## Mauro Costantini - Giovanni Zacher

## K-GROUPS AND WREATH PRODUCTS

To Guido Zappa on the occasion of his 90th birthday

ABSTRACT. — We give criteria for a wreath product to have complemented subgroup-lattice.

KEY WORDS: Complemented group; Wreath product; Subgroup lattice.

A group G is called a K-group if its subgroup lattice  $\ell(G)$  is a complemented lattice. For basic information concerning K-groups we refer the reader to  $[9, \S 3 \text{ n. 1}]$ . While finite simple groups are K-groups, [2], and while the structure of solvable K-groups is well understood [11], a characterization of all finite K-groups is still missing. The purpose of the present paper is to give a contribution in this direction, by establishing several criteria for a wreath product G of L by H,  $G = L \wr H$ , to be a K-group.

The paper is divided in 4 sections. Section 1 contains preliminaries of general nature and shows how to reduce the classification of K-groups to the case where the solvable radical S(G) of G is trivial. In section 2, we give relevant structural information on the H-invariant subgroups of the interval  $[B/\Delta B]$ , where B is the base group of G and  $\Delta B$  is the diagonal subgroup of B: Propositions 2.3 and 2.4 are central for our applications. In section 3 and 4 are presented several criteria which guarantee that a wreath product is a K-group; particular relevance in this regard have Theorems 3.2, 3.5, 3.8 and 4.2.

The notation is mainly standard; in case of special symbols, we shall define them when first needed in the course of exposition. We emphasize that throughout the paper H stands for a transitive permutation group of degree  $n \geq 2$  on a set  $\Omega$  whose elements are either the digits  $1, 2, \ldots, n$  or the right cosets  $H_ih$  with  $H_i$  the stabilizer of i and  $h \in H$ . All groups are meant to be finite.

- 1. We recall that in a K-group G, an interval [E/D] is a complemented lattice as soon as D is a Dedekind subgroup ([9], 2.1) and E is a dual-Dedekind subgroup ([9], 2.4) of G; moreover if  $A \leq B \leq G$  with A normal in G and B subnormal in G, then the Frattini subgroup  $\Phi(B/A)$  is trivial. In particular the generalized Fitting subgroup  $F^*(G)$  is a direct product of simple groups. Useful in this context is the well known statement:
- (1.1) Let A be a nilpotent subnormal subgroup of a group G. Then  $A^G\Phi(G)/\Phi(G)$  is a direct product of minimal normal subgroups of  $G/\Phi(G)$  and has a complement in  $G/\Phi(G)$  [4, 12].

PROPOSITION 1.1. Let S be a solvable subnormal subgroup of G. Then G is a K-group if and only if  $G/S^G$  is a K-group and  $\Phi(G/F_i(S^G)) = 1$  for all terms of the ascending Fitting series of  $S^G$ .

PROOF. The necessity is clear. Conversely, let G be a minimal counterexample. Then  $S \neq 1$  and, since  $\Phi(G) = 1$ , by (1.1) we have  $G = F(S^G) : C$ , where  $F(S^G)$  is a direct product of minimal normal subgroups, while  $C \cong G/F(S^G)$  is a K-group. But then, by [9] 3.1.9, G itself is a K-group, a contradiction.

COROLLARY 1.2. The group G is a K-group if and only if:

- i) G/S(G) is a K-group, and
- ii)  $\Phi(G/F_i(S(G))) = 1$  for all i's.

As one may note, Corollary 1.2 reduces the study of K-groups essentially to the semisimple case.

COROLLARY 1.3. Let G be a K-group and  $N \supseteq G$ . Then N is a K-group if and only if N/S(N) is a K-group.

PROOF. Assume N/S(N) a K-group and N a minimal counterexample. Then  $S(N) \neq 1$  and, since G/F(S(N)) is a K-group, such is N/F(S(N)). Moreover  $\Phi(N) \leq \Phi(G) = 1$  so that, by (1.1), N = F(S(N)) : C, with F(S(N)) a direct product of minimal normal subgroups of N, while C is a K-group. But then N is a K-group by [9] 3.1.9, a contradiction.

By Corollary 1.3, if R is subnormal in S(G) and G is a K-group, then R is a K-group. Given a non-trivial group L, let  $L^{\Omega}$  be the group of all functions of  $\Omega$  in L, group which can be identified with the direct product B of n copies of L,  $B = L_1 \times \cdots \times L_n$ . The position  $f^b(\omega) = f(\omega^{b^{-1}})$  defines a right action of h on  $L^{\Omega}$ . The semidirect product G of B by H defined by  $(f,h)(f_1,h_1)=(g,hh_1)$ , with  $g=ff_1^h$ , is called the *wreath product* of L by H and is denoted by  $G=L \wr H=B:H$ . The group  $B=L^{\Omega}$  is called the *base group* and the subgroup  $\Delta B$  of constant functions is called the *diagonal subgroup*. The group H permutes the elements of  $\{L_1,\ldots,L_n\}$  via conjugation according to the rule  $L_i^h=L_{ih}$ . We recall that  $H_G=1$ ,  $C_G(B)=Z(B)$ ,  $C_G(H)=Z(H)\times \Delta B$ ,  $N_G(H)=H\times \Delta B$ , while  $H_i$  is the normalizer as well as the centralizer of  $L_i$  in H.

(1.2) Given  $G = L \wr H$ , assume  $S(L) \neq L$ . Then  $\Phi(G) \leq S(G) = S(B) \cong (S(L))^n$ .

PROOF. We have  $G/S(B) \cong (L/S(L)) \wr H$  and  $S(G) \cap B = S(B)$ ; hence  $S(G)/S(B) \leq C_{G/S(B)}(B/S(B)) \leq B/S(B)$ , since  $S(L) \neq L$ .

Proposition 1.4. Given  $G = L \wr H$  we have

a) if G is a K-group then  $(L/S(L)) \wr H$ , H and S(L) are K-groups. L itself is a K-group if (and only if) L/S(L) is a K-group.

b) G is a K-group if and only if  $(L/S(L)) \wr H$  is a K-group and  $\Phi((L/F_i(S(L)) \wr H) = 1$  for all i's.

