

# Differential games with long-time-average cost

Martino Bardi

Department of Pure and Applied Mathematics  
University of Padua, Italy

Based on

- O. Alvarez, M.B.: Ergodic problems in differential games, Ann. Internat. Soc. Dynam. Games, 9, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2007.
- M.B.: On differential games with long-time-average cost, Ann. Internat. Soc. Dynam. Games, to appear.
- O. Alvarez, M.B.: Ergodicity, stabilization, and singular perturbations for Bellman-Isaacs equations, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. to appear

Based on

- O. Alvarez, M.B.: Ergodic problems in differential games, Ann. Internat. Soc. Dynam. Games, 9, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2007.
- M.B.: On differential games with long-time-average cost, Ann. Internat. Soc. Dynam. Games, to appear.
- O. Alvarez, M.B.: Ergodicity, stabilization, and singular perturbations for Bellman-Isaacs equations, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. to appear

Based on

- O. Alvarez, M.B.: Ergodic problems in differential games, Ann. Internat. Soc. Dynam. Games, 9, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2007.
- M.B.: On differential games with long-time-average cost, Ann. Internat. Soc. Dynam. Games, to appear.
- O. Alvarez, M.B.: Ergodicity, stabilization, and singular perturbations for Bellman-Isaacs equations, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. to appear

# Plan

- **LTAC 0-sum differential games**
- Ergodic games and the Hamilton-Jacobi-Isaacs PDE
- Sufficient conditions for ergodicity: system with noise
- Controllability conditions
- Combined conditions on the system and the cost
- Perspectives

# Plan

- LTAC 0-sum differential games
- Ergodic games and the Hamilton-Jacobi-Isaacs PDE
- Sufficient conditions for ergodicity: system with noise
- Controllability conditions
- Combined conditions on the system and the cost
- Perspectives

# Plan

- LTAC 0-sum differential games
- Ergodic games and the Hamilton-Jacobi-Isaacs PDE
- Sufficient conditions for ergodicity: system with noise
- Controllability conditions
- Combined conditions on the system and the cost
- Perspectives

# Plan

- LTAC 0-sum differential games
- Ergodic games and the Hamilton-Jacobi-Isaacs PDE
- Sufficient conditions for ergodicity: system with noise
- Controllability conditions
- Combined conditions on the system and the cost
- Perspectives



# Plan

- LTAC 0-sum differential games
- Ergodic games and the Hamilton-Jacobi-Isaacs PDE
- Sufficient conditions for ergodicity: system with noise
- Controllability conditions
- Combined conditions on the system and the cost
- Perspectives

# Plan

- LTAC 0-sum differential games
- Ergodic games and the Hamilton-Jacobi-Isaacs PDE
- Sufficient conditions for ergodicity: system with noise
- Controllability conditions
- Combined conditions on the system and the cost
- Perspectives

# LTAC differential games

We are given a (nonlinear) system with two controls

$$(S) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{y}(t) &= f(y(t), a(t), b(t)), & a(t) \in A, b(t) \in B, \\ y(0) &= x \in \mathbf{R}^m \end{aligned}$$

with  $A, B$  compact sets, and the long time average cost functional

$$J(x, a(\cdot), b(\cdot)) := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T l(y_x(t), a(t), b(t)) dt,$$

if the limit exists, where  $y_x(\cdot)$  the trajectory starting at  $x$ .

Player 1 governing  $a_s$  wants to MINIMIZE  $J$ ,

Player 2 governing  $b_s$  wants to MAXIMIZE  $J$ .

# LTAC differential games

We are given a (nonlinear) system with two controls

$$(S) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{y}(t) &= f(y(t), a(t), b(t)), & a(t) \in A, b(t) \in B, \\ y(0) &= x \in \mathbf{R}^m \end{aligned}$$

with  $A, B$  compact sets, and the long time average cost functional

$$J(x, a(\cdot), b(\cdot)) := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T l(y_x(t), a(t), b(t)) dt,$$

if the limit exists, where  $y_x(\cdot)$  the trajectory starting at  $x$ .

Player 1 governing  $a_s$  wants to MINIMIZE  $J$ ,

Player 2 governing  $b_s$  wants to MAXIMIZE  $J$ .

# LTAC differential games

We are given a (nonlinear) system with two controls

$$(S) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{y}(t) &= f(y(t), a(t), b(t)), & a(t) \in A, b(t) \in B, \\ y(0) &= x \in \mathbf{R}^m \end{aligned}$$

with  $A, B$  compact sets, and the long time average cost functional

$$J(x, a(\cdot), b(\cdot)) := \lim_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T l(y_x(t), a(t), b(t)) dt,$$

if the limit exists, where  $y_x(\cdot)$  the trajectory starting at  $x$ .

Player 1 governing  $a_s$  wants to MINIMIZE  $J$ ,

Player 2 governing  $b_s$  wants to MAXIMIZE  $J$ .

