

Spectral stability and boundary homogenization for polyharmonic operators

Francesco Ferraresso

Joint work with Pier Domenico Lamberti

Kalamata 31.8.2015



UNIVERSITÀ
DEGLI STUDI
DI PADOVA

J. ARRIETA, P.D.LAMBERTI,

Higher order elliptic operators on variable domains. Stability results and boundary oscillations for intermediate problems, preprint, online at [arXiv:1502.04373v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/1502.04373v2) [math.AP]

F.F., P.D.LAMBERTI,

Spectral convergence of higher order operators on varying domains and polyharmonic boundary homogenization, in preparation.

The general **spectral** problem



The general **spectral** problem



Let Ω be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^N .

The general **spectral** problem



Let Ω be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^N . We consider elliptic operators of the type

$$Hu = (-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} D^\alpha (A_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\beta u), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

The general **spectral** problem



Let Ω be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^N . We consider elliptic operators of the type

$$Hu = (-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} D^\alpha (A_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\beta u), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

subject to homogeneous boundary conditions

The general **spectral** problem



Let Ω be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^N . We consider elliptic operators of the type

$$Hu = (-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} D^\alpha (A_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\beta u), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

subject to homogeneous boundary conditions (Dirichlet, Neumann, Intermediate etc.)

The general **spectral** problem



Let Ω be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^N . We consider elliptic operators of the type

$$Hu = (-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} D^\alpha (A_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\beta u), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

subject to homogeneous boundary conditions (Dirichlet, Neumann, Intermediate etc.)

Under suitable assumptions, the spectrum is discrete

$$\lambda_1[\Omega] \leq \lambda_2[\Omega] \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n[\Omega] \leq \dots$$

The general **spectral** problem



Let Ω be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^N . We consider elliptic operators of the type

$$Hu = (-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} D^\alpha (A_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\beta u), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

subject to homogeneous boundary conditions (Dirichlet, Neumann, Intermediate etc.)

Under suitable assumptions, the spectrum is discrete

$$\lambda_1[\Omega] \leq \lambda_2[\Omega] \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n[\Omega] \leq \dots$$

Consider the functions

$$\Omega \mapsto \lambda_n[\Omega], \quad \Omega \rightarrow u_n[\Omega]$$

The general **spectral** problem



Let Ω be a bounded open set in \mathbb{R}^N . We consider elliptic operators of the type

$$Hu = (-1)^m \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} D^\alpha (A_{\alpha\beta}(x) D^\beta u), \quad x \in \Omega,$$

subject to homogeneous boundary conditions (Dirichlet, Neumann, Intermediate etc.)

Under suitable assumptions, the spectrum is discrete

$$\lambda_1[\Omega] \leq \lambda_2[\Omega] \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n[\Omega] \leq \dots$$

Consider the functions

$$\Omega \mapsto \lambda_n[\Omega], \quad \Omega \rightarrow u_n[\Omega]$$

Are they continuous?

Example: the bi-harmonic operator (vibrating plate)



Example: the bi-harmonic operator (vibrating plate)



Dirichlet boundary conditions (clamped plate)

Example: the bi-harmonic operator (vibrating plate)



Dirichlet boundary conditions (clamped plate)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Delta^2 u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{array} \right.$$

Example: the bi-harmonic operator (vibrating plate)



Dirichlet boundary conditions (clamped plate)

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Neumann boundary conditions (free plate)

Example: the bi-harmonic operator (vibrating plate)



Dirichlet boundary conditions (clamped plate)

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Neumann boundary conditions (free plate)

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \nu \Delta u + (1 - \nu) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial n^2} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ (1 - \nu) \operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega}(Hu \cdot n) + \frac{\partial \Delta u}{\partial n} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

Example: the bi-harmonic operator (vibrating plate)



Dirichlet boundary conditions (clamped plate)

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega. \end{cases}$$

Neumann boundary conditions (free plate)

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \nu \Delta u + (1 - \nu) \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial n^2} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ (1 - \nu) \operatorname{div}_{\partial\Omega}(Hu \cdot n) + \frac{\partial \Delta u}{\partial n} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

ν is the Poisson coefficient of the material ($0 < \nu < 1/2$).

