

Strichartz estimates and sub-Riemannian geometry

Lecture 4

Davide Barilari,
Dipartimento di Matematica “Tullio Levi-Civita”,
Università degli Studi di Padova

Spring School “Modern Aspects of Analysis on Lie groups”,
Gottinga, April 2-5, 2024



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
DI PADOVA

Chapter 6: Spectral summability of quartic oscillators & Engel group

This is the nilpotent Lie group of dimension 4 with a basis of the Lie algebra satisfying

$$[X_1, X_2] = X_3, \quad [X_1, X_3] = X_4$$

In particular we can consider the smooth functions $h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4 : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. To find a basis of the Poisson vector fields it is enough to write down \vec{h}_i for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$. Using our formulas

$$\vec{h}_1 = h_3 \partial_{h_2} + h_4 \partial_{h_3}, \quad \vec{h}_2 = -h_3 \partial_{h_1}$$

$$\vec{h}_3 = -h_4 \partial_{h_1}$$

while h_4 is a casimir since the corresponding vector field X_0 is in the center. There is a second casimir.

$$f = \frac{1}{2} h_3^2 - h_2 h_4$$

All coadjoint orbits are contained in the level sets

$$\begin{cases} h_4 = \lambda, \\ \frac{1}{2}h_3^2 - h_4h_2 = \nu \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

Note that $\{f, h_j\} = 0$ for $j \geq 2$ (the only non zero commutators must contain X_1) and

$$\{f, h_1\} = \{h_3, h_1\}h_3 - \{h_2, h_1\}h_4 = -h_4h_3 + h_3h_4 = 0$$

Combining this and the Poisson vector fields we have the orbits

- (i) if $\lambda = \nu = 0$ then every point $(h_1, h_2, 0, 0)$ is an orbit
- (ii) if $\lambda = 0$ and $\nu \neq 0$ then orbits are planes $h_4 = 0$, $h_3 = \pm\sqrt{2\nu}$
- (iii) if $\lambda \neq 0$ then the orbit coincides with the set defined by the equations above

Fix $\eta = (0, -\nu/\lambda, 0, \lambda)$ then we have a choice of maximal subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{h} = \text{span}\{X_2, X_3, X_4\}, \quad [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = 0.$$

and the corresponding 1-dim representation

$$\chi_{\nu, \lambda}(e^{x_2 X_2 + x_3 X_3 + x_4 X_4}) = e^{i(-\frac{\nu}{\lambda} x_2 + \lambda x_4)}.$$

We write points on G as

$$g = e^{x_2 X_2 + x_3 X_3 + x_4 X_4} e^{x_1 X_1}.$$

We take a complement $K = \exp(\mathbb{R}X_1)$ and we solve the Master equation

$$e^{\theta X_1} e^{x_2 X_2 + x_3 X_3 + x_4 X_4} e^{x_1 X_1} = \tag{2}$$

$$= e^{x_2 X_2 + (x_3 + \theta x_2) X_3 + (x_4 + \theta x_3 + \frac{\theta^2}{2} x_2) X_4} e^{(\theta + x_1) X_1} \tag{3}$$

We deduce that

$$\mathcal{R}_{\nu,\lambda} f(e^{\theta X_1}) = \mathcal{X}_{\nu,\lambda}(e^{x_2 X_2 + (x_3 + \theta x_2) X_3 + (x_4 + \theta x_3 + \frac{\theta^2}{2} x_2) X_4}) f(e^{(\theta + x_1) X_1})$$

that is in the notation $\tilde{f}(\theta) = f(e^{\theta X_1})$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\nu,\lambda} \tilde{f}(\theta) = \exp \left[i \left(-\frac{\nu}{\lambda} x_2 + \lambda (x_4 + \theta x_3 + \frac{\theta^2}{2} x_2) \right) \right] \tilde{f}(\theta + x_1)$$

Differentiating with respect to the x_i at zero we get also the representation of the Lie algebra

$$X_1 \tilde{f} = \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{f},$$

$$X_2 \tilde{f} = i \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \theta^2 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \right) \tilde{f},$$

$$X_3 \tilde{f} = i \lambda \theta \tilde{f},$$

$$X_4 \tilde{f} = i \lambda \tilde{f}$$

notice $[X_1, X_2] = X_3$ and $[X_1, X_3] = X_4$.

