

# THE FARGUES-FONTAINE CURVE

## Lecture 1 : BACK GROUND ON PERFECTOID FIELDS

All the subject is local. So we fix a prime number  $p$  from the beginning.

Let's start with a definition.

### Definition 1

A perfectoid field  $K$  is a topological field whose topology can be defined by a non-archimedean valuation  $v: K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$  such that

1. The residue field  $\kappa = \frac{\mathcal{O}_K}{m_K}$  has characteristic  $p$ .
2.  $K$  is complete.
3. The Frobenius map  $\varphi: \frac{\mathcal{O}_K}{p\mathcal{O}_K} \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} \frac{\mathcal{O}_K}{p\mathcal{O}_K}$  is surjective
4.  $\exists x \in K$  such that  $|p|_K < |x|_K < 1$

### Lemma 2

Conditions 3. + 4. imply that  $m_K$  is not finitely generated.

$$1 \cdot \dots \cdot p^{e-1} \cdot p^e \text{ sono}$$

Conditions 3. + 4. imply that  $x \in O_K$

Because for  $|p|_K < |x|_K < 1$  we have  $x = y^p + p z$  for some

$$y, z \in O_K. \text{ But } |pz|_K \leq |p|_K < |x|_K \Rightarrow |y^p|_K = |x|_K \Rightarrow |y|_K^p = |x|_K$$

$$\Rightarrow |y|_K > |x|_K.$$

### Remark 3

If  $K$  has characteristic  $p$ , then  $p=0 \Rightarrow (3. \Rightarrow K \text{ is perfect})$ .

So perfectoid fields of  $\text{char } p$  are perfect fields complete with respect to a non-trivial valuation.

### Example 4

- $\mathbb{F}_p$  is clearly perfectoid of  $\text{char } 0$ .
- the completion of the algebraic closure of  $\widehat{\mathbb{F}_p}((t))$  is perfectoid of  $\text{char } p$ .
- Consider  $\mathbb{Q}_p\left(p^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}\right) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Q}_p\left(p^{\frac{1}{p^n}}\right) = \mathbb{C}$ , has a canonical norm and we can consider  $K = \widehat{\mathbb{C}}$ .

For sure the norm on  $K$  is not discrete.

We should check that Frobenius on  $O_{K/p}$  is surjective.

$$O_{K/p} = \frac{\widehat{\mathbb{Z}_p[p^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]}}{p} \underset{\substack{\cong \\ p\text{-adic topology}}}{\sim} \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[\frac{1}{p^\infty}]}{p} \cong \frac{\mathbb{Z}_p[t^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]}{(t-p)} \underset{p}{\cong} \frac{\mathbb{F}_p[t^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]}{(t-p)} \cong$$

$\frac{\mathbb{F}_p[t^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]}{t}$  so here Frobenius is surjective.

-  $\mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{cyc}} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}_p[\zeta_{p^n}]$  and  $\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}} = \mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{cyc}}[\frac{1}{p}]$ .

The valuation is not discrete (use cyclotomic polynomials to check that  $|\zeta_{p^n} - 1| = p^{\frac{1}{p^n}}$ )

Again

$$\frac{\mathbb{Z}_p^{\text{cyc}}}{p} = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{\mathbb{F}_p[\zeta_{p^n}]}{(t)} = \frac{\mathbb{F}_p[t^{\frac{1}{p^\infty}}]}{(t)}$$

Showing that the Frobenius is surjective.

### Definition 5

Let  $K$  be any field. We denote

$$K^\flat = \varprojlim \left( \dots \rightarrow K \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} K \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} K \rightarrow \dots \right)$$

$K^\flat$  is always a multiplicative monoid, because  $x \mapsto x^p$  is a multiplicative map and we can just define

$$\{x_n\} \cdot \{y_n\} = \{x_n y_n\}$$

$\nexists \{x_n\}, \{y_n\} \in K^\flat$ .

Since  $x \mapsto x^p$  is not additive there is no way to define an addition on  $K^\flat$  in general. But if  $K$  is complete with respect to a non-archimedean norm and  $|\rho|_K < 1$ , then it is possible to give the structure of a field to  $K^\flat$ .

### Proposition 6

Let  $K$  be a complete non-arch. field with residue char.  $p$ .