Example: the bi-harmonic operator



Intermediate boundary conditions (hinged plate)

Example: the bi-harmonic operator



Intermediate boundary conditions (hinged plate)

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Delta^2 u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \Delta u - k(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{array} \right.$$

Example: the bi-harmonic operator



Intermediate boundary conditions (hinged plate)

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \Delta u - k(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

tricky case...

Example: the bi-harmonic operator



Intermediate boundary conditions (hinged plate)

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \Delta u - k(x) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega \end{cases}$$

tricky case...see Babuška Paradox.

For $m \geq 1$, consider

$$(-1)^m \Delta^m u = \lambda u \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

For $m \geq 1$, consider

$$(-1)^m \Delta^m u = \lambda u \quad \text{in } \Omega$$

Dirichlet boundary conditions for this problem

$$\begin{cases} u = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \\ \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial n^k} = 0, & \text{on } \partial\Omega, 1 \leq k \leq m-1 \end{cases}$$

Weak formulation of the problem



- The coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta}$ are fixed

- The coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta}$ are fixed bounded real-valued functions

- The coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta}$ are fixed bounded real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R}^N

- The coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta}$ are fixed bounded real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R}^N $A_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\beta\alpha}$

- The coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta}$ are fixed bounded real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R}^N $A_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\beta\alpha}$ and $\sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \geq \theta |\xi|^2$

- The coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta}$ are fixed bounded real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R}^N $A_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\beta\alpha}$ and $\sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \geq \theta |\xi|^2$
- $V(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ containing $W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$, compactly embedded into $L^2(\Omega)$

- The coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta}$ are fixed bounded real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R}^N $A_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\beta\alpha}$ and $\sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \geq \theta |\xi|^2$
- $V(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ containing $W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$, compactly embedded into $L^2(\Omega)$

We consider the eigenvalue problem in the weak form

- The coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta}$ are fixed bounded real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R}^N $A_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\beta\alpha}$ and $\sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \geq \theta |\xi|^2$
- $V(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ containing $W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$, compactly embedded into $L^2(\Omega)$

We consider the eigenvalue problem in the weak form

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} D^\alpha u D^\beta \varphi \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \varphi \, dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in V(\Omega)$$

- The coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta}$ are fixed bounded real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R}^N $A_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\beta\alpha}$ and $\sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \geq \theta |\xi|^2$
- $V(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ containing $W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$, compactly embedded into $L^2(\Omega)$

We consider the eigenvalue problem in the weak form

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} D^\alpha u D^\beta \varphi \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \varphi \, dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in V(\Omega)$$

If $V(\Omega) = W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$

- The coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta}$ are fixed bounded real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R}^N $A_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\beta\alpha}$ and $\sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \geq \theta |\xi|^2$
- $V(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ containing $W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$, compactly embedded into $L^2(\Omega)$

We consider the eigenvalue problem in the weak form

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} D^\alpha u D^\beta \varphi \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \varphi \, dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in V(\Omega)$$

If $V(\Omega) = W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$ we talk about **Dirichlet** boundary conditions

- The coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta}$ are fixed bounded real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R}^N $A_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\beta\alpha}$ and $\sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \geq \theta |\xi|^2$
- $V(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ containing $W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$, compactly embedded into $L^2(\Omega)$

We consider the eigenvalue problem in the weak form

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} D^\alpha u D^\beta \varphi \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \varphi \, dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in V(\Omega)$$

If $V(\Omega) = W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$ we talk about **Dirichlet** boundary conditions
If $V(\Omega) = W^{m,2}(\Omega)$

