

Part 2 :

The geometry of X .

Recall that $X = \text{Proj } \bigoplus_{d \geq 0} B^d = \mathbb{P}^d$.

We now want to study some of the main properties
of X : in particular we shall prove that X is a Dedekind scheme

with $\text{Pic}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

The main tool will be the following

[Fundamental exact sequence of p -adic Hodge theory, FF 6.4.1].
 Let $y \in V$ and $t \in B^{\varphi=\pi}$ s.t. $\text{div}(t) = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \varphi^{*k}(y) \in \text{DN}^+(V/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})$.

For $d \geq 0$, the natural sequence

$$\hookrightarrow t^d E \longrightarrow B^{\varphi=\pi^d} \longrightarrow \frac{B_{dR,y}^+}{\sum_{\mathbb{Z}} B_{dR,y}^+} \longrightarrow 0$$

is exact [Here $t^d E \rightarrow B^{\varphi=\pi^d}$ is the inclusion and

$$B^{\varphi=\pi^d} \longrightarrow \frac{B_{dR,y}^+}{\sum_{\mathbb{Z}} B_{dR,y}^+} \quad \text{the composition } B^{\varphi=\pi^d} \hookrightarrow B \xrightarrow{\alpha} B_{dR,y}^+ \longrightarrow \frac{B_{dR,y}^+}{\sum_{\mathbb{Z}} B_{dR,y}^+}$$

$\uparrow$
 $\mathbb{Z}$ -adic completion.

Let $x \in B^{\varphi=\pi^d}$ map to 0 in the quotient. Then

$$\text{div}(x) \geq d[y] = d \cdot \text{div}(t) = \text{div}(t^d).$$

as both $\text{div}(x)$ and $\text{div}(t^d)$ are in

$\text{DN}^+(V/\varphi^{\mathbb{Z}})$ of degree d , by Thm 0.0.7 they differ

by an element of $E^{\times}$.

It remains to show that $B^{\varphi=\pi^d} \longrightarrow \frac{B_{dR,y}^+}{\sum_{\mathbb{Z}} B_{dR,y}^+}$ is surjective.

We shall reduce it to the

case $d=1$, which we have already seen for $E=\mathbb{Q}_p$, but we will not prove that

$$\beta^+ : B^{\mathcal{P}=\Pi} \longrightarrow \frac{B_{dR,y}^+}{\sum_{i \geq 1} B_{dR,y}^+} = \zeta_y \text{ is surjective in general.}$$

So let $d \geq 2$ and assume the statement holds for in degrees

$$1, \dots, d-1. \quad \text{Let } x \in B_{dR,y}^+, \text{ let } w \in B_{dR,y}^+ \text{ s.t. } w^d \equiv x \pmod{\sum_{i \geq 1} B_{dR,y}^+} \text{ (recall that } \frac{B_{dR,y}^+}{\sum_{i \geq 1} B_{dR,y}^+} = \zeta_y \text{ is algebraically closed)} \text{ and let } \alpha \in B^{\mathcal{P}=\Pi} \text{ s.t.}$$

$$\alpha(\alpha) \equiv w \pmod{\sum_{i \geq 1} B_{dR,y}^+}. \text{ Then } \alpha(\alpha^d) - x \equiv 0 \pmod{\sum_{i \geq 1} B_{dR,y}^+}$$

so that we can write $\alpha(\alpha^d) - x = \alpha(t)x'$ for some $x' \in B_{dR,y}^+$

(recall that, by the very choice of t , $\alpha_d(t) = 1$, so $\alpha(t) = \sum_{i \geq 1} u_i$ for some $u_i \in (B_{dR,y}^+)^{\times}$). By the inductive assumption $\exists b \in B^{\mathcal{P}=\Pi^{d-1}}$ s.t. $x' \equiv \alpha(b) \pmod{\sum_{i \geq 1} B_{dR,y}^+}$ whereby $\alpha^d - tb \in B^{\mathcal{P}=\Pi^d}$ and $\alpha(\alpha^d - tb) \equiv x \pmod{\sum_{i \geq 1} B_{dR,y}^+}$. $\square$

Denote again by $\theta_y: B \rightarrow \zeta_y$ the canonical map.

Corollary [6.4.3] In the notation above, the morphism of graded E -algebras

$$\frac{P}{tP} \longrightarrow \left\{ f \in \zeta_y[[T]] \mid f(0) \in E \right\} =: S \quad \text{is an isomorphism.}$$

$$\sum_{d \geq 0} x_d + tP \longmapsto \sum_{d \geq 0} \theta_y(x_d) T^d$$

(with $\deg(x_d) = d$)

$$\text{In particular, } \text{Proj}(P/tP) = \{0\}$$

Ex: This tells us that for $t \in B^{\mathcal{P}=\Pi}$, $V_+(t)$ consists of a single point (a function of degree 1 vanishes at a single point).

