

**Introduzione alle equazioni alle derivate parziali,
Laurea Magistrale in Matematica, A.A. 2013/2014**

**Maximum principle for parabolic operators: an application
to semilinear equations**

Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a open set , $T > 0$ and $u_t + Lu$ a parabolic operator for which weak maximum principle holds (e.g we can think of $u_t - \Delta u$).

We want to study some qualitative properties of solutions to the semilinear Cauchy Dirichlet problem

$$(C) \begin{cases} u_t + Lu = F(u) & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty) \\ u(x, t) = g(x, t) & x \in \partial\Omega \ t \in (0, +\infty) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & x \in \bar{\Omega}. \end{cases}$$

The operator is $u_t + L(u) - F(u)$, which is semilinear, so in general we cannot expect to apply all the theory developed for linear operators.

We will assume the following condition on the reaction term F .

Assumption 1. Let $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a locally Lipschitz continuous function, i.e. for every K compact of \mathbb{R} there exists $L_K > 0$ such that

$$|F(a) - F(b)| \leq L_K |a - b| \quad \forall a, b \in K.$$

Comparison principle

Proposition 1 (Comparison principle). *Let $u, v \in C^{2,1}(\Omega_T) \cap C(\bar{\Omega}_T)$ such that $u_t + Lu \leq F(u)$ and $v_t + Lv \geq F(v)$ in Ω_T .*

Then if $u \leq v$ on ∂^Ω_T, $u \leq v$ in $\bar{\Omega}_T$.*

Proof. Define $C_T = \max_{\bar{\Omega}_T} (|u| + |v|)$ (this exists finite since u, v are continuous and $\bar{\Omega}_T$ is compact). Define now

$$c_T(x, t) = \begin{cases} \frac{F(u(x, t)) - F(v(x, t))}{u(x, t) - v(x, t)} & (x, t) \in \Omega_T \ u(x, t) \neq v(x, t) \\ 0 & (x, t) \in \Omega_T \ u(x, t) = v(x, t). \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Note that, since F is Lipschitz in the compact set $[-C_T, +C_T]$, then $c_T(x, t)$ is bounded (and its sup norm is given by the Lipschitz constant of F in $[-C_T, +C_T]$).

Define $w = u - v$. It satisfies $w_t + Lw \leq F(u) - F(v) = c_T(x, t)w$, so in particular w is a subsolution of the linear equation $w_t + Lw - c_T w \leq 0$ with $w \leq 0$ on $\partial^*\Omega_T$. So, by weak comparison principle, we obtain $w \leq 0$ in $\bar{\Omega}_T$. \square

Theorem 1 (Uniqueness). *Let $f \in C(\Omega \times (0, +\infty))$, $g \in C(\partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty))$ and $u_0 \in C(\bar{\Omega})$, such that $g(x, 0) = u_0(x)$. There the problem (C) admits at most one solution $u \in C^2(\Omega \times (0, +\infty)) \cap C(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, +\infty))$.*

Proof. Let u_1, u_2 two solutions. Fix $T > 0$ and consider the problem in Ω_T . Then $w = u_1 - u_2$ satisfies $w_t + Lw = c(x, t)w$, where c_T is defined as in (1). By comparison principle we get that $u_1 = u_2$ in $\bar{\Omega}_T$ and conclude by arbitrariness of $T > 0$. \square

Stability properties of equilibria.

We consider the Cauchy Dirichlet problem in $\Omega \times (0, +\infty)$

$$(RD) \begin{cases} u_t + Lu = F(u) & (x, t) \in \Omega \times (0, +\infty) \\ u(x, 0) = u_0(x) & x \in \Omega \\ u(x, t) = 0 & (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times (0, +\infty). \end{cases}$$

Definition. A value $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $F(\bar{u}) = 0$ is called an equilibrium (or a stationary solution) of the system. Indeed the constant function $u(x, t) \equiv \bar{u}$ is a solution to the equation $u_t + Lu = F(u)$.

We start with a simple observation.

Proposition 2. Assume that $F \in C^1(\mathbb{R})$ and

$$F(s)s < 0 \quad \forall s \neq 0.$$

This in particular implies that 0 is an equilibrium. Then, if u solves (RD) then

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} u(x, t) = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } \bar{\Omega}.$$

If moreover

$$F'(0) = -\alpha < 0,$$

then for every $0 < \beta < \alpha$ there exists $C_\beta > 0$ such that

$$|u(x, t)| \leq C_\beta e^{-\beta t}$$

for every $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ and $t > 0$.

Proof. Consider the ordinary differential equation

$$U' = F(U).$$

Then if $U(t)$ solve this ODE, then it is also a solution (independent of x) to $u_t + Lu = F(u)$.