In particular notice that

$$\begin{aligned}X_1 \tilde{f} &= \frac{d}{dt} \tilde{f}, \\X_2 \tilde{f} &= i \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \theta^2 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \right) \tilde{f},\end{aligned}$$

Notice that the Laplacian is

$$X_1^2 + X_2^2 = \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{2} \theta^2 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \right)^2$$

This gives the basis of left-invariant vector fields

$$\begin{aligned}X_1 &= \partial_{x_1}, & X_2 &= \partial_{x_2} + x_1 \partial_{x_3} + \frac{x_1^2}{2} \partial_{x_4} \\X_3 &= \partial_{x_3} + x_1 \partial_{x_4}, & X_4 &= \partial_{x_4}\end{aligned}$$

$$\mathbb{E} \sim \mathbb{R}^4$$

$$X_1 := \partial_1, \quad X_2 := \partial_2 + x_1 \partial_3 + \frac{x_1^2}{2} \partial_4, \quad X_3 := \partial_3 + x_1 \partial_4, \quad X_4 := \partial_4.$$

Group law:

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} y_1 \\ y_2 \\ y_3 \\ y_4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_1 + y_1 \\ x_2 + y_2 \\ x_3 + y_3 + x_1 y_2 \\ x_4 y_4 + x_1 y_3 + \frac{x_1^2}{2} y_2 \end{pmatrix}$$

Homogeneous dimension: $Q = \sum_j j \dim g_j = 7$

$$\delta_\varepsilon(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = (\varepsilon x_1, \varepsilon x_2, \varepsilon^2 x_3, \varepsilon^3 x_4)$$

In general

$$\Delta := \sum_{X_j \in \mathfrak{g}_1} X_j^2$$

so on \mathbb{H} and \mathbb{E}

$$\Delta = X_1^2 + X_2^2.$$

Homogeneous and inhomogeneous Sobolev spaces are defined by

$$\|u\|_{\dot{H}^s} = \|(-\Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\|_{L^2}, \quad \|f\|_{H^s} = \|(\text{Id} - \Delta)^{\frac{s}{2}} u\|_{L^2}.$$

Questions :

- “Space of frequencies” for Fourier Analysis
- Summation formula
- Some applications

For any integrable function u on \mathbb{E}

$$\forall (\nu, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*, \quad \widehat{u}(\nu, \lambda) := \int_{\mathbb{E}} u(x) \mathcal{R}_x^{\nu, \lambda} dx,$$

- $\mathcal{R}^{\nu, \lambda}$ the group homomorphism between \mathbb{E} and $\mathcal{U}(L^2(\mathbb{R}))$
- for all x in \mathbb{E} and ϕ in $L^2(\mathbb{R})$, by

$$\mathcal{R}_x^{\nu, \lambda} \phi(\theta) := \exp\left(i\lambda x_4 + i\lambda \theta x_3 - i\frac{\nu}{\lambda} x_2 + i\lambda \frac{\theta^2}{2} x_2\right) \phi(\theta + x_1).$$

- λ is dual to the center X_4 (homogeneous of degree 3)
- ν is representing the operator (homogeneous of degree 4)

$$X_4 X_2 - \frac{1}{2} X_3^2$$

$$-\widehat{\Delta_{\mathbb{E}}}u(\nu, \lambda) = \widehat{u}(\nu, \lambda) \circ P_{\nu, \lambda}, \quad \text{with} \quad P_{\nu, \lambda} := -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + \left(\lambda \frac{\theta^2}{2} - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \right)^2.$$

- $\text{Sp}(P_{\nu, \lambda}) = \{E_m(\nu, \lambda), m \in \mathbb{N}\}$ **not explicit!**
- $\psi_m^{\nu, \lambda}$ the eigenfunctions of $P_{\nu, \lambda}$ associated with $E_m(\nu, \lambda)$.