Define the upper and the lower cost functionals

$$J^\infty(x, a(\cdot), b(\cdot)) := \limsup_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T l(y_x(t), a(t), b(t)) dt,$$

$$J_\infty(x, a(\cdot), b(\cdot)) := \liminf_{T \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T l(y_x(t), a(t), b(t)) dt.$$

the admissible open-loop controls for the players

$$\mathcal{A} := \{a : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow A \text{ measurable}\}$$

$$\mathcal{B} := \{b : [0, +\infty) \rightarrow B \text{ measurable}\}$$

and the nonanticipating strategies for the first and the second player, respectively,

$$\Gamma := \{\alpha : \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A} \mid b(s) = \tilde{b}(s) \forall s \leq t \Rightarrow \alpha[b](s) = \alpha[\tilde{b}](s) \forall s \leq t\}$$

$$\Delta := \{\beta : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{B} \mid a(s) = \tilde{a}(s) \forall s \leq t \Rightarrow \beta[a](s) = \beta[\tilde{a}](s) \forall s \leq t\}$$

# Definition of value

The upper and the lower value are

$$u - \text{val } J^\infty(x) := \sup_{\beta \in \Delta} \inf_{a \in \mathcal{A}} J^\infty(x, a(\cdot), \beta[a](\cdot))$$

$$l - \text{val } J_\infty(x) := \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} J_\infty(x, \alpha[b](\cdot), b(\cdot))$$

The game has a value if

$$u - \text{val } J^\infty(x) = l - \text{val } J_\infty(x).$$

I'll give conditions under which the value exists and it is A CONSTANT (i.e., it does not depend on the initial position of the system)

# Link with the finite horizon problem

Consider the lower value of the finite horizon problem

$$v(t, x) := \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \int_0^t l(y_x(s), \alpha[b](s), b(s)) ds,$$

Question:

$$l\text{-val } J_\infty(x) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v(t, x)}{t} ?$$

i.e., can exchange  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty}$  with  $\inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}}$  ?



# Link with the finite horizon problem

Consider the lower value of the finite horizon problem

$$v(t, x) := \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \int_0^t l(y_x(s), \alpha[b](s), b(s)) ds,$$

Question:

$$l\text{-val } J_\infty(x) = \liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v(t, x)}{t} ?$$

i.e., can exchange  $\liminf_{t \rightarrow +\infty}$  with  $\inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}}$  ?

# Ergodicity

## Theorem

If the lower game is ERGODIC, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v(t, x)}{t} = \lambda \text{ constant, locally uniformly,}$$

then

$$l - \text{val } J_{\infty}(x) = \lambda.$$

A symmetric result holds for the upper game.

For a single player this problem is called ergodic control.

The name comes from classical ergodic theory.

# Ergodicity

## Theorem

If the lower game is ERGODIC, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v(t, x)}{t} = \lambda \text{ constant, locally uniformly,}$$

then

$$l - \text{val } J_{\infty}(x) = \lambda.$$

A symmetric result holds for the upper game.

For a single player this problem is called ergodic control.

The name comes from classical ergodic theory.

# Ergodicity

## Theorem

If the lower game is ERGODIC, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v(t, x)}{t} = \lambda \text{ constant, locally uniformly,}$$

then

$$l - \text{val } J_{\infty}(x) = \lambda.$$

A symmetric result holds for the upper game.

For a single player this problem is called ergodic control.  
The name comes from classical ergodic theory.

# Ergodicity

## Theorem

If the lower game is ERGODIC, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v(t, x)}{t} = \lambda \text{ constant, locally uniformly,}$$

then

$$l - \text{val } J_{\infty}(x) = \lambda.$$

A symmetric result holds for the upper game.

For a single player this problem is called ergodic control.

The name comes from classical ergodic theory.

A dynamical system

$$\dot{y}(t) = f(y(t)), \quad y(0) = x,$$

is ergodic with respect to an invariant measure  $\mu$  if  $\forall$  measurable  $l$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t l(y_x(s)) ds = \int l d\mu \quad \text{for a.e. } x.$$

The convergence is loc. uniform  $\iff$  the system has a unique invariant measure.

A dynamical system

$$\dot{y}(t) = f(y(t)), \quad y(0) = x,$$

is ergodic with respect to an invariant measure  $\mu$  if  $\forall$  measurable  $l$

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t l(y_x(s)) ds = \int l d\mu \quad \text{for a.e. } x.$$

The convergence is loc. uniform  $\iff$  the system has a unique invariant measure.

# Existence of value

## Corollary

If the lower game is **ergodic** and the **Isaacs condition**

$$\min_{b \in B} \max_{a \in A} \{-f(y, a, b) \cdot p - l(y, a, b)\} = \max_{a \in A} \min_{b \in B} \{-f(y, a, b) \cdot p - l(y, a, b)\},$$

holds  $\forall y, p \in \mathbf{R}^m$ , then the **LTAC game has a value**.

Proof: under the Isaacs condition the lower and upper value of the finite horizon game coincide.

By the ergodic assumption they converge to a constant  $\lambda$ , which must be  $l - \text{val } J_\infty(x)$  and  $u - \text{val } J^\infty(x)$ , so these values coincide.



# Existence of value

## Corollary

If the lower game is **ergodic** and the **Isaacs condition**

$$\min_{b \in B} \max_{a \in A} \{-f(y, a, b) \cdot p - l(y, a, b)\} = \max_{a \in A} \min_{b \in B} \{-f(y, a, b) \cdot p - l(y, a, b)\},$$

holds  $\forall y, p \in \mathbf{R}^m$ , then the **LTAC game has a value**.

Proof: under the Isaacs condition the lower and upper value of the finite horizon game coincide.

By the ergodic assumption they converge to a constant  $\lambda$ , which must be  $l - \text{val } J_\infty(x)$  and  $u - \text{val } J^\infty(x)$ , so these values coincide.