- The coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta}$ are fixed bounded real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R}^N $A_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\beta\alpha}$ and $\sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \geq \theta |\xi|^2$
- $V(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ containing $W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$, compactly embedded into $L^2(\Omega)$

We consider the eigenvalue problem in the weak form

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} D^\alpha u D^\beta \varphi \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \varphi \, dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in V(\Omega)$$

If $V(\Omega) = W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$ we talk about **Dirichlet** boundary conditions

If $V(\Omega) = W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ we talk about **Neumann** boundary conditions

- The coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta}$ are fixed bounded real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R}^N $A_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\beta\alpha}$ and $\sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \geq \theta |\xi|^2$
- $V(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ containing $W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$, compactly embedded into $L^2(\Omega)$

We consider the eigenvalue problem in the weak form

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} D^\alpha u D^\beta \varphi \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \varphi \, dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in V(\Omega)$$

If $V(\Omega) = W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$ we talk about **Dirichlet** boundary conditions

If $V(\Omega) = W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ we talk about **Neumann** boundary conditions

If $V(\Omega) = W^{m,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{k,2}(\Omega)$ with $0 < k < m$

- The coefficients $A_{\alpha\beta}$ are fixed bounded real-valued functions defined on \mathbb{R}^N $A_{\alpha\beta} = A_{\beta\alpha}$ and $\sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} \xi_\alpha \xi_\beta \geq \theta |\xi|^2$
- $V(\Omega)$ is a closed subspace of $W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ containing $W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$, compactly embedded into $L^2(\Omega)$

We consider the eigenvalue problem in the weak form

$$\int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\alpha|=|\beta|=m} A_{\alpha\beta} D^\alpha u D^\beta \varphi \, dx = \lambda \int_{\Omega} u \varphi \, dx, \quad \forall \varphi \in V(\Omega)$$

If $V(\Omega) = W_0^{m,2}(\Omega)$ we talk about **Dirichlet** boundary conditions

If $V(\Omega) = W^{m,2}(\Omega)$ we talk about **Neumann** boundary conditions

If $V(\Omega) = W^{m,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{k,2}(\Omega)$ with $0 < k < m$ we talk about

Intermediate boundary conditions

Main problem



Give sufficient conditions which guarantee that for a family of perturbations Ω_ϵ , $\epsilon > 0$, of Ω we have

Give sufficient conditions which guarantee that for a family of perturbations Ω_ϵ , $\epsilon > 0$, of Ω we have

$$H_{\Omega_\epsilon}^{-1} \text{ compact converges to } H_{\Omega}^{-1} \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0$$

Give sufficient conditions which guarantee that for a family of perturbations Ω_ϵ , $\epsilon > 0$, of Ω we have

$$H_{\Omega_\epsilon}^{-1} \text{ compact converges to } H_{\Omega}^{-1} \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0$$

This essentially means that

■ If $f_\epsilon \rightarrow f$ then $H_{\Omega_\epsilon}^{-1} f_\epsilon \rightarrow H_{\Omega}^{-1} f$

Give sufficient conditions which guarantee that for a family of perturbations Ω_ϵ , $\epsilon > 0$, of Ω we have

$$H_{\Omega_\epsilon}^{-1} \text{ compact converges to } H_{\Omega}^{-1} \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0$$

This essentially means that

- If $f_\epsilon \rightarrow f$ then $H_{\Omega_\epsilon}^{-1} f_\epsilon \rightarrow H_{\Omega}^{-1} f$
- if f_ϵ is a bounded sequence then $H_{\Omega_\epsilon}^{-1} f_\epsilon$ has a convergent subsequence.

Give sufficient conditions which guarantee that for a family of perturbations Ω_ϵ , $\epsilon > 0$, of Ω we have

$$H_{\Omega_\epsilon}^{-1} \text{ compact converges to } H_{\Omega}^{-1} \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0$$

This essentially means that

- If $f_\epsilon \rightarrow f$ then $H_{\Omega_\epsilon}^{-1} f_\epsilon \rightarrow H_{\Omega}^{-1} f$
- if f_ϵ is a bounded sequence then $H_{\Omega_\epsilon}^{-1} f_\epsilon$ has a convergent subsequence.