Homogeneity reduces to the study

$$P_{\mu} := -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + \left(\frac{\theta^2}{2} - \mu \right)^2$$

Setting $T_{\alpha}\varphi := \alpha^{\frac{1}{2}}\varphi(\alpha \cdot)$ and $\mu = \frac{\nu}{|\lambda|^{4/3}}$ then $P_{\nu, \lambda} = |\lambda|^{2/3} T_{|\lambda|^{1/3}} P_{\mu} T_{|\lambda|^{-1/3}}$

$$E_m(\nu, \lambda) = |\lambda|^{2/3} E_m(\mu) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi_m^{\nu, \lambda} = T_{|\lambda|^{1/3}} \varphi_m^{\mu}$$

The Lai-Robert, Colin de Verdière-Letrouit,
Helffer, Helffer-Léautaud...

Set $\hat{x} := (n, m, \nu, \lambda) \in \hat{\mathbb{E}} = \mathbb{N}^2 \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{E}}(u)(n, m, \nu, \lambda) &:= (\hat{u}(\lambda) \psi_m^{\nu, \lambda} | \psi_n^{\nu, \lambda})_{L^2(\mathbb{R})} \\ &=: \int_{\mathbb{H}} \mathcal{W}(\hat{x}, x) u(x) dx\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{W}((n, m, \nu, \lambda), x) := e^{i(\lambda x_4 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} x_2)} \int_{\mathbb{R}} e^{i\lambda(\theta x_3 + \frac{\theta^2}{2} x_2)} \psi_m^{\nu, \lambda}(\theta + x_1) \psi_n^{\nu, \lambda}(\theta) d\theta.$$

Then

$$\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{E}}(-\Delta_{\mathbb{E}} u)(n, m, \nu, \lambda) = \underbrace{E_m(\nu, \lambda)}_{\text{frequency}} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{E}}(u)(n, m, \nu, \lambda).$$

Theorem (Bahouri-DB-Gallagher-Léautaud 2023)

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{E_m(\mu)^\gamma} d\mu < \infty \iff \gamma > 2$$

Moreover assume $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, r^{\frac{5}{2}} dr)$

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*} \Phi(E_m(\nu, \lambda)) d\nu d\lambda = C \int_0^\infty \Phi(r) r^{\frac{5}{2}} dr.$$

where

$$C = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{3}{E_m(\mu)^{\frac{7}{2}}} d\mu.$$

- it splits the contribution of the spectrum and the one of F
- it is a summability result for all the spectra

Theorem (Bahouri-DB-Gallagher-Léautaud 2023)

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{E_m(\mu)^\gamma} d\mu < \infty \iff \gamma > 2$$

Moreover assume $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, r^{\frac{Q-2}{2}} dr)$

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*} \Phi(E_m(\nu, \lambda)) d\nu d\lambda = C \int_0^\infty \Phi(r) r^{\frac{Q-2}{2}} dr.$$

where

$$C = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{3}{E_m(\mu)^{\frac{Q}{2}}} d\mu.$$

- it splits the contribution of the spectrum and the one of F
- it is a summability result for all the spectra

Analogue in Heisenberg \mathbb{H}^d

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^d} \int_0^\infty \Phi(|\lambda|(2|m|+d)) |\lambda|^d d\lambda = \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{2}{(2|m|+d)^{d+1}} \right) \int_0^\infty \Phi(r) r^d dr.$$

- notice the Plancherel measure in LHS and $d = (Q - 2)/2$,
 $d + 1 = Q/2$.
- the convergence in this case is easy

Analogue in \mathbb{R}^n would be the spherical coordinate formula

$$\int_0^\infty \Phi(|\xi|^2) d\xi = |S^{d-1}| \int_0^\infty \Phi(r) r^{\frac{n-2}{2}} dr.$$

Recall that for θ being the Fourier transform of a radial function

$$\int_{\widehat{\mathbb{H}}^d} \theta(\widehat{x}) d\widehat{x} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} \theta(n, n, \lambda) |\lambda|^d d\lambda.$$

For spherical measures (on sphere of radius R) we want

$$\int_{\widehat{\mathbb{H}}^d} \theta(\widehat{x}) d\widehat{x} = \int_0^\infty \left(\int_{\mathbb{S}_{\widehat{\mathbb{H}}^d}^R} \theta(\widehat{x}) d\sigma_R(\widehat{x}) \right) dR$$

So we have (change of variable $R^2 = (2|n| + d)|\lambda|$)

$$\int_{\mathbb{S}_{\widehat{\mathbb{H}}^d}^R} \theta(\widehat{x}) d\sigma_R(\widehat{x}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}^d} \frac{2R^{2d+1}}{(2|n| + d)^{d+1}} \left(\sum_{\pm} \theta\left(n, n, \frac{\pm R^2}{2|n| + d}\right) \right)$$

Let G be a simply connected nilpotent Lie group, \mathfrak{g} its Lie algebra, and \mathfrak{g}^* its dual.