If: Denote by β the map in the statement.

$$\left(\frac{P}{tP} \right)_0 = \frac{P_0}{(tP)_0} = \frac{P_0}{tP \cap P_0} = P_0 \cong E, \text{ whereby } \beta \text{ is an isomorphism on degree 0.}$$

Surjectivity is clear because for each d one has a commutative

triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^d \varphi = \pi^d & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \frac{B_{dR, Y}^+}{\sum_{i \leq d} B_{dR, Y}^+} \\ & \searrow & \\ & & \frac{B_{dR, Y}^+}{\sum_{i \leq d} B_{dR, Y}^+} \end{array}$$

the horizontal map being surjective by the previous theorem.

$$\varphi = \frac{B_{dR, Y}^+}{\sum_{i \leq d} B_{dR, Y}^+}$$

If $x \in P_d$, $d \geq 1$ is mapped to 0 by φ , $x \equiv 0 \pmod{\sum_{i \leq d} B_{dR, Y}^+}$

one can write $x_d \equiv t x_{d-1} \pmod{\sum_{i \leq d} B_{dR, Y}^+}$; thus, $x_d - t x_{d-1} \in t^d E \cap P^c$.

by the previous theorem

In order to show that $\text{Proj } S$ consists of a single point, pick a graded prime ideal $P \subseteq S$. Being a graded ideal, P is generated by homogeneous elements, so if $P \neq (0)$, $c T^d \in P$ for some $c \in \mathbb{G}_Y^*$ and $d \geq 1$.

$$\text{Then } T^{d+1} = (c T^d)(c^{-1} T) \in P,$$

whereby P contains the irrelevant ideal (T) , and so $P \notin \text{Proj } S$. $\square$

as P is a graded ring generated in degree 1 (i.e. P is generated by P_1 as a P_0 -algebra), for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ one has an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{O}(n)$, the sheaf on X associated to the graded P -module $P(n)$, where $P(n)_d = P_{d+n} \quad \forall d \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Thm [FF 6.5.2, 5.2.7]. Let $t \in P_1 \setminus \{0\}$, ω_t the unique point of $V_+(t) \subseteq \text{Proj } P = X$, $B_t = P\left[\frac{1}{t}\right]_0$.

~~The~~ The ring B_t is a PID ^{of Krull dim 1} and $X = \text{Spec } B_t \cup \{\omega \in S\}$.

In particular, X is a Noetherian scheme.

If $\bullet$ In order to show that B_t is a PID, we shall use that
 a factorial domain whose irreducible elements generate a maximal ideal. is a PID.

Let $x \in B_t \neq 0$. One can write $x = \frac{t'}{t^d}$ for some $d \geq 0$, $t' \in P_d$.

By Thm 0.0.23, one can factor t' as a product of elements $t_1, \dots, t_r \in B_t \setminus \{0\}$, so that $x = \frac{t_1}{t} \cdots \frac{t_r}{t}$: it ensues that the

irreducible elements of B_t are those of the form

$\frac{t'}{t}$ for $t' \in P_1 \setminus tE$. Denoting by a bar the reduction

mod $t'P$, one has an isomorphism

$$\frac{B_t}{\left(\frac{t'}{t}\right)B_t} \cong \left(\frac{P}{t'P}\right) \left[\frac{1}{\bar{t}}\right]_0.$$

We have previously seen that $\frac{P}{t'P} \cong \{f \in G[T] \mid f(0) \in E\} =: S$,

so it is enough to ~~show~~ ^{show} that for each $f \in S$, $S \cdot \left[\frac{1}{f}\right]_0 \cong G$, in

particular a field. This concludes the proof that B_t is a PID of Krull dimension 1.

One has $X = D_+(t) \cup V_+(t) = \text{Spec } B_t \cup \{\omega_t\}$ by the previous corollary.

Taking $0 \neq t' \in P_1$ s.t. $\omega_{t'} \in \text{Spec } D_+(t')$ (this exists as

P is generated in degree 1, so that $X = \bigcup_{t' \in P_1} D_+(t')$ as a topological space), one gets

$X = \text{Spec } B_t \cup \text{Spec } B_{t'}$, so that X has a finite cover by spectra of Dedekind domains. Finally, X is irreducible as it has (0) as its unique generic point $\square$

Let us denote by $|X|$ the set of closed points of X .

Since $X = \text{Spec } B_t \cup \{\infty_t\}$ for $t \in P_1 \setminus S$, ∞_t is closed and the maximal ideals of B_t are exactly those generated by elements of the form $\frac{t'}{t}$ for $t' \in P_1 \setminus E$ (see previous proof), we have ^{canonically} identifications $|X| = (P_1 \setminus S) / E^x \xrightarrow{\sim} |Y| / \varphi^x$ (comp. with Thm 0.0.15 and Corollary 0.0.24).

Thus the closed points of X do indeed

classify the isomorphism classes of unital F modules the Frobenius action.