PROOF. a) Since  $G/S(B) \cong (L/S(L)) \wr H$  and  $H \cong G/B$ , they are K-groups and, by Corollary 1.3, such is S(B) and so is S(L). Also, again by Corollary 1.3, B is a K-group if and only if B/S(B) is a K-group, so that L is a K-group if and only if L/S(L) is a K-group.

b) By Proposition 1.1, G is a K-group if and only if G/S(B) is a K-group and  $\Phi(G/F_i(S(B))) = 1$  for all i's, and we are done since  $G/F_i(S(B)) \cong (L/F_i(S(L))) \wr H$ .  $\square$ 

Given a group G and a subgroup X, the interval [G/X] is called *monocoatomic* with coatom M if M is the unique maximal subgroup of G containing X. For later references we recall the following criterion established in [2].

PROPOSITION 1.5. Let  $\{[G/X_i]\}_i$  be a family of monocoatomic intervals with  $\{M_i\}_i$  the family of its coatoms. Then G is a K-group if each  $X_i$  is a K-group and  $(\cap_i M_i)_{P(G)} = 1$ , P(G) being the group of all autoprojectivities of G.

2. Given  $G = L \wr H$  and a non-empty subset I of  $\Omega$ , set  $\Delta_I = \{(x_1, \ldots, x_n) \in B \mid x_i = x_j \text{ for all } i, j \in I\}$ . Thus  $\Delta_I$  is the subgroup of B of all functions constant on I: we have  $\Delta_I = \Delta(\underset{k \in I}{\times} L_k) \times \prod_{k \notin I} L_k \cong L^{|\Omega \setminus I|+1}$  and, for  $h \in H$ ,  $\Delta_I^h = \Delta_{I^h} = \Delta(\underset{k \in I^h}{\times} L_k) \times \prod_{k \notin I^h} L_k = \Delta(\underset{k \in I}{\times} L_{k^h}) \times \prod_{k \notin I^h} L_k$ . The following intersection formulas hold for non-empty subsets I, J of  $\Omega$ 

(\*) 
$$\Delta_{I} \cap \Delta_{J} = \begin{cases} \Delta_{I \cup J} & \text{if } I \cap J \neq \emptyset \\ \Delta(\underset{k \in I}{\times} L_{k}) \times \Delta(\underset{k \in J}{\times} L_{k}) \times \underset{k \notin I \cup J}{\prod} L_{k} & \text{if } I \cap J = \emptyset \end{cases}$$

The map  $X \mapsto X \cap B$  defines an isomorphism of [G/H] onto the lattice  $[B/1]_H$  of H-invariant subgroups of B; in what follows we are mainly interested in describing the structure of maximal subgroups as well of maximal H-invariant subgroups of B. If one puts  $\hat{L}_i = \{f \in L^\Omega \mid f(i) = 1\}$ , then  $\hat{L}_i \triangleleft \hat{L}_i \triangle B = B$  and  $X \mapsto X \cap \hat{L}_i$  defines an isomorphism of  $[B/\Delta B] \to [\hat{L}_i/1]_{\Delta B}$ . For  $T \leq B$  we set  $T^u = \underset{i \in I}{\times} T^{\pi_i}$ , with  $\pi_i : B \to L_i$  the projection map, and  $T_\ell = \underset{i \in I}{\times} T_i$ ,  $T_i = L_i \cap T \triangleleft T$ . T is called a *standard subgroup* of B if  $T = T^u (= T_\ell)$  and non-standard otherwise: since  $(\Delta B)^u = B$ , all elements of  $[B/\Delta B]$  different from B are non-standard subgroups. Clearly a standard subgroup T of B is H-invariant if and only if  $T_i^b = T_{i^b}$  for all i's and it is a maximal standard H-invariant subgroup of B if moreover  $T_i < L_i$ .

According to [10, §1], to get a maximal subgroup F in  $[B/\Delta B]$  one can proceed in the following way: consider in B an H-invariant standard subgroup  $S = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$ ,  $S_i^b = S_{i^b}$ , with  $S_i$  maximal normal in  $L_i$ , and set  $F = R \times \prod_{k \notin u} L_k$ ,  $u = \{r, s\}$ ,  $R = (S_r \times S_s) \Delta(L_r \times L_s)$ . Then F is a maximal subgroup of B containing  $\Delta B$ . We are

now interested in determining the structure of  $F_H$ . To this end, we introduce the set  $\mathcal{U}$  of all subsets of  $\Omega$  of cardinality 2. On  $\mathcal{U}$  we define a relation  $\sim$  by setting

(\*\*)  $u \sim v$  if there exist sequences  $u_0, \ldots, u_t$  in  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $h_1, \ldots, h_t$  in H, t > 0,

such that 
$$u_{i-1}^{b_i} = u_i$$
 and  $u_{i-1} \cap u_i \neq \emptyset$  for all  $i > 0$ .

Clearly  $\sim$  is an equivalence relation, and it is an H-congruence [3, Exercise 1.5.4], in the sense that  $u \sim v$  if and only if  $u^b \sim v^b$ : hence H acts on the quotient space  $\mathcal{U}/\sim$ . We note that  $u \sim v$  implies  $v = u^b$  for some  $b \in H$ .

Lemma 2.1. Given  $u \in \mathcal{U}$ , let  $\mathcal{V}$  be the congruence class of u and let  $\mathcal{V}^H = \{\mathcal{U}_i\}_{i \in I}$  be the orbit of V in  $U/\sim$ . Then

- a) if  $\{r,s\} \in \mathcal{U}_i$  and  $\{r,s'\} \in \mathcal{U}_j$ , with  $i,j \in I$ , then i=j;
- b) for  $i \in I$ , set  $\Omega_i := \underset{v \in I}{\times} v \subseteq \Omega$ . Then  $\{\Omega_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a complete system of (imprimitivity) blocks for H with  $\Omega_i = \Omega_j$  if and only if i = j,  $H_{U_i} = H_{\Omega_i}$ ,  $|I| = |H: H_{\Omega_i}|$ ,  $|\Omega_i| = |H_{\Omega_i}: H_r|, r \in \Omega_i$
- c) let  $i \in I$  be such that  $u \in U_i$ , and let  $r \in u$ . Then  $\Omega_i$  is the intersection of all blocks for H containing u or, equivalently, if  $u = \{H_r, H_r x\}$ , then  $\Omega_i = \{H_r h \mid h \in \langle H_r, x \rangle\}$ ;  $\Omega_i$  is a minimal block [3, Example 1.5.1] if and only if  $H_r < \langle H_r, x \rangle$ .

