithm requires O(nE) msgs
 - may have oscillations
- **DV:** convergence time varies
 - may be routing loops
 - count-to-infinity problem

robustness: what happens if router malfunctions?

LS:

- node can advertise incorrect link cost
- each node computes only its own table

DV:

- DV node can advertise incorrect path cost
- each node's table used by others
 - error propagate thru network

Chapter 4: outline

- 4.1 introduction
- 4.2 virtual circuit and datagram networks
- 4.3 what's inside a router
- 4.4 IP: Internet Protocol
 - datagram format
 - IPv4 addressing
 - ICMP
 - IPv6

4.5 routing algorithms

- link state
- distance vector
- hierarchical routing
- 4.6 routing in the Internet
 - RIP
 - OSPF
 - BGP
- 4.7 broadcast and multicast routing

Hierarchical routing

our routing study thus far - idealization
all routers identical
network "flat"
... not true in practice

scale: with 600 million destinations:

- can't store all dest's in routing tables!
- routing table exchange would swamp links!

administrative autonomy

- internet = network of networks
- each network admin may want to control routing in its own network

Hierarchical routing

- collect routers into regions, "autonomous systems" (AS)
- Each AS within an ISP
 - ISP may consist of one or more ASes

- routers in same AS run same routing protocol
 - "intra-AS" routing protocol
 - routers in different AS can run different intra-AS routing protocol

gateway router:

- at "edge" of its own AS
- has link to router in another AS

Interconnected ASes



- forwarding table configured by both intraand inter-AS routing algorithm
 - intra-AS sets entries for internal dests
 - inter-AS & intra-AS sets entries for external dests

Inter-AS tasks

- suppose router in ASI receives datagram destined outside of ASI:
 - router should forward packet to gateway router, but which one?

ASI must:

- learn which dests are reachable through AS2, which through AS3
- propagate this reachability info to all routers in ASI

job of inter-AS routing!



Example: setting forwarding table in router Id

- suppose ASI learns (via inter-AS protocol) that subnet x reachable via AS3 (gateway Ic), but not via AS2
 - inter-AS protocol propagates reachability info to all internal routers
- router Id determines from intra-AS routing info that its interface I is on the least cost path to Ic
 - installs forwarding table entry (x,l)



Example: choosing among multiple ASes

- now suppose ASI learns from inter-AS protocol that subnet
 x is reachable from AS3 and from AS2.
- to configure forwarding table, router 1d must determine towards which gateway it should forward packets for dest x
 - this is also job of inter-AS routing protocol!



Example: choosing among multiple ASes

- now suppose ASI learns from inter-AS protocol that subnet
 x is reachable from AS3 and from AS2.
- to configure forwarding table, router 1d must determine towards which gateway it should forward packets for dest x
 - this is also job of inter-AS routing protocol!
- hot potato routing: send packet towards closest of two routers.



Chapter 4: outline

- 4.1 introduction
- 4.2 virtual circuit and datagram networks
- 4.3 what's inside a router
- 4.4 IP: Internet Protocol
 - datagram format
 - IPv4 addressing
 - ICMP
 - IPv6

4.5 routing algorithms

- link state
- distance vector
- hierarchical routing
- 4.6 routing in the Internet
 - RIP
 - OSPF
 - BGP
- 4.7 broadcast and multicast routing

Intra-AS Routing

- Also known as interior gateway protocols (IGP)
- most common intra-AS routing protocols:
 - RIP: Routing Information Protocol
 - OSPF: Open Shortest Path First
 - IGRP: Interior Gateway Routing Protocol (Cisco proprietary)

RIP (Routing Information Protocol)

- included in BSD-UNIX distribution in 1982
- distance vector algorithm
 - distance metric: # hops (max = 15 hops), each link has cost 1
 - DVs exchanged with neighbors every 30 sec in response message (aka advertisement)
 - each advertisement: list of up to 25 destination subnets (in IP addressing sense)



from router A to destination subnets:

<u>subnet</u>	<u>hops</u>
u	1
V	2
W	2
Х	3
у	3
Z	2

RIP: link failure, recovery

if no advertisement heard after 180 sec --> neighbor/link declared dead

- routes via neighbor invalidated
- new advertisements sent to neighbors
- neighbors in turn send out new advertisements (if tables changed)
- Ink failure info quickly (?) propagates to entire net
- poison reverse used to prevent ping-pong loops (infinite distance = 16 hops)

RIP table processing

- RIP routing tables managed by application-level process called route-d (daemon)
- advertisements sent in UDP packets, periodically repeated



OSPF (Open Shortest Path First)

- * "open": publicly available
- uses link state algorithm
 - LS packet dissemination
 - topology map at each node
 - route computation using Dijkstra's algorithm
- OSPF advertisement carries one entry per neighbor
- advertisements flooded to entire AS
 - carried in OSPF messages directly over IP (rather than TCP or UDP)
- * IS-IS routing protocol: nearly identical to OSPF

OSPF "advanced" features (not in RIP)

- security: all OSPF messages authenticated (to prevent malicious intrusion)
- multiple same-cost paths allowed (only one path in RIP)
- for each link, multiple cost metrics for different TOS (e.g., satellite link cost set "low" for best effort ToS; high for real time ToS)
- integrated uni- and multicast support:
 - Multicast OSPF (MOSPF) uses same topology data base as OSPF
- hierarchical OSPF in large domains.