Importantly:

Give sufficient conditions which guarantee that for a family of perturbations Ω_ϵ , $\epsilon > 0$, of Ω we have

$$H_{\Omega_\epsilon}^{-1} \text{ compact converges to } H_{\Omega}^{-1} \text{ as } \epsilon \rightarrow 0$$

This essentially means that

- If $f_\epsilon \rightarrow f$ then $H_{\Omega_\epsilon}^{-1} f_\epsilon \rightarrow H_{\Omega}^{-1} f$
- if f_ϵ is a bounded sequence then $H_{\Omega_\epsilon}^{-1} f_\epsilon$ has a convergent subsequence.

Importantly:

compact convergence \Rightarrow spectral convergence

Intermediate boundary conditions

Biharmonic case (hinged plates)



Intermediate boundary conditions

Biharmonic case (hinged plates)



Recall: for Intermediate boundary conditions

$$V(\Omega) = W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

Intermediate boundary conditions

Biharmonic case (hinged plates)



Recall: for Intermediate boundary conditions

$$V(\Omega) = W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

We consider local perturbations of sets which are locally the subgraph of a function of class C^2

Intermediate boundary conditions

Biharmonic case (hinged plates)



Recall: for Intermediate boundary conditions

$$V(\Omega) = W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

We consider local perturbations of sets which are locally the subgraph of a function of class C^2 : given $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$

$$\Omega = \{(\bar{x}, x_N) : \bar{x} \in W, \quad a < x_N < g(\bar{x})\}$$

$$\Omega_\epsilon = \{(\bar{x}, x_N) : \bar{x} \in W, \quad a < x_N < g_\epsilon(\bar{x})\}$$

Intermediate boundary conditions

Biharmonic case (hinged plates)



Recall: for Intermediate boundary conditions

$$V(\Omega) = W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$$

We consider local perturbations of sets which are locally the subgraph of a function of class C^2 : given $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$

$$\Omega = \{(\bar{x}, x_N) : \bar{x} \in W, \quad a < x_N < g(\bar{x})\}$$

$$\Omega_\epsilon = \{(\bar{x}, x_N) : \bar{x} \in W, \quad a < x_N < g_\epsilon(\bar{x})\}$$

Theorem

Assume that $\|g_\epsilon - g\|_{C^1(\bar{W})} \rightarrow 0$ as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ and $\|g_\epsilon\|_{C^2(\bar{W})} < M$ for all $\epsilon > 0$, then the compact convergence holds.

Sufficient condition for the compact convergence



Lemma

Suppose that $V(\Omega) = W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$.

Sufficient condition for the compact convergence



Lemma

Suppose that $V(\Omega) = W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. If for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\kappa_\epsilon > 0$ such that

Sufficient condition for the compact convergence



Lemma

Suppose that $V(\Omega) = W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. If for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\kappa_\epsilon > 0$ such that

- (i) $\kappa_\epsilon > \|g_\epsilon - g\|_\infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0;$

Sufficient condition for the compact convergence



Lemma

Suppose that $V(\Omega) = W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. If for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\kappa_\epsilon > 0$ such that

- (i) $\kappa_\epsilon > \|g_\epsilon - g\|_\infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0;$
- (ii) $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \kappa_\epsilon = 0;$

Sufficient condition for the compact convergence



Lemma

Suppose that $V(\Omega) = W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. If for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\kappa_\epsilon > 0$ such that

- (i) $\kappa_\epsilon > \|g_\epsilon - g\|_\infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0;$
- (ii) $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \kappa_\epsilon = 0;$
- (iii) $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|D^\beta(g_\epsilon - g)\|_\infty}{\kappa_\epsilon^{2-|\beta|-1/2}} = 0, \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}^N \text{ with } \beta \leq 2.$