Then, the canonical map  $\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/\rho\mathcal{O}_K$  induces a bijection

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{O}_K^\flat &\rightarrow \varprojlim (\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/\rho\mathcal{O}_K \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} \mathcal{O}_K/\rho^2\mathcal{O}_K \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} \dots) \\ &\quad // \\ &\varprojlim (\dots \mathcal{O}_K \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} \mathcal{O}_K \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} \mathcal{O}_K \xrightarrow{\dots}) \end{aligned}$$

↑  
inverse system  
of rings

### Proof

If  $\text{char}(K) = p$ , then  $\rho\mathcal{O}_K = 0 \Rightarrow$  nothing to prove.

In general, we can write a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}_{(p)} & \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & \mathcal{O}_K & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & \varprojlim \mathcal{O}_K/\rho^n\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_K^\flat & | \text{this is} \\ & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & | \text{a commutative} \\ & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & | \text{projective} \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
 & & & & & & \\
 Z(n) & \dashrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{O}_K & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{O}_K & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^n\mathcal{O}_K \\
 \text{---} & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & \\
 \mathbb{F}_{q^n} & & & & & & \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
 & & & & & & \\
 Z(n-1) & \dashrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{n-1}\mathcal{O}_K & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{n-1}\mathcal{O}_K & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}^{n-1}\mathcal{O}_K \\
 \text{---} & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & \\
 \mathbb{F}_{q^{n-1}} & & & & & & \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
 & & & & & & \\
 \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots & & \vdots \\
 & & & & & & \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow & \\
 & & & & & & \\
 Z(1) & \dashrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto x^p} & \mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K \\
 \text{---} & \dashrightarrow & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & & & & & \\
 & & & & & & \\
 \end{array}$$

projective  
limit of  
monoids

projective  
limit of  
rings

The claim is that This projective system is Trivial.

So let's consider  $\{x_i\} \in Z(n)$ , then

$$\Pi_n(\{x_i\}) = \{x_i \bmod p^{n-1}\}.$$

Now if I have  $\{y_i\} \in Z(n-1)$ , I can consider

$$\left\{\tilde{y}_i^p\right\}_{i \geq 0} \in Z(n), \quad \tilde{y}_i = y_i$$

because

$$x \equiv y \pmod{p^{n-1}} \Rightarrow x^p \equiv y^p \pmod{p^n}.$$

Notice that

$$\pi_n(\{x_i\}) = \{x_i^p\}_{i>0}$$

we just got back the original sequence.  $\square$

Corollary 7

$\overline{O}_K^\flat$  can be equipped with a ring structure using the isomorphism of the theorem. So, let  $\{x_i\}, \{y_i\} \in \overline{O}_K^\flat$  and write

$$\{x_i\} + \{y_i\} = \{z_i\}.$$

By the theorem we have a canonical map

$$\overline{O}_K^\flat \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}((t)) = \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} \overline{O}_K / p \quad \xleftarrow{\cong} \varprojlim_{x \mapsto x^p} \overline{O}_K$$

So, we deduce that

$$x_i + y_i \equiv z_i \pmod{p},$$

and if write

$$z_i = x_i + y_i + pw$$

for some  $w \in \overline{O}_K$ , then we use

$$z = z_i^p = (x_i + y_i + pw)^p = \sum_j \binom{p^i}{j} (pw)^j (x_i + y_i)^{p^i - j}$$

$$z_0 = z_i^{p^i} = (x_i + y_i + p^i w)^p = \sum_{j=0}^p \binom{p}{j} (p^i w)^j (x_i + y_i)^{p-j}$$

$$\equiv (x_i + y_i)^p \pmod{p^i}$$

$\hookrightarrow$

$$z_0 = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (x_i + y_i)^{p^i}.$$

More generally

$$z_n = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (x_{i+n} + y_{i+n})^{p^i}.$$

### Example 8

In proposition 6 we did not assume that  $k$  is perfectoid.

If it is not then the result of Tilting is not very interesting.

For example, if  $k = \mathbb{Q}_p$  Then  $k^\flat = \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p}$ .

For  $k = \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p(\frac{1}{p^{\infty}})}$ ,  $k^\flat \cong \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p((t^{\frac{1}{p^{\infty}}}))}$ ,

for  $k = \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}}}$ ,  $k^\flat \cong \widehat{\mathbb{F}_p((t^{\frac{1}{p^{\infty}}}))}$

Notice that

$$\widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p^{\text{cyc}}} \neq \widehat{\mathbb{Q}_p(\frac{1}{p^{\infty}})}.$$

### Definition 9

$\sim \quad \sim \quad \sim \quad , \quad | \quad , \quad , \quad \parallel \quad . \quad \perp$

### Definition 3

Let  $K$  be a complete valued field of residue char  $p$  and  $\frac{x}{x_0} \in K^\flat$ .