Lemma (Kirillov lemma)

*It exists in \mathfrak{g}^**

- *a G -invariant subset V (open in the Zariski topology),*
- *a linear submanifold Q of \mathfrak{g}^**

such that all coadjoint orbits lying in V intersect Q at exactly one point.

Elements of $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}^{**} =$ linear functions on \mathfrak{g}^* .

We choose a basis of \mathfrak{g} by

$$X_1, \dots, X_m, Y_{m+1}, \dots, Y_n$$

such that

- Y_{m+1}, \dots, Y_n will be constant on Q ,
- X_1, \dots, X_m as coordinates on Q ,

For every point $\eta_X \in Q$ with coordinates $X = (X_1, \dots, X_m)$ we consider a skew-symmetric matrix A of size $n - m$ with elements

$$B_{ij}(X) = \langle \eta_X, [Y_i, Y_j] \rangle, \quad i, j = m + 1, \dots, n$$

Theorem

The Plancherel measure is

$$\mu = \sqrt{\det B(X_1, \dots, X_m)} dX_1 \wedge \dots \wedge dX_m$$

where $dX_1, \dots, dX_m, dY_{m+1}, \dots, dY_n$ is the dual basis.

Case of the Heisenberg and Engel \rightarrow at the blackboard.

It relies on a refined analysis of the spectrum of P_μ : recall

$$P_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + \left(\frac{\theta^2}{2} - \mu\right)^2, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

This operator appears also in different contexts:

- in quantum mechanics;
- in the study of Schrödinger operators with magnetic fields

It is defined on the domain

$$D(P_\mu) = \left\{ u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \quad -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + \left(\frac{\theta^2}{2} - \mu\right)^2 u \in L^2(\mathbb{R}) \right\}, \quad (4)$$

and that its spectrum consists in countably many real eigenvalues $\{E_m(\mu)\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}}$ of multiplicity 1 and satisfying

$$0 < E_0(\mu) < E_1(\mu) < \cdots < E_m(\mu) < E_{m+1}(\mu) \rightarrow +\infty.$$

It relies on a refined analysis of the spectrum of P_μ : recall

$$P_\mu = -\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + \left(\frac{\theta^2}{2} - \mu\right)^2, \quad \mu \in \mathbb{R}$$

The behavior of the potential depends on the sign of the parameter μ :

- It admits a single well when $\mu < 0$
- It admits a double well when $\mu > 0$.
- need combination of microlocal and semiclassical analysis along with known spectral results.

Another observation for later

- it is the square of a polynomial of degree 2 (with no 1st order term)

Discuss (in terms of the parameter γ) convergence of

$$J_\gamma = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{E_k(\mu)^\gamma} d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N}} \frac{1}{E_k(\mu)^\gamma} d\mu d\delta(k),$$

where $d\delta(k)$ is the counting measure on \mathbb{N} .

- three main regimes to be considered in the analysis of the eigenvalues $E_k(\mu)$.
- In each of these regimes, we will use a semiclassical reformulation
- 1 $|\mu| \lesssim 1$ or $|\mu| \ll \sqrt{E_k(\mu)}$ (classical and perturbative classical regime) that is, μ bounded or going to $\pm\infty$ not too fast,
- 2 $\mu \rightarrow -\infty$ and $E_k(\mu) \lesssim \mu^2$ (Semiclassical Harmonic oscillator/single well regime),
- 3 $\mu \rightarrow +\infty$ and $E_k(\mu) \lesssim \mu^2$ (Semiclassical double well regime).