Consider the Cauchy problem

$$\begin{cases} U'(t) = F(U(t)) & t > 0 \\ U(0) = \|u_0\|_\infty. \end{cases} \quad (2)$$

This Cauchy problem admits a unique solution U , moreover $U'(t) < 0$ for every $t > 0$, $0 < U(t) \leq \|u_0\|_\infty$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} U(t) = 0$. Moreover $U(t)$ is also a solution to $U_t + LU = F(U)$ with $U \geq u_0$ for every $x \in \Omega$, and $U > 0$. So by comparison principle, if $u(x, t)$ is the solution to (RD),

$$u(x, t) \leq U(t) \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, t \geq 0. \quad (3)$$

Analogously we consider the solution $V(t)$ to the Cauchy problem (2) with initial data $-\|u_0\|_\infty$. Reasoning as before, $V'(t) > 0$ for every $t > 0$, $-\|u_0\|_\infty \leq V(t) < 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} V(t) = 0$. Again by comparison we get

$$u(x, t) \geq V(t) \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, t \geq 0. \quad (4)$$

(3) and (4) give the conclusion.

Assume now that $F'(0) = -\alpha < 0$. Fix $0 < \beta < \alpha = |F'(0)|$ and take $C = C(\beta)$ such that

$$F(s) \leq -\beta s \quad \forall s \in [0, C].$$

Take U solution to (2) with initial data $\|u_0\|_\infty$ and fix $T = T(C) = T(\beta) \geq 0$ such that $U(T) \leq C$ (recall that U is monotonically decreasing to 0, starting from $\|u_0\|_\infty$). So, for every $t \geq T$, $U(t) \leq C$ and moreover $F(U(t)) \leq -\beta U(t)$.

So, by a comparison argument for solutions to Cauchy problems,

$$U(t) \leq C e^{\beta T} e^{-\beta t} \quad \forall t \geq T.$$

Eventually taking a bigger constant $C = C(\|u_0\|_\infty, \beta)$, we obtain that

$$U(t) \leq C e^{-\beta t} \quad \forall t \geq 0.$$

So, again by comparisons principle, we can rewrite inequality (3) as follows: for every $\beta < |F'(0)|$ there exists a constant $C(\|u_0\|_\infty, \beta)$ such that

$$u(x, t) \leq C e^{-\beta t} \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}, t \geq 0.$$

As for the inequality (4) we argue analogously. □

Definition. Let $\bar{u} \in \mathbb{R}$ be an equilibrium. \bar{u} is stable (with respect to uniform convergence) if there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $u_0 \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ with

$$|u_0(x) - \bar{u}| \leq \delta \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$$

then the solution u to (RD) satisfies

$$|u(x, t) - \bar{u}| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } t \rightarrow +\infty, \text{ uniformly in } \bar{\Omega}.$$

An equilibrium \bar{u} is unstable (with respect to uniform convergence) if for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $t_\delta > 0$ such that for every $u_0 \in \mathcal{C}(\bar{\Omega})$ with

$$|u_0(x) - \bar{u}| \leq \delta \quad \forall x \in \bar{\Omega}$$

then the solution u to (RD) satisfies

$$\sup_{x \in \bar{\Omega}} |u(x, t) - \bar{u}| \geq \delta \quad \text{if } t \geq t_\delta.$$

Proposition 2 gives a sufficient condition for an equilibrium to be stable, as we see in the following proposition.

Proposition 3. Let \bar{u} be an equilibrium, such that $F'(\bar{u}) < 0$. Then there exists $\delta > 0$ such that for every $\beta < |F'(\bar{u})|$, there exists $C = C(\beta, \delta)$ for which if $|u_0 - \bar{u}| \leq \delta$, the solution to (RD) with initial datum u_0 satisfies

$$|u(x, t) - \bar{u}| \leq C e^{-\beta t} \quad \forall t > 0.$$

In particular \bar{u} is a stable equilibrium (actually it is exponentially asymptotically stable).

Proof. The proof is a simple adaptation of the arguments in the proof of Proposition 2, once one observes the following.

Let $\delta > 0$ such that $F(s) < 0$ for every $s \in (\bar{u}, \bar{u} + \delta)$ and $F(s) > 0$ for every $s \in (\bar{u} - \delta, \bar{u})$. Consider the solution U to (2) with initial data $\bar{u} + \delta$. Then, by uniqueness of solutions to the Cauchy problem, $U'(t) < 0$, $U(t) \in [\bar{u}, \bar{u} + \delta]$ for every $t \geq 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} U = \bar{u}$. Analogously, if V is a solution to (2) with initial datum $\bar{u} - \delta$, then $V'(t) > 0$, $V(t) \in [\bar{u} - \delta, \bar{u}]$ for every $t \geq 0$ and $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} V = \bar{u}$. So, by comparison, $|u(x, t) - \bar{u}| \rightarrow 0$ uniformly in $\bar{\Omega}$ as $t \rightarrow +\infty$.

To get the exponential decay estimates, we proceed in a similar way. \square

Remark. Repeating exactly the same arguments in the previous proof, it is possible to prove that if \bar{u} is an equilibrium with $F'(\bar{u}) > 0$, then it is unstable (with respect to uniform convergence).