# The infinite horizon small discount problem

Lower value of the infinite horizon problem with discount rate  $\delta > 0$  is

$$w_\delta(x) := \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\delta s} l(y_x(s), \alpha[b](s), b(s)) ds,$$

Question: what is

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta w_\delta(x) ?$$

Should be related to  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} v(t, x)/t$  by the Abel-Tauber theorem

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \phi(s) ds = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta \int_0^\infty e^{-\delta s} \phi(s) ds$$

whenever one of the two limits exists.

# The infinite horizon small discount problem

Lower value of the infinite horizon problem with discount rate  $\delta > 0$  is

$$w_\delta(x) := \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\delta s} l(y_x(s), \alpha[b](s), b(s)) ds,$$

Question: what is

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta w_\delta(x) ?$$

Should be related to  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} v(t, x)/t$  by the Abel-Tauber theorem

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \phi(s) ds = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta \int_0^\infty e^{-\delta s} \phi(s) ds$$

whenever one of the two limits exists.

# The infinite horizon small discount problem

Lower value of the infinite horizon problem with discount rate  $\delta > 0$  is

$$w_\delta(x) := \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \int_0^\infty e^{-\delta s} l(y_x(s), \alpha[b](s), b(s)) ds,$$

Question: what is

$$\lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta w_\delta(x) ?$$

Should be related to  $\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} v(t, x)/t$  by the Abel-Tauber theorem

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t \phi(s) ds = \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta \int_0^\infty e^{-\delta s} \phi(s) ds$$

whenever one of the two limits exists.

# Abelian-Tauberian theorem for games

Theorem [O. Alvarez - M.B. 2003]

For compact state space the lower (finite horizon) game is ergodic, i.e.,

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} v(t, x)/t = \lambda \text{ uniformly,}$$

$$\iff \lim_{\delta \rightarrow 0} \delta w_\delta(x) = \text{constant, uniformly}$$

and then the constant is the same;  $\lambda$  is also the unique constant s. t.

$$\lambda + H(x, D\chi) = 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^m$$

has a (possibly discontinuous) viscosity solution  $\chi$ .

The proof uses the Isaacs PDEs satisfied by the values where

$$H(x, p) = \min_{b \in B} \max_{a \in A} \{-f(y, a, b) \cdot p - l(y, a, b)\}.$$

# The H-J-Isaacs PDE of ergodic games

- $\lambda + H(x, D\chi) = 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^m$   
is a nonlinear additive-eigenvalue problem with unknowns  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\chi : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$
- for  $H$  convex in  $p$  (e.g., just one player)  $\lambda$  is also known as Mañé **critical value of  $H$**  in the theory of Hamiltonian systems, very important in the weak KAM theory (Mather, Fathi and others)
- If the system is disturbed by a white noise

$$dy(t) = f(y(t), a(t), b(t)) dt + \sqrt{2} dW_t$$

the PDE becomes  $\lambda - \Delta\chi + H(x, D\chi) = 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^m$

- systems of  $N$  such equations arise in the theory of Nash equilibria for  $N$ -player games, and in the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e., in **Mean Field Games**.

# The H-J-Isaacs PDE of ergodic games

- $\lambda + H(x, D\chi) = 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^m$   
is a nonlinear additive-eigenvalue problem with unknowns  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\chi : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$
- for  $H$  convex in  $p$  (e.g., just one player)  $\lambda$  is also known as Mañé **critical value of  $H$**  in the theory of Hamiltonian systems, very important in the weak KAM theory (Mather, Fathi and others)
- If the system is disturbed by a white noise

$$dy(t) = f(y(t), a(t), b(t)) dt + \sqrt{2} dW_t$$

the PDE becomes  $\lambda - \Delta\chi + H(x, D\chi) = 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^m$

- systems of  $N$  such equations arise in the theory of Nash equilibria for  $N$ -player games, and in the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e., in **Mean Field Games**.

# The H-J-Isaacs PDE of ergodic games

- $\lambda + H(x, D\chi) = 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^m$   
is a nonlinear additive-eigenvalue problem with unknowns  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\chi : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$
- for  $H$  convex in  $p$  (e.g., just one player)  $\lambda$  is also known as Mañé **critical value of  $H$**  in the theory of Hamiltonian systems, very important in the weak KAM theory (Mather, Fathi and others)
- If the system is disturbed by a white noise

$$dy(t) = f(y(t), a(t), b(t)) dt + \sqrt{2} dW_t$$

the PDE becomes  $\lambda - \Delta\chi + H(x, D\chi) = 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^m$

- systems of  $N$  such equations arise in the theory of Nash equilibria for  $N$ -player games, and in the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e., in **Mean Field Games**.



# The H-J-Isaacs PDE of ergodic games

- $\lambda + H(x, D\chi) = 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^m$   
is a nonlinear additive-eigenvalue problem with unknowns  $\lambda \in \mathbf{R}$  and  $\chi : \mathbf{R}^m \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$
- for  $H$  convex in  $p$  (e.g., just one player)  $\lambda$  is also known as Mañe **critical value of  $H$**  in the theory of Hamiltonian systems, very important in the weak KAM theory (Mather, Fathi and others)
- If the system is disturbed by a white noise

$$dy(t) = f(y(t), a(t), b(t)) dt + \sqrt{2} dW_t$$

the PDE becomes  $\lambda - \Delta\chi + H(x, D\chi) = 0$  in  $\mathbf{R}^m$

- **systems** of  $N$  such equations arise in the theory of Nash equilibria for  **$N$ -player games**, and in the limit as  $N \rightarrow \infty$ , i.e., in **Mean Field Games**.