Internet inter-AS routing: BGP

- BGP (Border Gateway Protocol): the de facto inter-domain routing protocol
 - "glue that holds the Internet together"
- BGP provides each AS a means to:
 - obtain subnet reachability information from neighboring AS's: eBGP
 - propagate reachability information to all AS-internal routers: iBGP
 - determine "good" routes to other networks based on reachability information and policy.
- allows subnet to advertise its existence to rest of Internet: "1 am here"

BGP basics

- BGP session: two BGP routers ("peers") exchange BGP messages:
 - advertising paths to different destination network prefixes ("path vector" protocol)
 - exchanged over semi-permanent TCP connections
- when AS3 advertises a prefix to ASI:
 - AS3 promises it will forward datagrams towards that prefix
 - AS3 can aggregate prefixes in its advertisement



BGP basics: distributing path information

- using eBGP session between 3a and 1c, AS3 sends prefix reachability info to AS1.
 - Ic can then use iBGP do distribute new prefix info to all routers in ASI
 - Ib can then re-advertise new reachability info to AS2 over Ib-to-2a eBGP session
- when router learns of new prefix, it creates entry for prefix in its forwarding table.



Path attributes and BGP routes

- advertised prefix includes BGP attributes
 - prefix + attributes = "route"
- * two important attributes:
 - AS-PATH: contains ASs through which prefix advertisement has passed: e.g., AS 67, AS 17
 - NEXT-HOP: the IP address of the router interface that begins the AS PATH.
- gateway router receiving route advertisement uses import policy to accept/decline
 - e.g., never route through AS x
 - policy-based routing

Putting it Altogether: How Does an Entry Get Into a Router's Forwarding Table?

Answer is complicated!

Ties together hierarchical routing (Section 4.5.3) with BGP (4.6.3) and OSPF (4.6.2).

Provides nice overview of BGP!

- A,B,C are provider networks
- X,W,Y are customer (of provider networks)
- * X is dual-homed: attached to two networks
 - X does not want to route from B via X to C
 - .. so X will not advertise to B a route to C

provider

network

customer network:

Why different Intra-, Inter-AS routing ?

policy:

- inter-AS: admin wants control over how its traffic routed, who routes through its net.
- Intra-AS: single admin, so no policy decisions needed scale:
- hierarchical routing saves table size, reduced update traffic

performance:

- intra-AS: can focus on performance
- inter-AS: policy may dominate over performance

Chapter 4: outline

- 4.1 introduction
- 4.2 virtual circuit and datagram networks
- 4.3 what's inside a router
- 4.4 IP: Internet Protocol
 - datagram format
 - IPv4 addressing
 - ICMP
 - IPv6

4.5 routing algorithms

- link state
- distance vector
- hierarchical routing
- 4.6 routing in the Internet
 - RIP
 - OSPF
 - BGP

4.7 broadcast and multicast routing

Broadcast routing

deliver packets from source to all other nodes
source duplication is inefficient:



source duplication: how does source determine recipient addresses?

In-network duplication

- flooding: when node receives broadcast packet, sends copy to all neighbors
 - problems: cycles & broadcast storm
- controlled flooding: node only broadcasts pkt if it hasn't broadcast same packet before
 - node keeps track of packet ids already broadacsted
 - or reverse path forwarding (RPF): only forward packet if it arrived on shortest path between node and source
- spanning tree:
 - no redundant packets received by any node

Spanning tree

- first construct a spanning tree
- nodes then forward/make copies only along spanning tree



(a) broadcast initiated at A



Spanning tree: creation

- center node
- each node sends unicast join message to center node
 - message forwarded until it arrives at a node already belonging to spanning tree



(a) stepwise construction of spanning tree (center: E)



(b) constructed spanning tree

Multicast routing: problem statement

goal: find a tree (or trees) connecting routers having local mcast group members

- tree: not all paths between routers used
- shared-tree: same tree used by all group members
- * source-based: different tree from each sender to rcvrs



source-based trees



Reverse path forwarding

rely on router's knowledge of unicast shortest path from it to sender

each router has simple forwarding behavior:

if (mcast datagram received on incoming link on shortest path back to center)
 then flood datagram onto all outgoing links
 else ignore datagram

Reverse path forwarding: example



LEGEND



router with attached group member



router with no attached group member

datagram will be forwarded

datagram will not be forwarded

result is a source-specific reverse SPT

may be a bad choice with asymmetric links

Center-based trees

- single delivery tree shared by all
- one router identified as "center" of tree
- to join:
 - edge router sends unicast join-msg addressed to center router
 - join-msg "processed" by intermediate routers and forwarded towards center
 - join-msg either hits existing tree branch for this center, or arrives at center
 - path taken by join-msg becomes new branch of tree for this router

Center-based trees: example

suppose R6 chosen as center:



LEGEND

- router with attached group member
- X
- router with no attached group member
- path order in which join messages generated

Chapter 4: done!

- 4.1 introduction
- 4.2 virtual circuit and datagram networks
- 4.3 what's inside a router
- 4.4 IP: Internet Protocol
 - datagram format, IPv4 addressing, ICMP, IPv6

4.5 routing algorithms

- link state, distance vector, hierarchical routing
- 4.6 routing in the Internet
 - RIP, OSPF, BGP
- 4.7 broadcast and multicast routing
- understand principles behind network layer services:
 - network layer service models, forwarding versus routing how a router works, routing (path selection), broadcast, multicast
- instantiation, implementation in the Internet