PROOF. a) Let  $h \in H$  be such that  $U_i^h = U_j$ . Then  $\{r, s\}^h \sim \{r, s'\}$ , so that  $\{r,s'\}=\{r,s\}^{bb'}$  for a certain  $b'\in H$ ; but then  $\{r,s\}\sim\{r,s'\}$ , i.e.  $\mathcal{U}_j=\mathcal{U}_i$ , and j=i.

- b) Assume  $r \in \Omega_i \cap \Omega_j$ . Then  $\{r, s\} \in \mathcal{U}_i$ ,  $\{r, s'\} \in \mathcal{U}_j$  for certain s, s', so by a), i = j. Let  $h \in H_{\Omega_i}$  and let  $\mathcal{U}_i^b = \mathcal{U}_j$ . Then  $\Omega_i = \Omega_i^b = \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{U}_i} v = \Omega_j$ , so i = j, and  $\mathcal{U}_i^b = \mathcal{U}_i$ .
- c) Let  $\overline{\Omega}$  be the intersection of all blocks containing u, and suppose  $\overline{\Omega} \subset \Omega_i$ . Then there exists a  $v \in \mathcal{U}_i$  such that  $v\Omega$ . Since  $u \sim v$ , there are sequences  $(u_i)$ ,  $(h_i)$  as in (\*\*). Hence there exists j such that  $u_{j-1} \in \overline{\Omega}$ , but  $u_j \notin \overline{\Omega}$ , but this is a contradiction, since  $u_i = u_{i-1}^{b_j}$ , so that  $\overline{\Omega} \cap \overline{\Omega}^{b_j} \supseteq u_{j-1} \cap u_j \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore  $\Omega_i = \overline{\Omega}$ . If  $r \in u$  and we identify uwith  $\{H_r, H_r x\}$  (for a certain  $x \in H \setminus H_r$ ), then the minimal block containing u has setwise stabilizer the subgroup  $\langle H_r, x \rangle \in [H/H_r]$  [3, Theorem 1.5A], that is  $\Omega_i = \{H_r h \mid h \in \langle H_r, x \rangle \}$ . The conclusion follows.

For simplicity and without loss of generality, further on we shall assume that  $u = \{1, r\}$  and call  $U_1$  the congruence class of u.

Lemma 2.2. Set 
$$\Omega_1 = \bigcup_{v \in \mathcal{U}_1} v$$
,  $F = \Delta_u$ . Then a)  $F_{H_{\mathcal{U}_1}} = F_{H_{\Omega_1}} = \Delta_{\Omega_1}$ ;

a) 
$$F_{H_{\Omega_1}} = F_{H_{\Omega_1}} = \Delta_{\Omega_1}$$
;

b) 
$$F_{(H_{\Omega_1})b} = F_{H_{\Omega_1}}^b = \Delta_{\Omega_1^b}$$
.

PROOF. a) We have  $H_{\mathcal{U}_1} = H_{\Omega_1}$  by Lemma 2.1 b). For  $h \in H_{\mathcal{U}_1}$ , we get  $F^h = \Delta_{u^h}$  with  $u \sim u^b$ ; so if  $(u_i)$ ,  $(h_i)$  are sequences as in (\*\*), using (\*) we get

$$F \wedge F^b \geq \Delta_{u_0=u} \wedge \cdots \wedge \Delta_{u_n=u^b} \geq \Delta_{\Omega_1}$$

Since  $\Delta_{\Omega_1}$  is  $H_{\Omega_1}$ -invariant, we get  $F_{H_{\Omega_1}} \geq \Delta_{\Omega_1}$ . But since  $\Omega_1 = \bigcup_{b \in H_{U_1}} u^b$ , also the other inclusion holds.

b) 
$$F_{(H_{\Omega_1})b} = F_{(H_{U_1})b} \bigwedge_{y \in H_{U_1} b} F^y = \left( \bigwedge_{x \in H_{U_1}} F^x \right)^b = \Delta_{\Omega_1^b}.$$

Proposition 2.3. Set  $H = \bigcup_{1 \leq i \leq m} H_{\Omega_1} h_i$ , with  $h_1 = 1$ ,  $F = \Delta_u$ . Then

- a)  $F_H = \underset{1 \leq i \leq m}{\times} \Delta(\underset{k \in \Omega_i^{b_i}}{\times} L_k) \cong L^m$ ,  $\Delta B \leq F_H$ ,  $F_H \wedge L_i = 1$ ;
- b) there exists an order-inverting embedding  $\varphi$  of  $[H/H_1]$  into  $[B/\Delta B]_H$  such that  $X^{\varphi} \wedge L_i = 1$  for all i's, if  $X \neq H_1$ .
  - c) if L is simple non-abelian, then  $(F_H H)_G = 1$ .

PROOF. a)  $F_H = \bigwedge_{1 \le i \le m} F_{H_{\Omega_1} b_i} = \bigwedge_i F_{H_{\Omega_1}}^{b_i} = \bigwedge_i I_{\Omega_1}^{b_i} = \sum_{1 \le i \le m} I_{k \in \Omega_1^{b_i}}^{b_i} = \sum_{1 \le i \le m} I_{k \in \Omega_1^{b_i}}^{b_i} = I_{k \in \Omega_1^{b_i}}^{b_i} =$ 

b) For  $X \in [H/H_1]$  consider the imprimitivity system  $\{\overline{\Omega}_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq m}$  determined by X. Then the map  $\varphi: X \mapsto \underset{1 \leq j \leq m}{\times} \Delta(\underset{k \in \overline{\Omega}_i}{\times} L_k)$  has the required properties.

c) Set 
$$N = (F_H H)_G$$
. Then  $N \wedge B = 1$ , hence  $N \leq C_G(B) = 1$ .