# Sufficient conditions for ergodicity

In view of the previous results we concentrate on proving the **ergodicity of the lower game**, i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t l(y_x(s), \alpha[b](s), b(s)) ds = \lambda \text{ constant, loc. uniformly,}$$

because the existence of the LTAC value follows from it.

For simplicity we assume from now on that all data are  $\mathbb{Z}^m$  periodic in  $y$ , i.e. the state space is the torus  $\mathbb{T}^M := \mathbf{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m$ . I show 4 cases

- systems with nondegenerate noise
- controllability by one player
- separate controllability
- combined conditions on the system and the cost.

# Sufficient conditions for ergodicity

In view of the previous results we concentrate on proving the **ergodicity of the lower game**, i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t l(y_x(s), \alpha[b](s), b(s)) ds = \lambda \text{ constant, loc. uniformly,}$$

because the existence of the LTAC value follows from it.

For simplicity we assume from now on that all data are  $\mathbb{Z}^m$  periodic in  $y$ , i.e. the state space is the torus  $\mathbb{T}^M := \mathbf{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m$ . I show 4 cases

- systems with nondegenerate noise
- controllability by one player
- separate controllability
- combined conditions on the system and the cost.

# Sufficient conditions for ergodicity

In view of the previous results we concentrate on proving the **ergodicity of the lower game**, i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t l(y_x(s), \alpha[b](s), b(s)) ds = \lambda \text{ constant, loc. uniformly,}$$

because the existence of the LTAC value follows from it.

For simplicity we assume from now on that all data are  $\mathbb{Z}^m$  periodic in  $y$ , i.e. the state space is the torus  $\mathbb{T}^M := \mathbf{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m$ . I show 4 cases

- systems with nondegenerate noise
- controllability by one player
- separate controllability
- combined conditions on the system and the cost.

# Sufficient conditions for ergodicity

In view of the previous results we concentrate on proving the **ergodicity of the lower game**, i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t l(y_x(s), \alpha[b](s), b(s)) ds = \lambda \text{ constant, loc. uniformly,}$$

because the existence of the LTAC value follows from it.

For simplicity we assume from now on that all data are  $\mathbb{Z}^m$  periodic in  $y$ , i.e. the state space is the torus  $\mathbb{T}^M := \mathbf{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m$ . I show 4 cases

- systems with nondegenerate noise
- controllability by one player
- separate controllability
- combined conditions on the system and the cost.

# Sufficient conditions for ergodicity

In view of the previous results we concentrate on proving the **ergodicity of the lower game**, i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t l(y_x(s), \alpha[b](s), b(s)) ds = \lambda \text{ constant, loc. uniformly,}$$

because the existence of the LTAC value follows from it.

For simplicity we assume from now on that all data are  $\mathbb{Z}^m$  periodic in  $y$ , i.e. the state space is the torus  $\mathbb{T}^M := \mathbf{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m$ . I show 4 cases

- systems with nondegenerate noise
- controllability by one player
- separate controllability
- combined conditions on the system and the cost.

# Sufficient conditions for ergodicity

In view of the previous results we concentrate on proving the **ergodicity of the lower game**, i.e.

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{t} \int_0^t l(y_x(s), \alpha[b](s), b(s)) ds = \lambda \text{ constant, loc. uniformly,}$$

because the existence of the LTAC value follows from it.

For simplicity we assume from now on that all data are  $\mathbb{Z}^m$  periodic in  $y$ , i.e. the state space is the torus  $\mathbb{T}^M := \mathbf{R}^m / \mathbb{Z}^m$ . I show 4 cases

- systems with nondegenerate noise
- controllability by one player
- separate controllability
- combined conditions on the system and the cost.

# Noisy systems

Given a standard  $m$ -dimensional Brownian motion  $W_t$  take

$$dy(t) = f(y(t), a(t), b(t)) dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad y(0) = x,$$

with  $\sigma$  **non-singular** matrix and controls adapted to  $W_t$ .

Theorem

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{t} E \left[ \int_0^t l(y_x(s), \alpha[b](s), b(s)) ds \right] = \lambda \quad \text{uniformly in } x.$$

The proof is by PDE methods and relies on the Krylov-Safonov estimates for elliptic equations.

It holds also if  $\sigma = \sigma(y(t), a(t), b(t))$  if for some  $\nu > 0$

$$\sigma \sigma^T(y, a, b) \geq \nu I \quad \forall y, a, b.$$



# Noisy systems

Given a standard  $m$ -dimensional Brownian motion  $W_t$  take

$$dy(t) = f(y(t), a(t), b(t)) dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad y(0) = x,$$

with  $\sigma$  non-singular matrix and controls adapted to  $W_t$ .

Theorem

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{t} E \left[ \int_0^t l(y_x(s), \alpha[b](s), b(s)) ds \right] = \lambda \text{ uniformly in } x.$$

The proof is by PDE methods and relies on the Krylov-Safonov estimates for elliptic equations.

It holds also if  $\sigma = \sigma(y(t), a(t), b(t))$  if for some  $\nu > 0$

$$\sigma \sigma^T(y, a, b) \geq \nu I \quad \forall y, a, b.$$

# Noisy systems

Given a standard  $m$ -dimensional Brownian motion  $W_t$  take

$$dy(t) = f(y(t), a(t), b(t)) dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad y(0) = x,$$

with  $\sigma$  non-singular matrix and controls adapted to  $W_t$ .

