

## THE LAPLACE EQUATION

The Laplace operator is defined as

$$\Delta u(x) := \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2}(x) \quad (u \in C^2)$$

Even if the equation  $-\Delta u = 0$  is often called LAPLACE EQUATION and  $-\Delta u = f$  POISSON EQUATION we will not always distinguish the two cases.

Some examples:

- $E$  electric vector field generated by a distribution of charge whose density is  $\rho$   
 $\operatorname{div} E = c \rho$  (one of Maxwell's equations)  
if  $E$  admits a potential ( $E = -\nabla u$  for some  $u$ ,  
 $-\operatorname{div} \nabla u = -\Delta u = c \rho$   $u$  electric potential)
- $-\Delta u = f$  in  $\Omega$   $u$  describes the position of an elastic membrane subject to a force  $f$  ( $f$  "little")

(and to some conditions in  $\partial\Omega$ ),

for instance the membrane of a drum

- if  $f = 0$  and  $u$  is a solution of  
 $-\Delta u = 0$   $u$  is called harmonic

- two possible generalisations of  $-\Delta$  are

$$L_1 u = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 u &= - \operatorname{div}(\alpha(x) \cdot Du) = \\ &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^n \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \left( a_{ij}(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \right) \end{aligned}$$

with the  $n \times n$  matrix  $a$  having  $n$  positive eigenvalues  
(they could be all negative, but usually  
 $a$  is chosen in such a way that it is  
positive definite)

$a$  usually is symmetric  
otherwise one could substitute  $a$  with

$$\tilde{a}, \quad \tilde{a}_{ij} := \frac{a_{ij} + a_{ji}}{2}$$

indeed

$$a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + a_{ji} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_i} = L \tilde{a}_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j}$$

Since  $\frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_j \partial x_i}$

---

$L_2$  is elliptic since , if  $a_{ij} \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ ,

one can write

$$\begin{aligned} L_2 u &= - \sum_{i,j=1}^m a_{ij} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} - \sum_{j=1}^m \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_i} \right) \frac{\partial u}{\partial x_j} \\ &= L_1 u - b \cdot \nabla u \quad b_j = \sum_{i=1}^m \frac{\partial a_{ij}}{\partial x_i} \\ &\qquad \qquad \qquad \text{principal part of } L_2 \end{aligned}$$

If  $a = Id$   $L_1$  and  $L_2$  coincide with  $-\Delta$

Now we see some typical problems, i.e.  
 the Laplace equation coupled with  
 some additional information.

Typical problems are the following:

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega \\ \text{boundary condition in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

The classical boundary conditions for the Laplace (and in general elliptic) equation in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 2$  are the following:

i)  $u = g$  in  $\partial\Omega$  (Dirichlet conditions)

ii)  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = g$  in  $\partial\Omega$  (Neumann conditions)

$\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}$  normal derivative  $(Du, \nu)$  outer  
 normal to  $\partial\Omega$

iii)  $\alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \beta u = g$  (Robin conditions)

$$\alpha, \beta > 0$$

## VARIATIONAL NATURE OF (SOME) HARMONIC FUNCTIONS

### ( DIRICHLET'S PRINCIPLE )

Lemma Consider  $u \in L^1_{loc}(\Omega)$ . If

$$\int_{\Omega} u \varphi \, dx = 0 \quad \text{if } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$$

then  $u = 0$  in  $\Omega$ .

Proof: We prove the result for  $u \in C^0(\Omega)$  and,

by contradiction, suppose  $u \neq 0$ .

In particular there is  $x_0 \in \Omega$  such that  $u(x_0) \neq 0$ .

Suppose  $u(x_0) > 0$ . By continuity there is a ball

$B = B_\varepsilon(x_0)$  such that  $u > 0$  in  $B$ . Then, taking

$\varphi \in C_c^\infty(B)$ ,  $\varphi > 0$ , we would get

$$\int_{\Omega} u \varphi \, dx > 0, \quad \text{which is impossible.}$$

$(u \in L^1_{loc}$  needs some approximations  
or convolution with suitable functions).

RECALL

Consider  $f : E \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  ( $x \in E, t \in I$ )

$E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $I$  open interval, such that  
for every  $x \in E$  the function

$f(x, \cdot)$  is differentiable in  $t$  and

$f, \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \in C^0(E \times I) \cap L^1(E \times I)$ .