Lemma If $y \in |Y|$, ~~and~~ ^{and x is} its image under the canonical map

$|Y| \rightarrow |Y| / \varphi^x \xrightarrow{\sim} |X|$, there is a canonical isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}_{X,x}^\wedge \xrightarrow{\sim} B_{\mathbb{A}^1, y}^+.$$

If Let x and y be as in the statement and let $t \in P_1 \setminus S$ be

such that $\text{div}(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^{*n}(y)$, i.e. s.t. $V_+(t) = \{X \in X \mid x \in \text{Spec } B_t\}$.

Let $t' \in B^{p=\pi} \setminus Et$, so that

one has a factorisation

$$B^{p=\pi} \hookrightarrow B \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{A}^1, y}^+$$

(recall that the map $B \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{A}^1, y}^+$ is the $\mathbb{E}_y$ -adic completion).

$$\downarrow \quad \nearrow$$

$$B_t = B \left[\frac{1}{t} \right]_0$$

The local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is the localisation of B_t at the prime $\left(\frac{t}{t'} \right)$,

since $B \rightarrow B_{\mathbb{A}^1, y}^+$ maps t to a uniformiser, $\rightarrow$ the induced map

$\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \rightarrow B_{dR,y}^+$ maps the uniformiser $\frac{t}{t'}$ to a uniformiser.

Moreover, the fundamental exact sequence of
 p-adic Hodge theory for $d=1$

$0 \rightarrow Et \rightarrow B_{dR,y}^{p=0} \rightarrow C_y$, whereby $\frac{\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}}{\frac{t}{t'} \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}} \cong \frac{B_{Et'}}{\frac{t}{t'} B_{Et'}} \cong C_y$ and
 the map $\hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x} \rightarrow B_{dR,y}^+$ is

an isomorphism since t is a map of DVR's taking a uniformiser to a uniformiser and inducing an isomorphism of residue fields. $\square$

Definition For $x \in |X|$, let $B_{dR,x}^+ := \hat{\mathcal{O}}_{X,x}$ and $B_{dR,x} = \text{Frac } B_{dR,x}^+$.

Denote by $k(X)$ the function field of X , by $\text{Div}(X)$ the group of (Weil)
 divisors on X , by $\text{ord}_x: \mathcal{O}_{X,x} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$ (for $x \in |X|$) the

valuation of $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ and by $\text{div}: k(X)^\times \rightarrow \text{Div}(X)$ the usual map
 $f \mapsto \sum_{x \in |X|} \text{ord}_x(f) [x]$, whose image is denoted by $\text{Prin}(X)$.

There is a degree homomorphism $\deg: \text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ taking a divisor

$$\sum_{x \in |X|} n_x [x] \quad \text{to} \quad \sum_{x \in |X|} n_x.$$

Proposition The degree morphism $\text{Div}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ factors through

$\text{Div}(X) = \text{Cl}(X)$ and the resulting map $\text{Cl}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is an isomorphism.

Proof Let us first show that $\deg(f) = 0 \quad \forall f \in K(X)^\times$.

By the description of $|X|$ given above (page 7) and since

$\deg$ and div are homomorphisms, we can assume that

$f = \frac{t'}{t}$ for $t, t' \in B^{\mathbb{P}^n}$; it is easy to see that

$$\text{div}\left(\frac{t'}{t}\right) = [\infty_{t'}] - [\infty_t] \quad (\text{use the commutative diagram,}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} B^{\mathbb{P}^n} & \longrightarrow & B_{\text{dR}, \mathbb{G}}^+ \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ B_t & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{X, x} \end{array}$$

the previous lemma and the computation of $\text{ord}_x(t), \text{ord}_x(t')$, which has degree 0.

The induced map $\text{Cl}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is clearly surjective.

In order to prove injectivity, recall that for a Dedekind scheme Z (or more generally, regular noetherian locally factorial integral scheme) morphism of abelian groups from $\text{Div}(Z)$ to the group $\text{Pic}(Z)$ of isomorphism

classes of line bundles on Z which ~~also~~ takes a closed point z

to the line bundle $\mathcal{O}_Z^{(z)} := \mathcal{I}_Z^v$ (the dual of the invertible ideal sheaf cutting out z)

induces an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)$

We now

claim that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Div}(X) & \longrightarrow & \text{Pic}(X) \\ \downarrow & & \uparrow \\ \mathcal{O}(X) & \xrightarrow{\deg} & \mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

commutes, which implies that

$\deg: \mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ is injective,

(as $\mathcal{O}(X) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(X)$ is).

It is enough to show that $\forall x \in |X|$, $\mathcal{O}_X(x) \cong \mathcal{O}_X(1)$; but this follows immediately since $\mathcal{O}_X(x)$ and $\mathcal{O}_X(1)$ have a (regular) global section cutting out the effective Cartier divisor x (see [Stack Project, 01X0]),

namely the canonical section of $\mathcal{O}_X(x)$ and $t \in B^{\vee} = H^0(X, \mathcal{O}_X(1))$, where t corresponds to x under the bijection $|X| \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Pic}^1(X)/E^*$.

□

□