Theorem

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{t} E \left[ \int_0^t l(y_x(s), \alpha[b](s), b(s)) ds \right] = \lambda \quad \text{uniformly in } x.$$

The proof is by PDE methods and relies on the Krylov-Safonov estimates for elliptic equations.

It holds also if  $\sigma = \sigma(y(t), a(t), b(t))$  if for some  $\nu > 0$

$$\sigma \sigma^T(y, a, b) \geq \nu I \quad \forall y, a, b.$$

# Noisy systems

Given a standard  $m$ -dimensional Brownian motion  $W_t$  take

$$dy(t) = f(y(t), a(t), b(t)) dt + \sigma dW_t, \quad y(0) = x,$$

with  $\sigma$  non-singular matrix and controls adapted to  $W_t$ .

## Theorem

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in \mathcal{B}} \frac{1}{t} E \left[ \int_0^t l(y_x(s), \alpha[b](s), b(s)) ds \right] = \lambda \quad \text{uniformly in } x.$$

The proof is by PDE methods and relies on the Krylov-Safonov estimates for elliptic equations.

It holds also if  $\sigma = \sigma(y(t), a(t), b(t))$  if for some  $\nu > 0$

$$\sigma \sigma^T(y, a, b) \geq \nu I \quad \forall y, a, b.$$

# Bounded-time controllability by the 1st player

The system is BTC by 1st player if  $\exists S > 0$  and  $\forall x, \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{T}^m$  there is a strategy  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  such that  $\forall b \in \mathcal{B}$

$$y_x(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{x} \quad \text{for some } \tilde{t} \leq S.$$

## Theorem

System BTC by 1st player  $\Rightarrow$  the lower game is ergodic.

It is easy to give examples of such systems, but they are very unbalanced.

# Bounded-time controllability by the 1st player

The system is BTC by 1st player if  $\exists S > 0$  and  $\forall x, \tilde{x} \in \mathbb{T}^m$  there is a strategy  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  such that  $\forall b \in \mathcal{B}$

$$y_x(\tilde{t}) = \tilde{x} \quad \text{for some } \tilde{t} \leq S.$$

## Theorem

System BTC by 1st player  $\Rightarrow$  the lower game is ergodic.

It is easy to give examples of such systems, but they are very unbalanced.

# Separate controllability

Let the state be split into  $(y(t), z(t)) \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{T}^{m_2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(SpS)} \quad \dot{y}(t) &= f(y(t), a(t), b(t)), & y(0) &= x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}, \\ \dot{z}(t) &= g(z(t), a(t), b(t)) & z(0) &= w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$v(t, x, w) := \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in B} \int_0^t l(y_x(s), z_w(s)) ds.$$

Note:  $l$  independent of  $a, b$  !

Theorem

$y(\cdot)$  BTC by 1st player,  $z(\cdot)$  BTC by 2nd player, and  $l$  has a saddle:

$$\bar{l} = \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}} \max_{w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}} l(x, w) = \max_{w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}} \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}} l(x, w)$$

$\Rightarrow$  lower game is ergodic and  $\lambda = \bar{l}$ .

# Separate controllability

Let the state be split into  $(y(t), z(t)) \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{T}^{m_2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(SpS)} \quad \dot{y}(t) &= f(y(t), a(t), b(t)), & y(0) &= x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}, \\ \dot{z}(t) &= g(z(t), a(t), b(t)) & z(0) &= w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$v(t, x, w) := \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in B} \int_0^t l(y_x(s), z_w(s)) ds.$$

Note:  $l$  independent of  $a, b$  !

Theorem

$y(\cdot)$  BTC by 1st player,  $z(\cdot)$  BTC by 2nd player, and  $l$  has a saddle:

$$\bar{l} = \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}} \max_{w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}} l(x, w) = \max_{w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}} \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}} l(x, w)$$

$\Rightarrow$  lower game is ergodic and  $\lambda = \bar{l}$ .

# Separate controllability

Let the state be split into  $(y(t), z(t)) \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1} \times \mathbb{T}^{m_2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(SpS)} \quad \dot{y}(t) &= f(y(t), a(t), b(t)), & y(0) &= x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}, \\ \dot{z}(t) &= g(z(t), a(t), b(t)) & z(0) &= w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}, \end{aligned}$$

$$v(t, x, w) := \inf_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \sup_{b \in B} \int_0^t l(y_x(s), z_w(s)) ds.$$

Note:  $l$  independent of  $a, b$  !

## Theorem

$y(\cdot)$  BTC by 1st player,  $z(\cdot)$  BTC by 2nd player, and  $l$  has a saddle:

$$\bar{l} = \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}} \max_{w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}} l(x, w) = \max_{w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}} \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}} l(x, w)$$

$\Rightarrow$  lower game is ergodic and  $\lambda = \bar{l}$ .