Then, once defined  $g(t) := \int_E f(x, t) dx$ ,  
one has that  $g$  is differentiable and

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} g(t) \right|_{t=s} = g'(s) = \int_E \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(x, s) dx$$

i.e.

$$\left. \frac{d}{dt} \int_E f(x, t) dx \right|_{t=s} = \int_E \frac{\partial f}{\partial t}(x, s) dx$$

REMARK The assumptions can be weakened,  
but for our purposes this is sufficient.

Consider  $\Omega$  an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with boundary of class  $C^1$ ,  $\underline{\Omega}$  bounded, a function  $f \in C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ , a function  $g \in C^0(\partial\Omega)$ , and the set of admissible functions

$$\mathcal{A}(\Omega; g) = \left\{ u \in C^1(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega}) \mid u = g \text{ in } \partial\Omega \text{ and } \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx < +\infty \right\}$$

and define  $F_u := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} fu dx$

Theorem (Dirichlet's principle)

i) Suppose  $F$  admits a minimum point

$$u \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega; g) \text{ and } u \in C^2(\bar{\Omega}).$$

Then  $-\Delta u = f$  in  $\Omega$ .

ii) Conversely, if  $u \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$  solves

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

then  $F(u) = \min_{\mathcal{A}(\Omega; g) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})} F$

Remark The statements are equivalent in  
 $\mathcal{A}(\Omega; g) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$  (in point i) we assume  
 something less)

Proof : ii) Consider  $v \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega; g) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ . Then

$$0 = \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u - f)(u - v) dx = - \int_{\partial\Omega}^{''} (u - v) (\nabla u, \nu) dA^{m-1} +$$

$$+ \int_{\Omega} (\nabla u, \nabla(u - v)) dx - \int_{\Omega} f(u - v) dx$$

and then

$$\int_{\Omega} [|\nabla u|^2 - fu] dx = \int_{\Omega} [(\nabla u, \nabla v) - fv] dx \leq$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} [|\nabla u|^2 + |\nabla v|^2] dx - \int_{\Omega} fv dx$$

by which the thesis.

i) Consider  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ . Then for every  
 $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$   $u + \varepsilon \varphi \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega; g)$  and consequently

$$\mathcal{F}(u) \leq \mathcal{F}(u + \varepsilon \varphi)$$

If we define  $F(\varepsilon) := \mathcal{F}(u + \varepsilon \varphi)$  (u and  $\varphi$  fixed)

We have that  $F(0) \leq F(\varepsilon)$  if  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}$

Moreover  $F$  is differentiable and then  $F'(0) = 0$ .

Let us compute  $F'$ :

$$\begin{aligned}
 F'(\varepsilon) &= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{D}(u+\varepsilon\varphi)|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} f(u+\varepsilon\varphi) dx \right] = \\
 &= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{D}(u+\varepsilon\varphi), \mathcal{D}(u+\varepsilon\varphi)) dx - \int_{\Omega} fu - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} f\varphi \right] \\
 &= \frac{d}{d\varepsilon} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} [|\mathcal{D}u|^2 + 2\varepsilon (\mathcal{D}u, \mathcal{D}\varphi) + \varepsilon^2 |\mathcal{D}\varphi|^2] dx + \right. \\
 &\quad \left. - \int_{\Omega} fu - \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} f\varphi dx \right] = \\
 &= \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{D}u, \mathcal{D}\varphi) dx + \varepsilon \int_{\Omega} |\mathcal{D}\varphi|^2 dx - \int_{\Omega} f\varphi dx \\
 \Leftrightarrow F'(0) &= \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{D}u, \mathcal{D}\varphi) dx - \int_{\Omega} f\varphi dx
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\operatorname{div}(\varphi \mathcal{D}u) = (\mathcal{D}\varphi, \mathcal{D}u) + \varphi \Delta u$$

$$\Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} (\mathcal{D}u, \mathcal{D}\varphi) dx = - \int_{\Omega} \varphi \Delta u dx + \int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(\varphi \mathcal{D}u) dx =$$

$$= - \int_{\Omega} \varphi \Delta u \, dx + \underbrace{\int_{\partial\Omega} \varphi (\nabla u, \omega) dA}_{=0} \quad \text{since } \varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$$

Then

$$0 = F'(0) = \int_{\Omega} (-\Delta u - f) \varphi \, dx$$

(! no problem at the boundary since  $\varphi$  is compactly supported,  
so we do not need  $u \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  or  $u \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ )

Since this holds for every  $\varphi \in C_c^\infty(\Omega)$ , by the previous lemma we have that

$$-\Delta u = f \quad \text{in } \Omega.$$

//

REMARK Even if we have seen the classification of (some) second order PDEs in elliptic, parabolic and hyperbolic for  $m \geq 2$   
if you consider ( $m=1$ ) the functional

$$F_u = \frac{1}{2} \int_a^b |u'(x)|^2 - \int_a^b fu \, dx$$

You obtain the same conclusions as before.