We define  $x^\# = x_0 \in K$ . Moreover we define the map

$$\begin{aligned} |\cdot|_\flat : K^\flat &\longrightarrow \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0} \\ x &\longmapsto |x^\#|_K = |x_0|_K. \end{aligned}$$

The map

$$\begin{aligned} K^\flat &\longrightarrow K \\ x &\longmapsto x^\# = x_0 \end{aligned}$$

is a morphism of commutative monoids.

### Remark 10

If  $K$  is perfect of char  $p$ , then  $(-)^{\#}$  is a bijection.

### Proposition 11

Let  $K$  be perfectoid.

- ① If  $x \in \mathcal{O}_K$ ,  $\exists y \in \mathcal{O}_K^\flat$  satisfying  $x = y^\# \bmod p$ ,
- ② If  $x \in K$ ,  $\exists y \in K^\flat$  such that  $|x|_K = |y|_K$ .

### Proof

- ① Proposition 6 + 3 of definition of perfectoid.

- ② Similar.  $\square$

- . -  $1, b_1, \dots, \subset \dots \pi \in K^\flat$  such that

So by proposition 11,  $|K^\flat| = |K|_K$ . So choose  $\pi \in K^\flat$  such that  $0 < |\pi|_\flat < 1$  and consider the subsets

$$\pi^{-n} \mathcal{O}_K^\flat = \left\{ x \in K^\flat \mid |x|_\flat \leq |\pi|_\flat^{-n} \right\}$$

for  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

We have

$$K^\flat = \varinjlim_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \pi^{-n} \mathcal{O}_K^\flat = (\dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\flat \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathcal{O}_K^\flat \xrightarrow{\pi} \dots)$$

as multiplicative monoids.

### Proposition 12

There is a bijection of multiplicative monoids

$$k^\flat \cong \mathcal{O}_K^\flat [\pi^{-1}]$$

and this bijection induces a ring structure on  $K^\flat$ .

The addition law is given again by

$$\{x_n\} + \{y_n\} = \left\{ \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (x_{n+i} + y_{n+i}) \right\}_p^i$$

With this structure and the map  $1: K^\flat \rightarrow K^\flat$ , the field  $K^\flat$  becomes a perfect field of char  $p$ .

becomes a perfect field of char p.

Proof

$K^\flat$  is a field because if  $\{x_n\}$  has inverse  $\{x_n^{-1}\}$ .

The isomorphism of proposition 6 shows that  $\text{char}(K^\flat) = p$ .

$|0|_b = 0$ ,  $|1|_b = 1$  and  $|xy|_b = |x|_b |y|_b$  are obvious

while

$$|(x+y)|_b \leq \max \{ |x|_b, |y|_b \}$$

is deduced from the fact that

$$(x+y)^\# = (x+y)_o = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (x_i + y_i)^{p^i}$$

So

$$|(x+y)_o|_k = \left| \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (x_i + y_i)^{p^i} \right|_k = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |x_i + y_i|_k^{p^i} \leq$$

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \max \left\{ |x_i|_k^{p^i}, |y_i|_k^{p^i} \right\} = \max \{ |x_o|_k, |y_o|_k \} = \\ \max \{ |x|_b, |y|_b \}.$$

It remains to show that  $K^\flat$  is complete.

Let us assume  $|\pi|_b = |\rho|_k$ .

For this it is easy to show that

$$\varprojlim \frac{\mathcal{O}_K^\flat}{\pi^{p^n}} \cong \varprojlim \left( \begin{array}{c} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\flat/\pi^p \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K^\flat/\pi \\ \downarrow \text{vs} \qquad \qquad \downarrow \text{ns} \end{array} \right)$$

$$\mathcal{O}_K^\flat = \varprojlim \left( \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/\pi \xrightarrow{\Psi} \mathcal{O}_K/\pi \mathcal{O}_K \right)$$

Where the vertical isomorphisms are induced by  $\#$ .

It is enough to show,  $\forall \pi \in K^\flat$  with  $|\pi|_K \leq |\pi|_\flat < 1$ ,  $\#$  induces an isomorphism  $\mathcal{O}_K^\flat / (\pi) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathcal{O}_K^\flat / (\pi^\#)$ .