Sufficient condition for the compact convergence



Lemma

Suppose that $V(\Omega) = W^{2,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{1,2}(\Omega)$. If for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\kappa_\epsilon > 0$ such that

- (i) $\kappa_\epsilon > \|g_\epsilon - g\|_\infty, \quad \forall \epsilon > 0;$
- (ii) $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \kappa_\epsilon = 0;$
- (iii) $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|D^\beta(g_\epsilon - g)\|_\infty}{\kappa_\epsilon^{2-|\beta|-1/2}} = 0, \quad \forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}^N \text{ with } \beta \leq 2.$

Then $H_{V(\Omega_\epsilon)}^{-1} \rightarrow H_{V(\Omega)}^{-1}$ with respect to the compact convergence.

Lemma

Suppose that $V(\Omega) = W^{m,2}(\Omega) \cap W_0^{k,2}(\Omega)$ for some $1 \leq k < m$. If for all $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\kappa_\epsilon > 0$ such that

- (i) $\kappa_\epsilon > \|g_\epsilon - g\|_\infty$, $\forall \epsilon > 0$;
- (ii) $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \kappa_\epsilon = 0$;
- (iii) $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\|D^\beta(g_\epsilon - g)\|_\infty}{\kappa_\epsilon^{m-|\beta|-k+1/2}} = 0$, $\forall \beta \in \mathbb{N}^N$ with $|\beta| \leq m$.

Then $H_{V(\Omega_\epsilon)}^{-1} \rightarrow H_{V(\Omega)}^{-1}$ with respect to the compact convergence.

Oscillating boundaries



We take $\Omega = W \times]-1, 0[$ with $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ and

$$\Omega_\epsilon = \left\{ (\bar{x}, x_N) : \bar{x} \in W, \quad -1 < x_N < g_\epsilon \equiv \epsilon^\alpha g\left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\epsilon}\right) \right\}$$

We take $\Omega = W \times]-1, 0[$ with $W \subset \mathbb{R}^{N-1}$ and

$$\Omega_\epsilon = \left\{ (\bar{x}, x_N) : \bar{x} \in W, \quad -1 < x_N < g_\epsilon \equiv \epsilon^\alpha g\left(\frac{\bar{x}}{\epsilon}\right) \right\}$$

where $\alpha > 0$, and $g : \mathbb{R}^{N-1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a periodic smooth positive function (with period Y , say the unit cell in \mathbb{R}^{N-1})

Polyharmonic operators with strong intermediate b.c.



Theorem (Spectral convergence)

Polyharmonic operators with strong intermediate b.c.



Theorem (Spectral convergence)

Let $m \geq 2$, and let $H_{\Omega_\epsilon, l}$ be the operator $(-1)^m \Delta^m + \mathbb{I}$ on Ω_ϵ

Polyharmonic operators with strong intermediate b.c.



Theorem (Spectral convergence)

Let $m \geq 2$, and let $H_{\Omega_\epsilon, l}$ be the operator $(-1)^m \Delta^m + \mathbb{I}$ on Ω_ϵ with strong intermediate boundary conditions

$$V(\Omega_\epsilon) = W^{m,2}(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap W_0^{m-1,2}(\Omega_\epsilon).$$

Polyharmonic operators with strong intermediate b.c.



Theorem (Spectral convergence)

Let $m \geq 2$, and let $H_{\Omega_\epsilon, I}$ be the operator $(-1)^m \Delta^m + \mathbb{I}$ on Ω_ϵ with strong intermediate boundary conditions

$$V(\Omega_\epsilon) = W^{m,2}(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap W_0^{m-1,2}(\Omega_\epsilon).$$

Let also $H_{\Omega, D}$ be the same operator with Dirichlet boundary conditions on $W \times \{0\}$ and intermediate boundary conditions on the rest of $\partial\Omega$.

Polyharmonic operators with strong intermediate b.c.