In this sense the "nature" of  $-\Delta u = f$  is (improperly) elliptic.

Def When a PDE is obtained by looking for stationary points of a functional  $\mathcal{F}$  we call that PDE the Euler - Lagrange equation of  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Therefore  $-\Delta u = f$  in  $\Omega$  is the Euler - Lagrange equation corresponding to the functional

$$\mathcal{F}_u = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2 - fu \right] dx$$

In particular  $u \in \mathcal{A}(\Omega; g) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$  is a minimum point for  $\mathcal{F}$  in  $\mathcal{A}(\Omega; g) \cap C^2(\bar{\Omega})$

if and only if  $u$  solves  $\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$  (P)

The functional  $F$  not always has a minimum  
 (an absolute minimum) in  $\mathcal{A}(\Omega; g)$ , even if  
 we look in  $\mathcal{A}(\Omega; g) \cap C^2(\Omega)$   
 (and do not require  $u \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$  or  $u \in C^2(\bar{\Omega})$ ).

- first, there are no reasons for which  $F$   
 should have a minimum in  $C^2(\Omega)$   
 since  $F$  depends only on first derivatives;
- second,  $f$  of oscillates "too much" and  $(P)$   
 has a solution  $u$ , the energy of  $u$ ,  
 i.e.  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx$ , might be  $+\infty$ ,  
 even with  $f = 0$ , as in an example  
 due to Hadamard (for those who want the  
 example is available in the "OPTIONAL MATERIAL")

The function of the example belongs  
 to  $C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$ , but, as we will  
 see, not to  $C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ .

## ENERGY METHOD FOR UNIQUENESS

Consider the problem (D) ( $D$  for Dirichlet boundary conditions)

$$(D) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Suppose to know that problem (D) has (at least) one solution in  $C^2(\Omega) \cap C^0(\bar{\Omega})$  and moreover  $u \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ . Then this is unique.

$$(u \in C^1(\bar{\Omega}) \Rightarrow \int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx < +\infty \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} \in C^0(\partial\Omega))$$

Proof: Suppose to have two solutions  $u_1$  and  $u_2$

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u_j = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ u_j = g & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad j = 1, 2$$

Consider  $\mu = u_2 - u_1$ :  $\mu$  satisfies

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = 0 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Multiply by  $u$  the equation and integrate.

You get

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx - \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} u d\mathcal{H}^{n-1} = 0$$

Since  $u = 0$  in  $\partial\Omega$  the second integral is null

and then we get  $\int_{\Omega} |\nabla u|^2 dx = 0$ ,

by which  $u$  is constant in each connected component of  $\Omega$ .

Since moreover  $u = 0$  in  $\partial\Omega$

we derive that  $u = 0$ , i.e.  $u_1 = u_2$ . //

**Ex**

Apply the energy method to study  
 uniqueness for the Neumann and Robin  
 problems (N) and (R)

$$(N) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = g & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

$$(R) \quad \begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \alpha \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} + \beta u = g & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases} \quad \alpha, \beta > 0$$

? Have they a unique solution?

REMARK Observe that by uniqueness of the solution  
 of problem (D) (after doing **Ex** above  
 also of problem (N)) the problem

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = 0 & \text{in } \Omega \\ u = g_1 & \text{in } \partial\Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = g_2 & \end{cases}$$

is ill posed and, in general, not solvable.

REMARK A compatibility condition about  $(N)$ .

Suppose  $u$  is a solution of

$$\begin{cases} -\Delta u = f & \text{in } \Omega \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \Omega} = g & \text{in } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

Multiply by  $v$  the equation. Since

$$-\int_{\Omega} [v \Delta u + (\nabla u, \nabla v)] dx = -\int_{\Omega} \operatorname{div}(v \nabla u) dx = -\int_{\partial\Omega} v (\nabla u, \nu) dA^{n-1},$$

taking  $v = 1$  we derive the compatibility condition

$$-\int_{\Omega} \underbrace{\Delta u}_{=: f} dx = -\int_{\partial\Omega} \underbrace{\frac{\partial u}{\partial \Omega}}_{=: g} dA^{n-1} \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} f dx = -\int_{\partial\Omega} g dA^{n-1}$$

This is a necessary condition to solve  $(N)$ .