# Counterexamples

Take  $l(x, w)$  without a saddle, e.g.,  $m_1 = m_2 = m/2$  and

$$l(x, w) = n(x - w)$$

$$\min_x \max_w l(x, w) = \max_w n > \min_x n = \max_w \min_x l(x, w).$$

Take the system

$$\dot{y}(t) = h(y(t), z(t))a(t), \quad y(0) = x \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, \quad |a(t)| \leq 1,$$

$$\dot{z}(t) = h(y(t), z(t))b(t) \quad z(0) = w \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, \quad |b(t)| \leq 1,$$

with  $h : \mathbb{T}^m \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . If  $h > 0$   $y(\cdot)$  is BTC by 1st player and  $z(\cdot)$  BTC by 2nd player. But the game is NOT ergodic:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{v(t, x, w)}{t} = n(x - w) \neq \text{constant}.$$

# Proof

Set  $u(t, x, w) := t n(x - w)$  and suppose  $n \in C^1$ . Then  $D_x u = D n(x - w) = -D_w u$ , so

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + h(x, w) |D_x u| - h(x, w) |D_w u| = I, \quad u(0, x, w) = 0.$$

This is the Cauchy problem and the H-J-Isaacs equation satisfied by the value function  $v$ . By uniqueness of the (viscosity) solution  $v \equiv u$ , so

$$\frac{v(t, x, w)}{t} \equiv n(x - w).$$

Remark. This proof can be extended to a slightly more general class of systems.

By a comparison argument we can also prove non-ergodicity for

$$I(x, w) = n(x - w) + q(x, w), \quad \text{if } \max q - \min q < \max n - \min n.$$

# Proof

Set  $u(t, x, w) := t n(x - w)$  and suppose  $n \in C^1$ . Then  $D_x u = D n(x - w) = -D_w u$ , so

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + h(x, w)|D_x u| - h(x, w)|D_w u| = I, \quad u(0, x, w) = 0.$$

This is the Cauchy problem and the H-J-Isaacs equation satisfied by the value function  $v$ . By uniqueness of the (viscosity) solution  $v \equiv u$ , so

$$\frac{v(t, x, w)}{t} \equiv n(x - w).$$

Remark. This proof can be extended to a slightly more general class of systems.

By a comparison argument we can also prove non-ergodicity for

$$I(x, w) = n(x - w) + q(x, w), \quad \text{if} \quad \max q - \min q < \max n - \min n.$$

# A model problem: convex-concave eikonal equation

Take the simple example ( $h \equiv 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}(t) &= a(t), & y(0) &= x \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, & |a(t)| &\leq 1, \\ \dot{z}(t) &= b(t), & z(0) &= w \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, & |b(t)| &\leq \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

whose H-J-Isaacs equation is

$$v_t + |D_x v| - \gamma |D_w v| = I(x, w).$$

So far we can say

- game is ergodic  $\forall \lambda > 0$  if  $I$  has a saddle,
- game is NOT ergodic if  $\gamma = 1$  and  $I(x, w) = n(x - w)$ .

What about some other cases, e.g.,  $I(x, w) = n(x - w)$  but  $\gamma \neq 1$ ?

We'll settle this case and prove the game is ergodic.

# A model problem: convex-concave eikonal equation

Take the simple example ( $h \equiv 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}(t) &= a(t), & y(0) &= x \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, & |a(t)| &\leq 1, \\ \dot{z}(t) &= b(t), & z(0) &= w \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, & |b(t)| &\leq \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

whose H-J-Isaacs equation is

$$v_t + |D_x v| - \gamma |D_w v| = I(x, w).$$

So far we can say

- game is ergodic  $\forall \lambda > 0$  if  $I$  has a saddle,
- game is NOT ergodic if  $\gamma = 1$  and  $I(x, w) = n(x - w)$ .

What about some other cases, e.g.,  $I(x, w) = n(x - w)$  but  $\gamma \neq 1$ ?

We'll settle this case and prove the game is ergodic.

# A model problem: convex-concave eikonal equation

Take the simple example ( $h \equiv 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}(t) &= a(t), & y(0) &= x \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, & |a(t)| &\leq 1, \\ \dot{z}(t) &= b(t), & z(0) &= w \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, & |b(t)| &\leq \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

whose H-J-Isaacs equation is

$$v_t + |D_x v| - \gamma |D_w v| = I(x, w).$$

So far we can say

- game is ergodic  $\forall \lambda > 0$  if  $I$  has a saddle,
- game is NOT ergodic if  $\gamma = 1$  and  $I(x, w) = n(x - w)$ .

What about some other cases, e.g.,  $I(x, w) = n(x - w)$  but  $\gamma \neq 1$ ?

We'll settle this case and prove the game is ergodic.

# A model problem: convex-concave eikonal equation

Take the simple example ( $h \equiv 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}(t) &= a(t), & y(0) &= x \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, & |a(t)| &\leq 1, \\ \dot{z}(t) &= b(t), & z(0) &= w \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, & |b(t)| &\leq \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

whose H-J-Isaacs equation is

$$v_t + |D_x v| - \gamma |D_w v| = l(x, w).$$

So far we can say

- game is ergodic  $\forall \lambda > 0$  if  $l$  has a saddle,
- game is NOT ergodic if  $\gamma = 1$  and  $l(x, w) = n(x - w)$ .

What about some other cases, e.g.,  $l(x, w) = n(x - w)$  but  $\gamma \neq 1$  ?

We'll settle this case and prove the game is ergodic.

# A model problem: convex-concave eikonal equation

Take the simple example ( $h \equiv 1$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{y}(t) &= a(t), & y(0) &= x \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, & |a(t)| &\leq 1, \\ \dot{z}(t) &= b(t), & z(0) &= w \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, & |b(t)| &\leq \gamma, \end{aligned}$$

whose H-J-Isaacs equation is

$$v_t + |D_x v| - \gamma |D_w v| = I(x, w).$$

So far we can say

- game is ergodic  $\forall \lambda > 0$  if  $I$  has a saddle,
- game is NOT ergodic if  $\gamma = 1$  and  $I(x, w) = n(x - w)$ .