Corollary 2.4. Let T be an element of  $[B/\Delta B]_H$  such that  $\overline{L}_i = L_i/L_i \wedge T$  is simple non-abelian. Then T is a maximal element in  $[B/\Delta B]_H$  if and only if  $T/T_\ell = \underset{1 \leq i \leq m}{\times} \Delta(\underset{k \in \Omega_i}{\times} \overline{L}_k)$ , where  $m = |H: H_{\Omega_1}|$  and  $\{\Omega_i\}$  is a complete system of minimal blocks for H, afforded by an  $R \in [H/H_1]$  such that  $H_1 < R$ .

PROOF. The sufficiency is clear from our previous discussion. Assume now that T is a maximal element in  $[B/\Delta B]_H$ . Since  $T \neq B$ , there exists  $u = \{1, r\}$  such that  $T/T_\ell \leq F/T_\ell = \Delta_u T_\ell/T_\ell = \Delta(\overline{L}_1 \times \overline{L}_r) \times \prod_{k \notin u} \overline{L}_k < B/T_\ell$ . So  $T \leq F_H \in [B/\Delta B]_H$  implies  $T = F_H$  and  $T/T_\ell$  has the indicated structure by Proposition 2.3 a). Moreover, since T is maximal H-invariant, according to Lemma 2.1c),  $\Omega_1 = \{H_1 b \mid b \in R\}$ , with  $H_1 < R$ . The conclusion follows.

Corollary 2.5. Let  $F = \Delta_u$ ,  $u = \{H_1, H_1x\}$ . Then

- a)  $F_H = \Delta B$  if and only if  $H = \langle H_r, x \rangle$  i.e.  $x \notin \bigcup M_i$ ,  $H_1 \leq M_i < H$ .
- b) if H is primitive and L is a non-abelian simple group then  $[B/\Delta B]_H$  has length 1.

PROOF. *a*) By Proposition 2.3 *a*), 
$$F_H = \Delta B$$
 if and only if  $H_{\Omega_1} = \langle H_r, x \rangle = H$ . *b*) follows from Corollary 2.4.

Remark 2.1. We note that in general if  $[B/\Delta B]_H$  has length 1, then H is primitive and L is simple, since then, by Proposition 2.3 b), we have  $H_1 < H$  and, if  $S \triangleleft L$ , then  $S \wr H \in [B/\Delta B]_H$ . However, in the other direction, if L is not assumed to be non-abelian,

then  $[B/\Delta B]_H$  may have length greater than 1. Consider the following example: let  $L = C_p$ ,  $H = \langle (12...n) \rangle$ . Then  $H_1 = \{1\} < H$  if and only if n is prime. Assume now n = 3. Then the cardinality h of  $[B/\Delta B]_H$  is

$$h = \begin{cases} 3 & \text{if } p = 3 \\ 2 & \text{if } p \equiv -1 \mod 3 \\ 4 & \text{if } p \equiv 1 \mod 3 \end{cases}$$

and  $[B/\Delta B]_H$  has length 1 if and only if  $p \equiv -1 \mod 3$ .

In general, if n is an odd prime q, then  $[B/\Delta B]_H$  has length 1 if and only if q is a primitive divisor of  $p^{q-1}-1$ , that is if and only if  $q \neq p$  and q does not divide  $p^i-1$  for  $1 \leq i < q-1$  (see [6]).

To the expression  $F_H = \tilde{L}_1 \times \cdots \times \tilde{L}_m$  in Proposition 2.3 a), where  $\tilde{L}_i$  stands for  $\Delta(\times L_k)$  we can associate, via conjugation, the transitive permutation representation  $\theta: H \to \operatorname{Sym}(m)$  of degree m. In the next lemma we collect some useful properties of  $\theta$ .

LEMMA 2.6. We have:

a)  $\ker \theta = (H_{\Omega_1})_H$  and, if  $\tilde{H} = H/\ker \theta$ , then  $\tilde{G} = F_H H/\ker \theta = F_H : \tilde{H} = \tilde{L} \wr \tilde{H}$ , with  $\tilde{H}$  a transitive permutation group of degree  $\deg \tilde{H} = |H: H_{\Omega_1}| < \deg H$  acting on the complete system of blocks  $\{\Omega_1^H\}$  for H on  $\Omega$ .

b) if  $\Phi(\tilde{G}) = 1$  and H is a K-group, then for any normal subgroup N of  $F_HH$  contained in  $\ker \theta$ ,  $\Phi(F_HH/N) = 1$ .

PROOF. a) We have  $\tilde{H}_{\tilde{G}} = 1$  and  $N_{\tilde{H}}(\tilde{L}_i) = C_{\tilde{H}}(\tilde{L}_i)$ , so that  $\tilde{G} = \tilde{L} \wr \tilde{H}$ . b) Set  $\mathcal{M} = \{X < F_H H \mid N \leq X\}$ ,  $\mathcal{M}_{H,N} = \{X < H \mid N \leq X\}$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} = \{F_H X \mid X \in \mathcal{M}_{H,N}\}$ ; then  $F_H X < F_H H$ ,  $F_H X \land H = X$  and  $\tilde{\mathcal{M}} \subseteq \mathcal{M}$ . Thus  $\bigwedge_{\mathcal{M}} Y \leq \ker \theta \land (\bigwedge_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} Y) \leq K \leq H \land (\bigwedge_{\tilde{\mathcal{M}}} Y) = K \leq K \leq M \leq M$ . Thus  $K \in \mathcal{M}_{H,N} = K \leq K \leq M \leq M$ .

Theorem 2.7. Given a group L consider  $G = L \wr H$ . Let  $S_i$  be a maximal normal subgroup of  $L_i$  with  $\overline{L}_i = L_i/S_i$  non-abelian,  $S_i^b = S_{ib}$  for each i and  $S = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_n$ . Then a T in  $[B/S\Delta B]$  is H-invariant if and only if T = B or there exists a complete system of blocks  $\{\Omega_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq m}$  for H on  $\Omega$  such that  $T/S = \underset{1 \leq i \leq m}{\times} \Delta(\underset{b \in \Omega}{\times} \overline{L}_k)$ .