We shall then split \mathcal{J}_γ accordingly, for some $\varepsilon > 0$ (small) and $\mu_0 > 0$ (large) as

$$\mathcal{J}_\gamma = \mathcal{J}_\gamma^-(\varepsilon, \mu_0) + \mathcal{J}_\gamma^0(\varepsilon, \mu_0) + \mathcal{J}_\gamma^+(\varepsilon, \mu_0), \quad \text{with} \quad (5)$$

$$\mathcal{J}_\gamma^\bullet(\varepsilon, \mu_0) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \int_{\mathcal{E}^\bullet(\varepsilon, \mu_0)} \frac{d\mu d\delta(k)}{E_k(\mu)^\gamma} \quad (6)$$

$$\mathcal{E}^0(\varepsilon, \mu_0) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(\mu, k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N}, |\mu| \leq \mu_0 \text{ or } |\mu|^2 \leq \varepsilon^2 E_k(\mu)\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}^-(\varepsilon, \mu_0) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(\mu, k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N}, \mu \leq -\mu_0 \text{ and } |\mu|^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 E_k(\mu)\},$$

$$\mathcal{E}^+(\varepsilon, \mu_0) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{(\mu, k) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{N}, \mu \geq \mu_0 \text{ and } |\mu|^2 \geq \varepsilon^2 E_k(\mu)\}.$$

- Note that the (necessary and sufficient) condition $\gamma > 2$ for having $\mathcal{J}_\gamma < \infty$, as stated in Theorem, comes from the third (double well) region

As for instance some Sobolev embeddings. Remember here $Q = 7$.

Proposition

For $s > Q/2$, then $H^s(\mathbb{E})$ embeds in $L^\infty(\mathbb{E})$.

Recall that

$$\|u\|_{H^s(\mathbb{E})}^2 := \int_{\widehat{\mathbb{E}}} |\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{E}}(u)(\widehat{x})|^2 (1 + E_m(\nu, \lambda))^s d\widehat{x}$$

Start from the inversion formula

$$u(x) = (2\pi)^{-3} \int_{\widehat{\mathbb{E}}} \mathcal{W}(\widehat{x}, x^{-1}) \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{E}}(u)(\widehat{x}) d\widehat{x}$$

so that

$$|u(x)| \leq \int_{\widehat{\mathbb{E}}} |\mathcal{W}(\widehat{x}, x)| |\mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{E}}(u)(\widehat{x})| d\widehat{x}$$

Multiplying/dividing $(1 + E_m(\nu, \lambda))^{s/2}$ and using Cauchy-Schwartz

$$|u(x)| \leq \|u\|_{H^s} \left(\int_{\widehat{E}} |\mathcal{W}(\widehat{x}, x^{-1})|^2 (1 + E_m(\nu, \lambda))^{-s} d\widehat{x} \right)^{1/2}$$

Since $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} |\mathcal{W}(\widehat{x}, x^{-1})|^2 = 1$ due to the fact that representation are unitary it remains to estimate

$$\left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^*} (1 + E_m(\nu, \lambda))^{-s} d\lambda d\nu \right)^{1/2}$$

which thanks to the summation formula is finite for $s > Q/2$

$$\leq \left(\int_0^\infty (1+r)^{-s} r^{\frac{Q-2}{2}} dr \right) \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{E_m(\mu)^{\frac{Q}{2}}} d\mu \right)$$

We are interested in the assumptions on Φ giving,

$$\Phi(-\Delta_{\mathbb{E}})u = u \star k_{\Phi}, \quad \text{for all } u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{E}), \quad (7)$$

Theorem (BBGL, 23)

Assume $\Phi \in L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, r^{\frac{5}{2}} dr)$. Then

- For any $u \in \mathcal{S}(\mathbb{E})$, then $\Phi(-\Delta_{\mathbb{E}}) : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow L^\infty$ is well-defined by

$$\Phi(-\Delta_{\mathbb{E}})u \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{E}}^{-1} \left(\Phi(E_m(\nu, \lambda)) \mathcal{F}_{\mathbb{E}}(u)(\hat{x}) \right).$$

- Moreover, there is k_{Φ} in $\mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{E})$ such that $\Phi(-\Delta_{\mathbb{E}})u = u \star k_{\Phi}$ and we have the continuous map

$$\begin{aligned} L^1(\mathbb{R}_+, r^{\frac{5}{2}} dr) &\longrightarrow \mathcal{S}'(\mathbb{E}) \\ \Phi &\longmapsto k_{\Phi} \end{aligned}$$