Theorem (Spectral convergence)

Let $m \geq 2$, and let $H_{\Omega_\epsilon, I}$ be the operator $(-1)^m \Delta^m + \mathbb{I}$ on Ω_ϵ with strong intermediate boundary conditions

$$V(\Omega_\epsilon) = W^{m,2}(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap W_0^{m-1,2}(\Omega_\epsilon).$$

Let also $H_{\Omega, D}$ be the same operator with Dirichlet boundary conditions on $W \times \{0\}$ and intermediate boundary conditions on the rest of $\partial\Omega$. Then:

- [Spectral stability] *If $\alpha > 3/2$, then $H_{\Omega_\epsilon, I}^{-1} \xrightarrow{C} H_{\Omega, I}^{-1}$.*

Polyharmonic operators with strong intermediate b.c.



Theorem (Spectral convergence)

Let $m \geq 2$, and let $H_{\Omega_\epsilon, I}$ be the operator $(-1)^m \Delta^m + \mathbb{I}$ on Ω_ϵ with strong intermediate boundary conditions

$$V(\Omega_\epsilon) = W^{m,2}(\Omega_\epsilon) \cap W_0^{m-1,2}(\Omega_\epsilon).$$

Let also $H_{\Omega, D}$ be the same operator with Dirichlet boundary conditions on $W \times \{0\}$ and intermediate boundary conditions on the rest of $\partial\Omega$. Then:

- [Spectral stability] If $\alpha > 3/2$, then $H_{\Omega_\epsilon, I}^{-1} \xrightarrow{C} H_{\Omega, I}^{-1}$.
- [Instability] If $\alpha < 3/2$, then $H_{\Omega_\epsilon, I}^{-1} \xrightarrow{C} H_{\Omega, D}^{-1}$.

What about the critical case $\alpha = 3/2$?



Strategy:

What about the critical case $\alpha = 3/2$?



Strategy:

- fix $\varphi \in V(\Omega)$ and a suitable diffeomorphism $\Phi_\epsilon : \Omega_\epsilon \rightarrow \Omega$

What about the critical case $\alpha = 3/2$?



Strategy:

- fix $\varphi \in V(\Omega)$ and a suitable diffeomorphism $\Phi_\epsilon : \Omega_\epsilon \rightarrow \Omega$
- use $\varphi(\Phi_\epsilon(x))$ as test function in the weak formulation of the perturbed problem in Ω_ϵ

What about the critical case $\alpha = 3/2$?



Strategy:

- fix $\varphi \in V(\Omega)$ and a suitable diffeomorphism $\Phi_\epsilon : \Omega_\epsilon \rightarrow \Omega$
- use $\varphi(\Phi_\epsilon(x))$ as test function in the weak formulation of the perturbed problem in Ω_ϵ
- use the [unfolding method](#) from homogenization theory to pass to the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.

What about the critical case $\alpha = 3/2$?



Strategy:

- fix $\varphi \in V(\Omega)$ and a suitable diffeomorphism $\Phi_\epsilon : \Omega_\epsilon \rightarrow \Omega$
- use $\varphi(\Phi_\epsilon(x))$ as test function in the weak formulation of the perturbed problem in Ω_ϵ
- use the **unfolding method** from homogenization theory to pass to the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.
- find a limit problem; a new strange term (*) appears!

What about the critical case $\alpha = 3/2$?



Strategy:

- fix $\varphi \in V(\Omega)$ and a suitable diffeomorphism $\Phi_\epsilon : \Omega_\epsilon \rightarrow \Omega$
- use $\varphi(\Phi_\epsilon(x))$ as test function in the weak formulation of the perturbed problem in Ω_ϵ
- use the **unfolding method** from homogenization theory to pass to the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.
- find a limit problem; a new strange term (*) appears!
- characterize (*) in terms of a microscopic problem for an auxiliary function.

What about the critical case $\alpha = 3/2$?