PROOF. Assume T to be H-invariant in  $[B/S\Delta B]$ , and  $T \neq B$ . We have  $S_i \leq T_i$ , hence  $S = T_\ell < T < T^u = B$  and by Corollary 2.4 there exists  $F = S\Delta_u$ , with  $u = \{H_1, H_1x\}$  and  $H_1 < \langle H_r, x \rangle$  such that  $F_H$  is a maximal element of  $[B/\Delta B]_H$ ,  $T/S \leq F_H/S = \underset{1 \leq i \leq m}{\times} \tilde{L}_i$ , where  $\tilde{L}_i = \Delta(\underset{k \in \Omega_i}{\times} L_k) \cong L$ . By Lemma 2.6,  $(F_H/S) : \tilde{H} = \tilde{L} \wr \tilde{H} = \tilde{G}$ ,  $m = \deg \tilde{H} = |H:H_{\Omega_1}| < \deg H$ . Let G be a counterexample with H of minimal degree. If m = 1, then  $T/S = \tilde{L}_1$ , a contradiction. Hence  $m \geq 2$ . In  $\tilde{G} = \tilde{L} \wr \tilde{H}$  we have  $\Delta \tilde{B} \leq T < T^u = \tilde{B}$  i.e. T is a proper element of  $[\tilde{B}/\Delta \tilde{B}]_H$ . By minimality, there exists a complete system of blocks  $\{\Omega_j\}_{1 \leq j \leq s}$  for  $\tilde{H}$ ,  $s \leq m$  such that  $T/S = \underset{1 \leq j \leq s}{\times} \Delta(\underset{k \in \Omega_i}{\times} \tilde{L}_k)$ . Let d

be the cardinality of  $\Omega_j$ . Then each block  $\Omega_j$  is the union of d convenient blocks of  $\{\Omega_i\}$ :  $\Omega_j = \bigcup_{1 \le i \le d} \Omega_i^{(j)}$  and so  $\Omega_j$  (thought as a subset of  $\Omega$ ) is a block  $\tilde{\Omega}_j$  for H with  $|\tilde{\Omega}_j| = d |H_{\Omega_1}: H_1|$  and  $T/S = \underset{1 \le j \le s}{\times} \Delta(\underset{k \in \tilde{\Omega}_j}{\times} L_k) \cong L^s$ , a contradiction. The converse is clear by Proposition 2.3 b).

(2.1) Given  $G = L \wr H$ , we have  $(\Delta B \times H)_G = 1$  if and only if Z(L) = 1.

PROOF.  $Z(L) \cong Z(\Delta B) \leq \Delta B \times H$  and since  $Z(\Delta B) \triangleleft G$ ,  $(\Delta B \times H)_G \neq 1$  if  $Z(L) \neq 1$ . Assume now Z(L) = 1 and  $(\Delta B \times H)_G = N \neq 1$ . If  $N \wedge B = 1$  we get  $N \leq C_G(B) \leq B$ , i.e.  $N \leq Z(B)$ , a contradiction. So  $D = N \wedge B \neq 1$  and since  $N \leq \Delta B \times H$  we have  $D \leq (\Delta B \times H) \wedge B = \Delta B$  and  $D \triangleleft G$ . Take a non-trivial element  $(d, \ldots, d) \in D$  and pick  $1 \neq (\ell_1, 1, \ldots, 1) \in B$ . Then  $(\ell_1, 1, \ldots, 1)^{-1}(d, \ldots, d)(\ell_1, 1, \ldots, 1) = (d^{\ell_1}, d, \ldots, d) \in D$ , i.e.  $d^{\ell_1} = d$  for all  $\ell_1 \in L$  so  $d \in Z(L) = 1$ , a contradiction. Therefore N = 1.

## 3. We begin with

Lemma 3.1. Let L be a simple non-abelian group and assume that H has a maximal corefree subgroup  $M_0$ . For  $R \leq M_0$ , let  $H_R$  denote the (faithful) right coset representation of H afforded by R. Then  $G_R = L \wr H_R$  is a K-group if and only if H is a K-group.

PROOF. We begin with  $R = M_0$ . By Corollary 2.5 b),  $\Delta B$  is a maximal element in  $[B/\Delta B]_H$ , hence  $\Delta B \times H$  is a maximal K-subgroup of  $G_R$ , since  $\Delta B \cong L$ . By (2.1)  $(\Delta B \times H)_G = 1$ , hence by Proposition 1.5, G is a K-group. For  $R \leq M_0$ , assume that  $G_R$  is a counterexample and choose R with  $|H:R| \neq 1$  minimal. Thus  $R \neq M_0$  and we can take  $u = \{R, Rx\}$  with  $R < \langle H_r, x \rangle = A \leq M_0$ . Then for  $F = \Delta_u$ ,  $F_{H_R}$  is, by Corollary 2.4, a maximal element in  $[B/\Delta B]_{H_R}$ , hence  $F_{H_R}H_R < G$ . According to Lemma 2.6,  $F_{H_R}H_R \cong \tilde{L} \wr \tilde{H}$ , with  $\tilde{H} \cong H$  since  $\ker \theta = A_H = 1$  and  $\deg \tilde{H} = |H:A| < |H:R| = \deg H_R$ . By the minimality assumption,  $\tilde{L} \wr \tilde{H}$  is a K-group and by Proposition 2.3 c),  $(F_{H_R}H_R)_G = 1$ . But then by Proposition 1.5,  $G_R$  is a K-group, a contradiction.

THEOREM 3.2. Let L be a given group such that L/S(L) is a direct product of simple groups and let H be a group with a maximal core-free subgroup  $M_0$ . Then for every  $R \leq M_0$ ,  $G_R$  is a K-group if and only if H is a K-group and  $\Phi(G/F_i(S(B))) = 1$  for all i's.