- Indeed k_Φ belongs to $C^0 \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{E})$ and there holds

$$\|k_\Phi\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{E})} \leq (2\pi)^{-3} C \int_0^\infty r^{5/2} |\Phi(r)| dr \quad \text{and}$$
$$k_\Phi(0) = (2\pi)^{-3} C \int_0^\infty r^{5/2} \Phi(r) dr,$$

where

$$C \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{3}{E_m(\mu)^{7/2}} d\mu < \infty.$$

- Finally $k_\Phi \in L^2(\mathbb{E})$ if and only if $\Phi \in L^2(\mathbb{R}_+, r^{5/2} dr)$ and there holds

$$\|k_\Phi\|_{L^2(\mathbb{E})}^2 = (2\pi)^{-3} C \int_0^\infty r^{5/2} |\Phi(r)|^2 dr.$$

Chapter 7: Higher steps groups: some observations and comments

The Goursat group in dim 5



This is the nilpotent Lie group of dimension 5 with a basis of the Lie algebra satisfying

$$[X_1, X_2] = X_3, \quad [X_1, X_3] = X_4, \quad [X_1, X_4] = X_5$$

In particular we can consider the (linear) smooth functions $h_1, h_2, h_3, h_4, h_5 : \mathfrak{g}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. To find a basis of the Poisson vector fields it is enough to write down \vec{h}_i for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, 5$. Using our formulas

$$\vec{h}_1 = h_3 \partial_{h_2} + h_4 \partial_{h_3} + h_5 \partial_{h_4}, \quad \vec{h}_2 = -h_3 \partial_{h_1}$$

$$\vec{h}_3 = -h_4 \partial_{h_1}, \quad \vec{h}_4 = -h_5 \partial_{h_1}$$

while h_5 is a casimir since the corresponding vector field X_5 is in the center. There is a second casimir similar to Engel.

Lemma

The function $f = \frac{1}{2}h_4^2 - h_3h_5$ is a casimir.

Notice that $\{f, h_j\} = 0$ for $j \geq 2$ since the only non zero commutators between the vector fields must contain X_1 and

$$\{f, h_1\} = \{h_4, h_1\}h_4 - \{h_3, h_1\}h_5 = -h_5h_4 + h_4h_5 = 0$$

There is a third casimir. It is necessary since the dimension of the leaves should be even, hence in this case is $2 = 5 - 3$.

Lemma

This function is a casimir

$$f = h_2h_5^2 + \frac{1}{3}h_4^3 - h_3h_4h_5$$

All coadjoint orbits are contained in the level sets

$$\begin{cases} h_5 = \lambda, \\ \frac{1}{2}h_4^2 - h_5h_3 = \nu \\ h_2h_5^2 + \frac{1}{3}h_4^3 - h_3h_4h_5 = \mu \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

The Poisson orbits are NOT NEEDED



→ It is enough to fix one point.

On the orbit we take $\eta = (0, \mu/\lambda^2, -\nu/\lambda, 0, \lambda)$ then we have a choice of maximal subalgebra

$$\mathfrak{h} = \text{span}\{X_2, X_3, X_4, X_5\}, \quad [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = 0.$$

and the corresponding 1-dim representation

$$\chi_{\nu, \lambda}(e^{x_2 X_2 + x_3 X_3 + x_4 X_4 + x_5 X_5}) = \exp i \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} x_2 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} x_3 + \lambda x_5 \right).$$

We write points on G as

$$g = e^{x_2 X_2 + x_3 X_3 + x_4 X_4 + x_5 X_5} e^{x_1 X_1}.$$

We take a complement $K = \exp(\mathbb{R}X_1)$ and we solve the Master equation

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\theta X_1} e^{x_2 X_2 + x_3 X_3 + x_4 X_4 + x_5 X_5} e^{x_1 X_1} &= \\ &= e^{x_2 X_2 + (x_3 + \theta x_2) X_3 + (x_4 + \theta x_3 + \frac{\theta^2}{2} x_2) X_4 + (x_5 + \theta x_4 + \frac{\theta^2}{2} x_3 + \frac{\theta^3}{6} x_2) X_5} e^{(\theta + x_1) X_1} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

We deduce that in the notation $\tilde{f}(\theta) = f(e^{\theta X_1})$

$$\mathcal{R}_{\mu,\nu,\lambda}\tilde{f}(\theta) = \exp \left[i \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} x_2 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} (x_3 + \theta x_2) + \lambda (x_5 + \theta x_4 + \frac{\theta^2}{2} x_3 + \frac{\theta^3}{6} x_2) \right) \right] \cdot \tilde{f}(\theta + x_1)$$