Strategy:

- fix $\varphi \in V(\Omega)$ and a suitable diffeomorphism $\Phi_\epsilon : \Omega_\epsilon \rightarrow \Omega$
- use $\varphi(\Phi_\epsilon(x))$ as test function in the weak formulation of the perturbed problem in Ω_ϵ
- use the **unfolding method** from homogenization theory to pass to the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$.
- find a limit problem; a new strange term (*) appears!
- characterize (*) in terms of a microscopic problem for an auxiliary function.

Limit problem for the biharmonic operator

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \Delta u - K(U) \frac{\partial u}{\partial n} = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

What about the critical case $\alpha = 3/2$?



and for the triharmonic operator:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{ll} \Delta^3 u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \nabla u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \Delta(\partial_{x_N} u) - K(V)\Delta u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma \end{array} \right.$$

What about the critical case $\alpha = 3/2$?



and for the triharmonic operator:

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^3 u = \lambda u, & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \nabla u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma, \\ \Delta(\partial_{x_N} u) - K(V)\Delta u = 0, & \text{on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$

where $K(U)$, $K(V)$ are respectively

$$K(U) = \int_{Y \times (-\infty, 0)} |D^2 U|^2 dy, \quad K(V) = \int_{Y \times (-\infty, 0)} |D^3 V|^2 dy;$$

in particular they are **not zero**!

What about the critical case $\alpha = 3/2$?



The functions U and V are the solutions of a suitable PDE, which catches the microscopic behaviour of the system.

What about the critical case $\alpha = 3/2$?



The functions U and V are the solutions of a suitable PDE, which catches the microscopic behaviour of the system.

For example, the function V solves

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^3 V = 0, & \text{in } Y \times (-\infty, 0), \\ V(\bar{y}, 0) = 0, & \text{on } Y, \\ \frac{\partial V}{\partial y_N} = g(\bar{y}), & \text{on } Y, \\ \frac{\partial^3 V}{\partial y_N^3} = 0, & \text{on } Y. \end{cases}$$

and is periodic in the first $N - 1$ coordinates.

Thank you
for your attention

Conjecture



If $\alpha = 3/2$, the limit problem for $\Delta^m + \mathbb{I}$ with strong intermediate b.c. satisfies the following b.c. on W :

If $\alpha = 3/2$, the limit problem for $\Delta^m + \mathbb{I}$ with strong intermediate b.c. satisfies the following b.c. on W :

$$\begin{cases} u = 0, \\ \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial x_N^k} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial^m u}{\partial x_N^m} - K \frac{\partial^{m-1} u}{\partial x_N^{m-1}} = 0. \end{cases} \quad \text{for any } k \leq m-2$$

where the factor K is given by

$$K = - \int_Y B_{m-2}(V) d\bar{y} = \int_{Y \times (-\infty, 0)} |D^m V|^2 dy,$$

If $\alpha = 3/2$, the limit problem for $\Delta^m + \mathbb{I}$ with strong intermediate b.c. satisfies the following b.c. on W :

$$\begin{cases} u = 0, \\ \frac{\partial^k u}{\partial x_N^k} = 0, \\ \frac{\partial^m u}{\partial x_N^m} - K \frac{\partial^{m-1} u}{\partial x_N^{m-1}} = 0. \end{cases} \quad \text{for any } k \leq m-2$$

where the factor K is given by

$$K = - \int_Y B_{m-2}(V) d\bar{y} = \int_{Y \times (-\infty, 0)} |D^m V|^2 dy,$$

and the function V satisfies the following

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^m V = 0, & \text{in } Y \times (-\infty, 0), \\ V(\bar{y}, 0) = 0, \quad \frac{\partial^k V}{\partial y_N^k} = 0, & \text{on } Y, \text{ for all } 1 \leq k \leq m-3, \\ \frac{\partial^{m-2} V}{\partial y_N^{m-2}} = g(\bar{y}), & \text{on } Y, \\ \frac{\partial^m V}{\partial y_N^m} = 0, & \text{on } Y, \end{cases}$$