PROOF. The necessity follows from Proposition 1.4 a); actually, in our case, L itself is a K-group by Corollary 1.3, since L/S(L) is a K-group by [2]. Conversely, by Proposition 1.4 b), we may assume S(L) = 1, i.e.  $L = S_1 \times \cdots \times S_t$ ,  $S_i$ 's simple non-abelian groups. We have to investigate  $G_R = L \wr H_R = B : H_R = (B_1 \times \cdots \times B_t) : H_R$ ,  $B_i$  the base group of  $S_i \wr H_R$ , with H a K-group. If t = 1, the conclusion follows by Lemma 3.1. We assume now t > 1 and use induction on t. Thus  $\hat{B}_i : H_R$  is a K-group for all t's, where  $\hat{B}_i = B_1 \times \cdots \times B_{\hat{t}} \times \cdots \times B_t$ . Assume, to begin,  $R = M_0$  and set  $F_i = \Delta B_i \times \hat{B}_i H_R$ .

Since, by Corollary 2.5,  $\Delta B_i \times H_R < B_i H_R$ ,  $F_i$  is a maximal K-subgroup of  $G_R$ . Now by (2.1)  $(F_i)_G = \hat{B}_i$ , so  $\bigwedge(F_i)_G = \bigwedge \hat{B}_i = 1$  and therefore by Proposition 1.5,  $G_R$  is a K-group. For a contradiction, assume that there exists an  $R \leq M_0$  such that  $G_R$  is not a K-group; choose R such that |H:R| is minimal. From what just seen,  $R \neq M_0$ . Let x be an element of  $M_0$  such that  $R < \langle H_r, x \rangle = A \leq M_0$ ; set  $u = \{R, Rx\}$  and for a fixed  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , consider  $M_i = \Delta_u < B_i$  and define  $\tilde{M}_i = M_i \times \hat{B}_i$ . Then  $\tilde{M}_i < B$  and  $(\tilde{M}_i)_{H_R}$  is a maximal element of  $[B/\Delta B_1 \times \cdots \times \Delta B_t]_{H_R}$  (see Propositon 2.3 and Corollary 2.4), thus  $(\tilde{M}_i)_{H_R}H_R < G$ . According to Lemma 2.6,  $(\tilde{M}_i)_{H_R}H_R/\ker \theta_i \cong \tilde{L} \wr \tilde{H}$ ,  $\tilde{L} \cong L$ ; here  $\ker \theta_i = A_H = 1$  and  $\ker \tilde{H} = |H:A| < |H:R|$ . So, by the minimality assumption,  $X_i = (\tilde{M}_i)_{H_R}H_R$  is a maximal K-subgroup of  $G_R$ . Since, by Proposition 2.3 c),  $((\tilde{M}_i)_{H_R}H_R)_G = \hat{B}_i$  and  $\hat{H}_i = 1$ , by Proposition 1.5,  $G_R$  is a K-group, a contradiction.

We recall that given a permutation group L on a set  $\Gamma$ , the group  $G = L \wr H$  becomes a permutation group on  $\Gamma^{\Omega}$  via the *product action* by setting  $\rho^{(f,h)}(\omega) = (\rho(\omega^{h^{-1}}))^{f(\omega^{h^{-1}})}$ . The group G turns out to be a primitive group as soon as L is primitive not regular (see [3, 2.7A]).

Corollary 3.3. Let  $\{H_i\}_{1 \leq i \leq t}$ ,  $t \geq 2$ , be a family of simple non-abelian primitive permutation groups on the sets  $\Omega_i$  and let  $L_i = H_i \times H_i$  be the primitive permutation group on the set  $\Gamma_i = \{\Delta(H_i \times H_i)b \mid b \in L_i\}$ . Then

- a)  $H = H_1 \wr (H_2 \wr (H_3 \wr \cdots) \cdots)$  in its product action is a primitive K-group;
- b)  $H = L_1 \wr (L_2 \wr (L_3 \wr \cdots) \cdots)$  in its product action is a primitive K-group.

PROOF. a) for t = 2 the primitive group  $H_1 \wr H_2$  is a K-group by Lemma 3.1. Using induction,  $H_2 \wr (H_3 \wr \cdots)$  is a primitive K-group, hence the primitive group H is also a K-group by Theorem 3.2.

b)  $L_i$  is a primitive K-group, since  $\Delta(H_i \times H_i) < L_i$ . Thus, by Theorem 3.2,  $L_1 \wr L_2$  is a K-group and, as in case a), with an induction argument, one reaches the conclusion.

We like to point out that Corollary 3.3 in combination with Theorem 3.2 allows to construct further examples of K-groups.

PROPOSITION 3.4. Let L be a group such that L/S(L) is simple and H a direct product of simple groups. Then  $G = L \wr H$  is a K-group if and only if  $\Phi(L/F_i(S(L)) \wr H) = 1$  for all i's.

PROOF. The necessity follows from Proposition 1.4 b). For the converse, we note that H is a K-group and by Proposition 1.4 b), we may assume S(L) = 1. Pick  $u = \{1, r\}$ ,  $F = \Delta_u$ , so that F < B and  $F_H H < G$ . For a contradiction, assume that G is not a K-group, and take a counterexample with minimal  $\deg H$ . Applying Lemma 2.6, we get  $F_H H = \tilde{L} \wr \tilde{H} \times \ker \theta$ , since  $H = \ker \theta \times R$ , and  $\deg \tilde{H} < \deg H$ . By minimality reasons,

 $\tilde{L}\wr \tilde{H}$  is a K-group, hence  $F_HH$  itself and by Proposition 2.3 c)  $(M_HH)_G=1$ . So by Proposition 1.5, G is a K-group, a contradiction.

THEOREM 3.5. Let L be a group such that L/S(L) is simple and H be a group whose proper normal subgroups are solvable. Then  $G = L \wr H$  is a K-group if and only if H is a K-group and  $\Phi(G/F_i(S(B))) = 1$  for all i's.