Differentiating with respect to the x_i at zero we get also the representation of the Lie algebra

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 \tilde{f} &= \frac{d}{d\theta} \tilde{f}, \\ X_2 \tilde{f} &= i \left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \theta + \frac{\lambda}{6} \theta^3 \right) \tilde{f}, \\ X_3 \tilde{f} &= i \left(-\frac{\nu}{\lambda} + \frac{\lambda}{2} \theta^2 \right) \tilde{f}, \\ X_4 \tilde{f} &= i \lambda \theta \tilde{f}, \quad X_5 \tilde{f} = i \lambda \tilde{f} \end{aligned}$$

notice $[X_1, X_2] = X_3$, $[X_1, X_3] = X_4$ $[X_1, X_4] = X_5$.

Observation

Notice that the Laplacian is

$$X_1^2 + X_2^2 = \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{6}\theta^3 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda}\theta + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} \right)^2$$

- it is a polynomial of degree = 2(step-1)
- it does not have term on degree step-2
- it is arbitrary!
- oscillator with polynomial potential!

Replacing $\theta \mapsto \alpha\theta$ (rescaling the functions) gives

$$\frac{1}{\alpha^2} \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{6} \alpha^3 \theta^3 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \alpha \theta + \frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} \right)^2 = \frac{1}{\alpha^2} \left[\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{6} \alpha^4 \theta^3 - \frac{\nu}{\lambda} \alpha^2 \theta + \alpha \frac{\mu}{\lambda^2} \right)^2 \right]$$

which is

$$\frac{1}{\alpha^2} \left[\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} - \left(\frac{\alpha^4 \lambda}{6} \theta^3 - \frac{\alpha^6 \nu}{\alpha^4 \lambda} \theta + \frac{\alpha^9 \mu}{(\alpha^4 \lambda)^2} \right)^2 \right]$$

- one recovers the good homogeneity μ, ν, λ of degree 9, 6, 4.
- These numbers also follows from the polynomial structure of the casimir
- assigning weights (1, 1, 2, 3, 4) to the coordinates (h_1, \dots, h_5) .

More formally as in the Engel group

$$P_{\alpha^9\mu, \alpha^6\nu, \alpha^4\lambda} = \alpha^2 T_\alpha P_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} T_\alpha^{-1}$$

Normalizing $\alpha^{-4} = \lambda$ we have

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} - \left(\frac{\theta^3}{6} - \lambda^{-6/4}\nu\theta + \lambda^{-9/4}\mu \right)^2$$

and renaming $a = \lambda^{-6/4}\nu$ and $b = \lambda^{-9/4}\mu$ we “reduce” the study to the following family

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} - \left(\frac{\theta^3}{6} - a\theta + b \right)^2$$

The normalized potential at step s satisfies

$$\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} - (V_s(\theta))^2$$

with at each s being the primitive $V_{s+1} = \int V_s d\theta$

$$V_2 = \theta$$

$$V_3 = \frac{\theta^2}{2} + a$$

$$V_4 = \frac{\theta^3}{6} + a\theta + b$$

A summation formula on the eigenvalue of the operator ?

- The next case would be

$$-\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + \left(\frac{\lambda}{6}\theta^3 - \frac{\nu_2}{\lambda}\theta + \frac{\nu_3}{\lambda^2} \right)^2$$

with ν_3, ν_2, λ homogeneous of degree 9, 6, 4 respectively.

- Denoting $E_m(\nu_2, \nu_3, \lambda)$ the corresponding eigenvalues we are asking for which γ

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int \frac{1}{E_m(\nu_2, \nu_3, 1)^\gamma} d\nu < \infty$$

- I do not know!
- relation with the measure of the unit sphere?