It is not clear that

$$x \mapsto x^\#$$

is injective. Suppose that

$$x^\# \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^\#}$$

This means

$$|x|_\flat = |x|_K^\# \leq |\pi^\#|_K = |\pi|_\flat$$

$$\Rightarrow x \in \pi \mathcal{O}_K^\flat \Rightarrow x = 0 \pmod{\pi}. \blacksquare$$

So we have defined an operation

$$\left\{ \text{Perfectoid fields} \right\} \xrightarrow{(-)^\flat} \left\{ \text{Perfectoid fields char } p \right\}$$

called Tilt, that is a functor.

Moreover some properties of  $K$  are preserved by  $(-)^b$ , for example if  $K$  is algebraically closed then  $K^b$  is algebraically closed. More is true

Proposition 12.1

Let  $K$  be a perfectoid field.

- ① Let  $L/K$  be a finite extension, then  $L$  is a perfectoid field.
- ② The Tilt functor  $(-)^b$  induces an equivalence of categories between finite extensions of  $K$  and those of  $K^b$ , that preserves the degree of the extensions.

We have also seen that the functor  $(-)^b$  is not faithful. It maps non-isomorphic perfectoid fields to the same Tilt. So the natural question is: if we fix a perfectoid field of char  $p$ , how many char 0 perfectoid fields have this field has a Tilt?

Definition 13

Let  $C$  be a perfectoid field of char  $p$ . An untilt of  $\sim_{\text{crys}}(K, \iota)$  of a perfectoid field and an

Let  $C \cong K^\flat$   
 $C$  is a pair  $(K, i)$  of a perfectoid field and an  
isomorphism  $K^\flat \cong C$  of valued fields.

The goal of this seminar is to classify unitals up to Frobenius  
action.

Remark 14

$$C \cong K^\flat \iff \mathcal{O}_C \cong \mathcal{O}_K^\flat = \varprojlim \left( \dots \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K/p\mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow \dots \right)$$

↑  
valued  
fields

And we have seen that  $\exists \pi \in \mathcal{O}_C$  such that

$$\mathcal{O}_{C/\pi} \cong \mathcal{O}_{K/p} \iff C \cong K^\flat.$$

thus we need to classify perfectoid fields with an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{K/p} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C/(\pi)}.$$

Now purely algebraic isomorphism.

Let us use  $\#$  also for the composition  $C \xrightarrow{i} K^\flat \xrightarrow{\#} K$ .

This map is not surjective in general, but we have seen in proposition 11

that  $\forall x \in \mathcal{O}_K, \exists c_0 \in \mathcal{O}_C$  such that  $x - c_0 \# \in p\mathcal{O}_K$ .

So we can write

$$x = c_0^{\#} + x' p$$

with  $x' \in J_K$ . And we can keep going with

$$x' = c_1^{\#} + x'' p \Rightarrow x = c_0^{\#} + c_1^{\#} p + x'' p^2$$

etc..

$$x = c_0^{\#} + c_1^{\#} p + \dots + c_n^{\#} p^n + \dots = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i^{\#} p^i$$

that is a  $p$ -adically convergent series.

The representation of  $x$  in a series like this is not unique.

To make more sense of this observation we introduce the following concept.

### Definition 15

Let  $R$  be a perfect ring of characteristic  $p$ . The ring of Witt vectors  $W(R)$  of  $R$  is characterized by the following properties

- ①  $W(R)/pW(R) \cong R$ ,
- ②  $p$  is not a zero divisor in  $W(R)$
- ③  $W(R)$  is  $p$ -adically complete

---

Another characterization of  $W(R)$  is by the universal property

$$\cdots \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \cong \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow \cdots$$

$$\text{Hom}(W(R), A) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Hom}(R, A/\mathfrak{p}A)$$

If  $p$ -adically complete rings  $A$ .

Example 16

$$W(\mathbb{F}_p) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$$

Remark 17

The quotient map  $W(R) \rightarrow R \cong W(R)/\mathfrak{p}$  has a canonical multiplicative section

$$[-]: R \rightarrow W(R)$$

called Teichmüller lift. One can compute

$$[x] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left( \overbrace{x^{p^n}}^n \right)^{p^n}$$

where  $\overbrace{x^{p^n}}^n$  is any preimage of  $x^{p^n}$ .