What about some other cases, e.g.,  $I(x, w) = n(x - w)$  but  $\gamma \neq 1$  ?

We'll settle this case and prove the game is ergodic.



# Combined conditions on the system and the cost $l$

A sample result for the system in general form (S).

Define the target  $\mathcal{T} := \operatorname{argmin} l$ , with  $l = l(y)$  independent of the controls.

The system is **asymptotically controllable to  $\mathcal{T}$**  in the mean by the first player if  $\forall x \in \mathbb{T}^m$ , there is a strategy  $\alpha \in \Gamma$  such that

$$\lim_{T \rightarrow +\infty} \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \operatorname{dist}(y_x(t), \mathcal{T}) dt = 0 \quad \text{uniformly in } x \text{ and } b \in \mathcal{B}.$$

## Theorem

System asymptotically controllable to  $\mathcal{T}$

$\implies$  the lower game is ergodic with  $\lambda = \min l$ .

Example: system bounded-time controllable to  $\mathcal{T}$  and stoppable on  $\mathcal{T}$  by the first player (**turnpike** strategy).

[Still weaker than the 1st controllability assumption].

Example:

$$l(x, w) = n(x - w)$$

$$\dot{y}(t) = h(y(t), z(t))a(t), \quad y(0) = x \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, \quad |a(t)| \leq 1,$$

$$\dot{z}(t) = h(y(t), z(t))b(t), \quad z(0) = w \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, \quad |b(t)| \leq \gamma,$$

with  $h > 0$  and  $\gamma < 1$ .

Since the dynamics of the  $y(\cdot)$  and  $z(\cdot)$  are the same, but the first player can drive  $y(\cdot)$  at higher speed, for any fixed  $\bar{w} \in \mathbf{R}^{m/2}$  the first player can drive the system from any initial position to the set  $\{y - z = \bar{w}\}$  in finite time for all controls of the second player, and then stop it there. By choosing  $\bar{w} \in \mathcal{T} := \operatorname{argmin} n$ , we verify the assumptions of the last Theorem.

The same property holds for the 2nd player if  $\gamma > 1$  and we take  $\mathcal{T} := \operatorname{argmax} l$ .

This proves the claim that the game is ergodic for  $\gamma \neq 1$  in the convex-concave eikonal equation with  $l = n(x - w)$ .

Example:

$$l(x, w) = n(x - w)$$

$$\dot{y}(t) = h(y(t), z(t))a(t), \quad y(0) = x \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, \quad |a(t)| \leq 1,$$

$$\dot{z}(t) = h(y(t), z(t))b(t), \quad z(0) = w \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, \quad |b(t)| \leq \gamma,$$

with  $h > 0$  and  $\gamma < 1$ .

Since the dynamics of the  $y(\cdot)$  and  $z(\cdot)$  are the same, but the first player can drive  $y(\cdot)$  at higher speed, for any fixed  $\bar{w} \in \mathbf{R}^{m/2}$  the first player can drive the system from any initial position to the set  $\{y - z = \bar{w}\}$  in finite time for all controls of the second player, and then stop it there. By choosing  $\bar{w} \in \mathcal{T} := \operatorname{argmin} n$ , we verify the assumptions of the last Theorem.

The same property holds for the 2nd player if  $\gamma > 1$  and we take  $\mathcal{T} := \operatorname{argmax} l$ .

This proves the claim that the game is ergodic for  $\gamma \neq 1$  in the convex-concave eikonal equation with  $l = n(x - w)$ .

Example:

$$l(x, w) = n(x - w)$$

$$\dot{y}(t) = h(y(t), z(t))a(t), \quad y(0) = x \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, \quad |a(t)| \leq 1,$$

$$\dot{z}(t) = h(y(t), z(t))b(t), \quad z(0) = w \in \mathbb{T}^{m/2}, \quad |b(t)| \leq \gamma,$$

with  $h > 0$  and  $\gamma < 1$ .

Since the dynamics of the  $y(\cdot)$  and  $z(\cdot)$  are the same, but the first player can drive  $y(\cdot)$  at higher speed, for any fixed  $\bar{w} \in \mathbf{R}^{m/2}$  the first player can drive the system from any initial position to the set  $\{y - z = \bar{w}\}$  in finite time for all controls of the second player, and then stop it there. By choosing  $\bar{w} \in \mathcal{T} := \operatorname{argmin} n$ , we verify the assumptions of the last Theorem.

The same property holds for the 2nd player if  $\gamma > 1$  and we take  $\mathcal{T} := \operatorname{argmax} l$ .

This proves the claim that the **game is ergodic** for  $\gamma \neq 1$  in the convex-concave eikonal equation with  $l = n(x - w)$ .

# Variants and open problems

- For systems in split form (SpS) can give conditions of asymptotic controllability to suitable targets so that the game is ergodic with

$$\lambda = \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}} \max_{w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}} l(x, w)$$

or with  $\lambda = \max_{w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}} \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}} l(x, w)$ .

- We do not know sharp conditions for games in split form with  $l$  without a saddle but not of the form  $n(x - w)$ .
- The ergodicity for cost  $l$  depending on the controls  $a, b$  is largely open (and interesting for applications).
- The existence of the LTAC value without the ergodicity seems completely open.

# Variants and open problems

- For systems in split form (SpS) can give conditions of asymptotic controllability to suitable targets so that the game is ergodic with

$$\lambda = \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}} \max_{w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}} l(x, w)$$

or with  $\lambda = \max_{w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}} \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}} l(x, w)$ .