PROOF. The necessity follows from Corollary 1.2 and (1.2). For the converse, by Proposition 1.4 b), we may assume L simple non-abelian. For a contradiction assume G is a counterexample with  $\deg H$  minimal and pick  $u=\{1,r\}$  such that  $\Delta B \leq F=\Delta_u < B$  and  $F_H H < G$ . Since by Lemma 2.6  $F_H H / \ker \theta \cong \tilde{L} \wr \tilde{H}$ ,  $\tilde{L} \cong L$  and  $\deg \tilde{H} < \deg H$ , by minimality reasons  $F_H H / \ker \theta$  is a K-group. Since  $S(\tilde{L} \wr \tilde{H}) = S(\tilde{B})$  by (1.2) and  $S(\tilde{B}) = 1$ , we get  $\Phi(\tilde{L} \wr \tilde{H}) = 1$ ,  $(F_H H)_G = S(F_H H) = \ker \theta$ , hence  $\Phi(F_H H) \leq \ker \theta$ . But now by Lemma 2.6 b)  $\Phi(F_H H / F_i (\ker \theta)) = 1$  for all i's and so by Proposition 1.1,  $F_H H$  is a maximal K-subgroup of G. Since  $(F_H H)_G = 1$  by Proposition 2.3 c), by Proposition 1.5 G is a K-group, a contradiction (note that in the case  $H = \ker \theta$ , i.e.  $F_H = \Delta B$ , then  $\Delta B \times H < B : H$  and  $\Delta B \times H \cong L \times H$  is a K-group).

We recall that a transitive permutation group H is  $\frac{3}{2}$ -transitive if the stabilizer  $H_1$  has orbits of the same length on  $\Omega \setminus \{1\}$ . By a theorem of Wielandt [7, Theorem 3.1 a] a  $\frac{3}{2}$ -transitive group is either primitive, or a Frobenius group.

COROLLARY 3.6. Let L be a group such that L/S(L) is simple and H a  $\frac{3}{2}$ -transitive permutation group. Then  $G = L \wr H$  is a K-group if and only if H is a K-group and  $\Phi(G/F_i(S(B))) = 1$  for all i's.

PROOF. This follows from Theorems 3.2 and 3.5, since a Frobenius K-group is necessarily solvable (if the Frobenius complement has even order, then it has exactly one element of order 2 [3, Theorem 3.4A]).

Let us denote with  $\mathcal{X}$  the class of (simple) groups of Lie type such that  $G(q) \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $q = p^f$ , f > 1, if for each divisor d of f and each prime divisor r of d, the interval  $[G(p^d)/G(p^{d/r})]$  is monocoatomic and  $G(p^{d/r})$  is simple (non-abelian). In [1] one can find a list of groups which are members of the class  $\mathcal{X}$ . In the following we shall denote by  $\varphi$  the field automorphism of G(q) induced by  $x \mapsto x^p$ .

Lemma 3.7. Given  $G_0 = G_0(q) \in \mathcal{X}$  and  $\psi \in \langle \varphi \rangle$ , set  $G = G_0 : \langle \psi \rangle$ . If r is a prime divisor of f and  $G_0(q^{1/r}) \leq M < G_0(q)$ , then the interval  $[G \wr H/G_0(q^{1/r})^n H \langle \psi \rangle^n]$  is monocoatomic with coatom  $M^n H \langle \psi \rangle^n$ .

PROOF.  $G \wr H = G_0(q)^n \langle \psi \rangle^n : H$ . Since  $M^{\psi} = M$ ,  $[G_0(q) \langle \psi \rangle / G_0(q^{1/r}) \langle \psi \rangle]$  is monocoatomic with coatom  $M \langle \psi \rangle$ ; but then  $[G_0(q)^n \langle \psi \rangle^n / G_0(q^{1/r})^n \psi^n]_H$  is monocoatomic

with coatom  $M^n \langle \psi \rangle^n$ , hence  $[G_0(q)^n \langle \psi \rangle^n : H/G_0(q^{1/r})^n \langle \psi \rangle^n : H]$  is monocoatomic with coatom  $M^n \langle \psi \rangle^n : H$ .

Theorem 3.8. Given  $G_0 = G_0(q) \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $q = p^f$ , let  $\psi$  be an element of  $\langle \varphi \rangle$ , where  $\varphi$  is the field automorphism of  $G_0$  induced by  $x \mapsto x^p$  and set  $G = G_0 : \langle \psi \rangle$ ,  $\tilde{G} = G \wr H$  where H is either primitive, or a group with all its proper normal subgroups solvable. Then  $\tilde{G}$  is a K-group if and only if  $(G/G_0)^n$  is a direct product of minimal H-invariant subgroups and H is a K-group.

PROOF. If  $\tilde{G}$  is a K-group, then  $\tilde{G}/G_0^n \cong \langle \psi \rangle \wr H$  is a K-group; in particular  $\Phi(\langle \psi \rangle \wr H) = 1$  and so the necessity follows from (1.1). Conversely, since  $(G/G_0)^n$  is a direct product of minimal H-invariant subgroups,  $|\psi|$  is square-free. Let  $\tilde{G}$  be a counterexample with minimal q. Let r be a prime divisor of  $|\psi|$ . By Lemma 3.6,  $[\tilde{G}/G_0(q^{1/r})^n \langle \psi \rangle^n H]$  is monocoatomic with coatom  $M^n \langle \psi \rangle^n H$ . Now  $(M^n \langle \psi \rangle^n H)_{\tilde{G}} \land G_0(q^n)^n = 1$  and since  $C_{\tilde{G}}(G^n) = Z(G^n) = 1$ , we get  $(M^n \langle \psi \rangle^n H)_{\tilde{G}} = 1$ . We claim that  $G_0(q^{1/r}) \langle \psi \rangle^n H$  is a K-group. Set  $\langle \psi \rangle = \langle \psi \rangle_r \times \langle \psi \rangle_r$ , with  $\psi_r$  of order r. By minimality and Theorems 3.2, 3.5,  $(G_0(q^{1/r}) : \langle \psi_r \rangle) \wr H$  is a K-group. Moreover  $(G_0(q^{1/r}) : \langle \psi_r \rangle) \wr H$  acts on  $\langle \psi_r \rangle^n$  as H, hence  $\langle \psi_r \rangle^n$  is a completely reducible  $(G_0(q^{1/r}) : \langle \psi_r \rangle) \wr H$ -module. But then, by [9, Lemma 3.1.9],  $G_0(q^{1/r}) \langle \psi \rangle \wr H$  is a K-group. According to Proposition 1.5,  $\tilde{G}$  is a K-group, a contradiction.