Let now  $x \in W(R)$ , Then using  $W(R) \xrightarrow{[-]} W(R)/\mathfrak{p}$

$$x = [c_0] + x' p$$

and so on

$$x = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [c^n] p^n$$

This is called Teichmüller expansion of  $x$  and is similar to

this is called Teichmüller expansion of  $x$  over  $\mathbb{F}_p$

what we have done before with the map  $\#$ .

The difference is that now the expansion is unique!

This is quite different than before with  $\#$ .

Since  $W$  is a functor, to any morphism of perfect  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -algebras

$R_1 \rightarrow R_2$ , associates a morphism  $W(R_1) \rightarrow W(R_2)$ . In

particular this is true for the Frobenius automorphism

$R \xrightarrow{\phi}$  that gets lifted to an automorphism  $W(R) \xrightarrow{\phi} W(R)$

called lift of Frobenius. In formulas, if

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [a_n] p^n \in W(R), \text{ then } \phi\left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [a_n] p^n\right) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} [\phi(a_n)] p^n.$$

### Definition 18

Let  $C$  be a perfectoid field of char  $p$ , then  $\mathcal{O}_C$  is a perfect ring of char  $p$ . We denote  $A_{\text{inf}}(C) = A_{\text{inf}} = W(\mathcal{O}_C)$ , often

called Fontaine's period ring of  $C$ .

The map  $\#: \mathcal{O}_C \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$  is not a ring homomorphism but

when composed with  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathfrak{p}\mathcal{O}_K$  it becomes. Therefore by the

universal property of the Witt vectors and the fact that  $\mathcal{O}_K$  is  $p$ -adically complete we have unique morphism of rings

$$\vartheta: \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$$

lifting  $\#$ . It is given by the formula

$$\vartheta([c_0] + [c_1]p + [c_2]p^2 + \dots) = c_0^\# + c_1^\# p + c_2^\# p^2 + \dots$$

↓   ↑  
universal version of

In terms of commutative diagrams we have seen that

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}} & \xrightarrow{\vartheta} & \mathcal{O}_K \\ \downarrow & \# & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{O}_K^\flat \cong \mathcal{O}_C & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{O}_K/\wp \mathcal{O}_K \end{array}$$

is commutative.

Remark 19

We can find  $\pi \in \mathcal{O}_C$  such that  $|\pi|_\flat = |\wp|_K$ , so

$$|\pi^\#|_K = |\wp|_K \Rightarrow \pi^\# = \wp$$

for a  $v \in \mathcal{O}_c^\times$ . Let us write  $v = \sigma(u)$ , for some  $u \in \mathbb{A}_{\text{mf}}$ .

Also  $u$  is a unit (because  $u = \sum [c_i]_p$  with  $|c_0|_p = 1$ ) and therefore

$$[\pi] - u_p \in \text{Ker } \sigma.$$

### Definition 20

An element  $\xi \in \mathbb{A}_{\text{mf}}$  is called distinguished if it is of the form  $\xi = [\pi] - u_p$ ,  $|\pi|_p < 1$ ,  $u \in \mathbb{A}_{\text{mf}}^\times$

$$\begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \xi = [c_0] + [c_1]p + \dots \end{array}$$

$$|c_0|_p < 1, |c_1|_p = 1.$$

Remark 19 shows that  $\text{Ker}(\sigma)$  always contains a distinguished element.

### Proposition 21

Let  $\xi \in \mathbb{A}_{\text{mf}}$  be a distinguished element. The quotient  $\mathbb{A}_{\text{mf}} / (\xi)$  is the valuation ring of a perfectoid field  $K$ . The canonical morphism

$$\mathcal{O}_C = \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}} / (p) \longrightarrow \mathbb{X}_{\text{inf}} / (\xi, p) \cong \mathcal{O}_K / (p)$$

exhibits  $K$  as an unit of  $C$ .

Proof

Roughly, one checks that  $\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}}$  is  $(p, \xi)$ -adically complete and write down explicitly the isomorphisms claimed in the statement.  $\blacksquare$

Corollary 22

If units  $K$  of  $C$  the map  $\sigma: \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_K$  is surjective with  $\text{Ker}(\sigma)$  principal and generated by a distinguished element.

Therefore, the construction

$$\xi \longmapsto \text{Frac}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}} / (\xi)\right)$$

induces a bijection

$$\frac{\{\text{distinguished el. of } \mathbb{A}_{\text{inf}}\}}{\text{multiplication by units}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \frac{\{\text{units of } C\}}{\text{isomorphisms}}.$$

lost construction.