- We do not know sharp conditions for games in split form with  $l$  without a saddle but not of the form  $n(x - w)$ .
- The ergodicity for cost  $l$  depending on the controls  $a, b$  is largely open (and interesting for applications).
- The existence of the LTAC value without the ergodicity seems completely open.

# Variants and open problems

- For systems in split form (SpS) can give conditions of asymptotic controllability to suitable targets so that the game is ergodic with

$$\lambda = \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}} \max_{w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}} l(x, w)$$

or with  $\lambda = \max_{w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}} \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}} l(x, w)$ .

- We do not know sharp conditions for games in split form with  $l$  without a saddle but not of the form  $n(x - w)$ .
- The ergodicity for cost  $l$  depending on the controls  $a, b$  is largely open (and interesting for applications).
- The existence of the LTAC value without the ergodicity seems completely open.

# Variants and open problems

- For systems in split form (SpS) can give conditions of asymptotic controllability to suitable targets so that the game is ergodic with

$$\lambda = \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}} \max_{w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}} l(x, w)$$

or with  $\lambda = \max_{w \in \mathbb{T}^{m_2}} \min_{x \in \mathbb{T}^{m_1}} l(x, w)$ .

- We do not know sharp conditions for games in split form with  $l$  without a saddle but not of the form  $n(x - w)$ .
- The ergodicity for cost  $l$  depending on the controls  $a, b$  is largely open (and interesting for applications).
- The existence of the LTAC value without the ergodicity seems completely open.



# An application: games with multiple time-scales

Consider a system with state variables  $(x_s, y_\tau)$ ,  $\tau = s/\varepsilon$  and  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ ,

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{x}_s &= \phi(x_s, y_s, \alpha_s, \beta_s) & x_s &\in \mathbf{R}^n, \\ \dot{y}_s &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f(x_s, y_s, \alpha_s, \beta_s) & y_s &\in \mathbf{R}^m. \end{aligned}$$

Want to understand the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ :

a **Singular Perturbation** problem.

Expect  $y_s$  to disappear and the limit system to depend only on the long time regime of  $y_s$ .

For a cost functional  $J(t, x_0, y_0, \alpha, \beta) := \int_0^t L(x_s, y_s, \alpha_s, \beta_s) ds + h(x_t, y_t)$  with value function  $v^\varepsilon(t, x_0, y_0)$  we expect to find a limit  $v$  independent of  $y_0$

$$v^\varepsilon(t, x_0, y_0) \rightarrow v(t, x_0) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

# An application: games with multiple time-scales

Consider a system with state variables  $(x_s, y_s)$ ,  $\tau = s/\varepsilon$  and  $0 < \varepsilon \ll 1$ ,

$$(1) \quad \begin{aligned} \dot{x}_s &= \phi(x_s, y_s, \alpha_s, \beta_s) & x_s &\in \mathbf{R}^n, \\ \dot{y}_s &= \frac{1}{\varepsilon} f(x_s, y_s, \alpha_s, \beta_s) & y_s &\in \mathbf{R}^m. \end{aligned}$$

Want to understand the limit as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ :

a **Singular Perturbation** problem.

Expect  $y_s$  to disappear and the limit system to depend only on the long time regime of  $y_s$ .

For a cost functional  $J(t, x_0, y_0, \alpha, \beta) := \int_0^t L(x_s, y_s, \alpha_s, \beta_s) ds + h(x_t, y_t)$  with value function  $v^\varepsilon(t, x_0, y_0)$  we expect to find a limit  $v$  independent of  $y_0$

$$v^\varepsilon(t, x_0, y_0) \rightarrow v(t, x_0) \quad \text{as } \varepsilon \rightarrow 0.$$

# Connection with LTAC games

Consider the fast subsystem with frozen  $\bar{x}$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$

$$(FS) \quad \dot{y}_\tau = f(\bar{x}, y_\tau, \alpha_\tau, \beta_\tau),$$

and the running cost with parameters  $\bar{x}, \bar{p} \in \mathbf{R}^n$

$$l(y, a, b; \bar{x}, \bar{p}) := \bar{p} \cdot \phi(\bar{x}, y, a, b) + L(\bar{x}, y, a, b).$$

## Theorem

Game (FS) with cost  $l$  ergodic, with LTAC value  $\lambda =: \bar{H}(\bar{x}, \bar{p}) \implies v^\varepsilon \rightarrow v$  in the SP problem, and  $v$  solves

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \bar{H}(x, D_x v) = 0.$$

# Connection with LTAC games

Consider the fast subsystem with frozen  $\bar{x}$  and  $\varepsilon = 1$

$$(FS) \quad \dot{y}_\tau = f(\bar{x}, y_\tau, \alpha_\tau, \beta_\tau),$$

and the running cost with parameters  $\bar{x}, \bar{p} \in \mathbf{R}^n$

$$l(y, a, b; \bar{x}, \bar{p}) := \bar{p} \cdot \phi(\bar{x}, y, a, b) + L(\bar{x}, y, a, b).$$

## Theorem

Game (FS) with cost  $l$  ergodic, with LTAC value  $\lambda =: \bar{H}(\bar{x}, \bar{p}) \implies v^\varepsilon \rightarrow v$  in the SP problem, and  $v$  solves

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + \bar{H}(x, D_x v) = 0.$$

Thanks for your attention!