Note that if in the primitive group H one replaces  $H_1$  with an  $R \leq H_1$ , then  $\tilde{G}_R = G \wr H_R$  is still a K-group as soon as  $(G/G_0)^{|H:R|}$  is a completely reducible H-module and H is a K-group: in fact still Theorem 3.2 applies in the proof.

COROLLARY 3.9. Let  $G_0 = G_0(q) \in \mathcal{X}$ ,  $q = p^f$  and  $\psi \in \langle \varphi \rangle$ . If  $G = G_0 : \langle \psi \rangle$ , then  $\tilde{G} = G \wr A_n$  (resp.  $G \wr S_n$ ) is a K-group if and only if  $|\psi|$  is square-free and  $(n, |\psi|) = 1$ .

By Theorem 3.8,  $\tilde{G}$  is a K-group if and only if  $(G/G_0)^n$  as an  $A_n$ -group (resp.  $S_n$ -group) is a direct product of minimal normal subgroups, and this is the case if and only if  $|\psi|$  is square-free and  $(|\psi|, n) = 1$  [8, 5.3.4].

**4.** In this last section we deal with the case L = S(L). As usual  $G = L \wr H$ .

Lemma 4.1. Let L be a solvable group and U a minimal normal subgroup of L. Denote by  $\tilde{U}$  the base group of  $U \wr H$ . Then  $\tilde{U}$  is a minimal normal subgroup of G if and only if  $U \not\leq Z(L)$ .

PROOF. If  $U \leq Z(L)$ , then  $\Delta(U^n) \triangleleft G$ , and  $\Delta(U^n) < \tilde{U}$ . Assume now  $U \wedge Z(L) = 1$ . If  $H = \bigcup_i H_1 h_i$ ,  $h_1 = 1$ , then  $\tilde{U} = U \times U^{h_2} \times \cdots \times U^{h_n}$ . Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G with  $N \leq \tilde{U}$ . Take a non-trivial element  $x \in N$ , hence  $x = x_1 x_2 \cdots x_n$ ,

 $x_i \in U^{b_i}$  for all i's, and without loss of generality we may assume  $x_1 \neq 1$ . Pick a  $g \in L \setminus C_L(U)$ ; then  $x_1^g \neq x_1$ , hence  $1 \neq x_1^g x_1^{-1} = x^g x^{-1} \in N \wedge U$ . Thus  $\langle x_1^g x_1^{-1} \rangle^L = U \leq N$ , hence  $U^H = \tilde{U} \leq N$ .

Theorem 4.2. Given a solvable group L, then  $G = L \wr H$  is a K-group if and only if L and  $(L/L') \wr H$  are K-groups.

PROOF. By Proposition 1.4 a), S(L) = L is a K-group, and so is  $G/(L')^n \cong (L/L') \wr H$ . For the converse, let G be a minimal counterexample. Then L is not nilpotent, since  $\Phi(L) = 1$  implies L' = 1. Let U be a minimal normal subgroup of L contained in  $L' \neq 1$ . If  $\tilde{U}$  is the base group of  $U \wr H$ ,  $G/\tilde{U} \cong (L/U) \wr H$  is a K-group for minimality reasons. If  $U \leq Z(L)$ ,  $G = \tilde{U} \times L/U \wr H$  is a K-group, a contradiction. So  $U \land Z(L) = 1$ ; by Lemma 4.1,  $\tilde{U}$  is a minimal normal subgroup of G and we get  $G = \tilde{U} : L/U \wr H$ , but then by [9, 3.1.9], G is a K-group, a contradiction.

COROLLARY 4.3. For a solvable group L,  $L \wr A_n$  (resp.  $L \wr S_n$ ) is a K-group if and only if L is a K-group and (|L/L'|, n) = 1.

PROOF. The conditions (|L/L'|, n) = 1 and L a K-group implies that  $(L/L')^n$  is a completely reducible  $A_n$ -module (resp.  $S_n$ -module) [8, 5.3.4].

COROLLARY 4.4. Any twisted wreath product G of the alternating group  $A_m$  by  $A_n$  in which  $A_m$  is twisted by the point-stabilizer  $A_{n-1}$  is a K-group, except when m=3 or m=4 and  $3 \mid n$ .

PROOF. By [5, 3.4],  $G \cong A_m \wr A_n$  and the conclusion follows from Theorem 3.2, 3.5 and Corollary 4.3.

## REFERENCES

- N. Burgoyne R. Griess R. Lyons, Maximal subgroups and automorphisms of Chevalley groups. Pac. J. Math., 71, 1977, 365-403.
- [2] M. Costantini G. Zacher, The finite simple groups have complemented subgroup lattices. Pac. J. Math., 213, 2004, 245-251.
- [3] J.D. DIXON B. MORTIMER, Permutation Groups. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1996.
- [4] W. Gaschütz, Über die Φ-Untergruppe endlicher Gruppen. Math. Z., 58, 1953, 160-170.
- [5] F. Gross L.C. Kovacs, On normal subgroups which are direct products. J. Algebra, 90, 1984, 133-168.
- [6] B. Huppert, Endliche Gruppen, I. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1967.
- [7] B. Huppert N. Blackburn, Finite Groups, II. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York 1982.
- [8] P.B. KLEIDMAN M.W. LIEBECK, The subgroup structure of the finite classical groups. London Math. Soc. Lecture Notes, 129, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge 1990.

- [9] R. Schmidt, Subgroup lattices of groups. de Gruyter, Berlin 1994.
- [10] J. THÉVENAZ, Maximal subgroups of direct products. J. Algebra, 198, 1997, 352-361.
- [11] G. Zacher, Caratterizzazione dei gruppi risolubili d'ordine finito complementati. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, 22, 1953, 113-122.
- [12] G. Zacher, Costruzione dei gruppi finiti a sottogruppo di Frattini identico. Rend. Sem. Mat. Univ. Padova, 21, 1952, 383-394.

Dipartimento di Matematica Pura ed Applicata Università degli Studi di Padova Via Belzoni, 7 - 35131 Padova costantini@math.unipd.it zacher@math.unipd.it