The summation formula



We denote $E_m(\mu, \nu, \lambda)$ is the m -th eigenvalue of $P_{\mu, \nu, \lambda}$, I assume they exists but I do not know :)

By scaling we have (check the signs) setting $\nu' = \frac{\nu}{\lambda^{6/4}}$ $\mu' = \frac{\mu}{\lambda^{9/4}}$

$$P_{\mu, \nu, \lambda} = |\lambda|^{1/2} T_{|\lambda|^{1/4}} P_{\mu', \nu', 1} T_{|\lambda|^{-1/4}}, \quad (11)$$

$$E_m(\mu, \nu, \lambda) = |\lambda|^{1/2} E_m(\mu', \nu', 1), \quad (12)$$

Then we compute

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int F(E_m(\mu, \nu, \lambda)) \lambda^{-2} d\lambda d\nu d\mu = \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int F\left(\lambda^{1/2} E_m\left(\frac{\mu}{\lambda^{9/4}}, \frac{\nu}{\lambda^{6/4}}, 1\right)\right) \lambda^{-2} d\lambda$$

so setting $\nu' = \frac{\nu}{\lambda^{6/4}}$ $\mu' = \frac{\mu}{\lambda^{9/4}}$ (for fixed λ)

$$= \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int F\left(\lambda^{1/2} E_m(\mu', \nu', 1)\right) \lambda^{7/4} d\lambda d\nu' d\mu'$$

and then setting $r = \lambda^{1/2} E_m(\mu', \nu', 1)$ (for fixed μ', ν') we find

$$dr = \lambda^{-1/2} E_m(\mu', \nu', 1) d\lambda$$

so that

$$\lambda^{7/4} d\lambda = \lambda^{9/4} \lambda^{-1/2} d\lambda = \left(\frac{r}{E_m} \right)^{9/2} \frac{dr}{E_m}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int F(E_m(\mu, \nu, \lambda)) \lambda^{-2} d\lambda d\nu d\mu &= \\ &= \left(\int r^{9/2} F(r) dr \right) \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int \frac{1}{E_m(\mu, \nu, 1)^{11/2}} d\mu d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

This is the nilpotent Lie group of dimension n and step $s = n - 1$ with a basis of the Lie algebra satisfying

$$[X_1, X_i] = X_{i+1}, \quad i = 2, \dots, n$$

Example: Filiform/Goursat group (step 4)

$$\overbrace{X_1, X_2}^{\mathfrak{g}_1}, \quad \overbrace{X_3 = [X_1, X_2]}^{\mathfrak{g}_2}, \quad \overbrace{X_4 = [X_1, X_3]}^{\mathfrak{g}_3}, \quad \overbrace{X_5 = [X_1, X_4]}^{\mathfrak{g}_4}$$

- dimension increase each time by 1
- s step, then $n = s + 1$ dimension
- it is always rank 2
- it is always the same vector field of \mathfrak{g}_1 generating the new direction

Generalization (only for this class of groups at the moment) as follows :

- the set of parameters will be $s - 1 = n - 2$ dimensional : (ν, λ)
- $\nu = (\nu_2, \dots, \nu_{s-1})$ a set of $s - 2$ parameters
- the Plancherel measure as $f(\lambda)d\lambda d\nu$,
- $Q = 1 + s(s + 1)/2$ be the homogeneous dimension

$$\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int \Phi(E_m(\nu, \lambda)) f(\lambda) d\lambda d\nu = c_n \left(\int r^{(Q-2)/2} \Phi(r) dr \right) \left(\sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \int \frac{1}{E_m(\nu, 1)^{Q/2}} d\nu \right) \quad (13)$$

where $E_m(\nu, 1)$ is the family of eigenvalue of a 1D oscillator of the form

$$-\frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} + (V_s(\nu; \theta))^2$$

with $V_s(\nu; \cdot)$ polynomial of degree $s - 1$ with **no term of degree $s - 2$**

Better to show in dim $n + 2$ (or step s , with $s = n + 1$)

$$V_s(\nu; \cdot) = \frac{d^2}{d\theta^2} - \left(\frac{\lambda}{n!} \theta^n + \sum_{k=2}^n (-1)^{k-1} \frac{\nu_k}{(k-2)! \lambda^{k-1}} \frac{\theta^{n-k}}{n-k!} \right)^2$$

- λ is the dual variable to the center
- the ν represents the casimirs

$$\frac{1}{k} X_2^k + \sum_{\ell=1}^{k-1} (-1)^\ell \frac{(k-2)!}{(k-\ell-1)!} X_1^\ell X_2^{k-\ell-1} X_{\ell+2}$$

- explicit homogeneity

A reference or... an advertisement



Cambridge University Press, 2020, 764pp

