

NOTES ON MONSKY-WASHNITZER COHOMOLOGY

1. DE RHAM COHOMOLOGY OF SMOOTH AFFINE VARIETIES

Let K be a field, and A a finitely generated K -algebra.

Definition 1.1. $\Omega_{A/K}$ is the A -module of Kähler differentials. This is the A -module generated by symbols da for $a \in A$ and relations:

- $dk = 0$ for $k \in K$
- $d(a + b) = da + db$ and $d(ab) = a \cdot db + b \cdot da$ for all $a, b \in A$.

More explicitly, if we have

$$A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_r)$$

then $\Omega_{A/K}$ has a presentation:

$$\Omega_{A/K} = Adx_1 \oplus \dots \oplus Adx_n / (df_i : i = 1, \dots, r)$$

where df is the total derivative $\sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_j} dx_j$.

There is a natural derivation

$$d : A \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}$$

sending a to da .

Remark 1.2. $\Omega_{A/K}$ is characterised by a universal property: any K -linear derivation $D : A \rightarrow M$ factors uniquely as

$$A \xrightarrow{d} \Omega_{A/K} \rightarrow M.$$

If A/K is smooth¹ then $\Omega_{A/K}$ is locally free of rank $\dim(A)$.

Definition 1.3. Set $\Omega_{A/K}^i = \bigwedge^i \Omega_{A/K}$. In particular $\Omega_{A/K}^0 = A$ and $\Omega_{A/K}^1 = \Omega_{A/K}$.

Abusing notation, we write d for each of the K -linear maps

$$d : \Omega_{A/K}^i \rightarrow \Omega_{A/K}^{i+1}$$

given by mapping $f_0 df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_i$ to $df_0 \wedge df_1 \wedge \dots \wedge df_i$.

Denote by $\Omega_{A/K}^\bullet$ the resulting complex. This is the *de Rham complex* for A/K .

Finally, set $H_{dR}^i(A/K) = H^i(\Omega_{A/K}^\bullet)$.

Note that if $i > \dim(A)$ then $\Omega_{A/K}^i = 0$ and so $H_{dR}^i(A/K) = 0$.

Remark 1.4. It would be better to write $H_{dR}^i(A/K)$ as $H_{dR}^i(X/K)$, where $X = \text{Spec}(A)$. The definition of $\Omega_{A/K}^\bullet$ globalises to the case of finite type schemes X/K , but then to define $H_{dR}^i(X/K)$ one needs to take hypercohomology of the resulting complex of coherent sheaves on X . Things simplify in the affine case because $H^i(U, \mathcal{F}) = 0$ for $i > 0$ for coherent sheaves \mathcal{F} on affine schemes U .

Example 1.5. One very simple example: suppose K has characteristic 0 and let $A = K[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ (i.e. $X = \mathbb{A}_K^n$). Then $H_{dR}^0(A/K) = K$ and $H_{dR}^i(A/K) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

For $K = \mathbb{C}$ there is a natural isomorphism $H_{dR}^i(X/\mathbb{C}) = H_{dR}^i(X^{an}/\mathbb{C})$ where X^{an} is the complex manifold associated to $X = \text{Spec}(A)$.

Date: November 28, 2014.

¹Here is a definition of smooth: there are elements a_1, \dots, a_n generating A as an ideal, such that for each i the localisation $A_{(a_i)}/K$ can be written as $K[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ with Jacobian matrix $(\frac{\partial f_i}{\partial x_j})_{i,j=1,\dots,r}$ having non-zero determinant in $A_{(a_i)}$. In other words, A Zariski locally satisfies the Jacobian criterion for smoothness.

2. COHOMOLOGY IN CHARACTERISTIC p

From now on we fix a finite field k of characteristic p and cardinality $q = p^f$. We would like to define some sort of cohomology theory for varieties over k . Today we will define a cohomology theory, with coefficients in a characteristic 0 field, for smooth affine varieties over k . First let's consider the de Rham cohomology of such a variety.

Suppose $A = k[x]$. Then $H_{dR}^1(A/k)$ is not a finite-dimensional k -vector space: if n is a positive integer divisible by p , then $x^{n-1}dx \in \Omega_{A/k}$ is not in the image of d . This suggests we should stick to characteristic 0 coefficients.

We set R to be the Witt vectors of k . This is the ring of integers in the (unique, up to isomorphism) unramified extension of \mathbb{Q}_p with residue field k . We have $R/pR = k$. We set $K = \text{Frac}(R)$. If $k = \mathbb{F}_p$ then R is the ring of p -adic integers \mathbb{Z}_p .

Suppose we have a smooth k -algebra A . Then, if we can find a smooth R -algebra \tilde{A} with $\tilde{A} \otimes_R k \cong A$, we could consider the de Rham cohomology of the smooth K -algebra $\hat{A}_K := \tilde{A} \otimes_R K$. Here is an example which shows this is not such a good idea:

Example 2.1. Set $A = k[x]$, $\tilde{A}_1 = R[x]$, $\tilde{A}_2 = R[x, y]/((1 + px)y - 1)$. Then $H_{dR}^1(\tilde{A}_{1,K}/K)$ is zero, whilst $H_{dR}^1(\tilde{A}_{2,K}/K)$ is one-dimensional.

So there are many lifts of A to smooth R -algebras, which can give different cohomology groups. In fact, there is a way to get a unique lift of A , but we need to work with R -algebras which are only topologically finitely generated (for the p -adic topology).

Proposition 2.2. *Suppose A is a smooth k -algebra. Then there is a unique (up to isomorphism) R -algebra \hat{A} which is complete in the p -adic topology, flat over R , and with*

$$\hat{A} \otimes_R k \cong A.$$

Proof. For each $n \geq 1$ there is a unique lift of A to a flat $R/p^n R$ -algebra A_n , since obstructions to lifting and the set of lifts are classified by H^2 and H^1 of a coherent sheaf on $\text{Spec}(A)$ (see Hartshorne, Deformation Theory, Section 10), which vanish. We then have $\hat{A} = \varprojlim_n A_n$. \square

Example 2.3. For $A = k[x]$ we can take

$$\hat{A} = R\langle x \rangle := \left\{ \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n : |a_n|_p \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \right\}.$$

For rings like $R\langle x \rangle$ and $R\langle x \rangle \otimes_R K$ which are only *topologically* finitely generated, we need to work with continuous differentials, for example $\Omega_{R\langle x \rangle/R} = R\langle x \rangle dx$. These are universal with respect to continuous R -linear derivations $A \rightarrow M$ from A to a topological A -module M .

But now we still have a problem: we have $(\sum_{n \geq 0} p^n x^{p^n - 1}) dx \in \Omega_{\hat{A}_K/K}$ which *should* integrate to $\sum_{n \geq 0} x^{p^n}$, but this is not in \hat{A} . The idea of Monsky and Washnitzer is to use a subring $A^\dagger \subset \hat{A}$ defined by

$$A^\dagger = \left\{ \sum a_n x^n : \exists C > 0, \rho \in (0, 1) \text{ with } |a_n|_p \leq C \rho^n \forall n \right\}.$$

Remark 2.4. Elements of $f \in \hat{A}$ are functions on the closed unit disc $|x| \leq 1$. If we have $f = \sum a_n x^n$ with $|a_n|_p \leq C \rho^n$, then f extends to a function on the open disc $|x| < \rho^{-1}$.

This means that A^\dagger consists of functions on the closed unit disc which in fact converge on some bigger disc. Therefore A^\dagger is sometimes referred to as a ring of overconvergent functions on the closed unit disc.

You can check that the coefficients of $\sum_{n \geq 0} p^n x^{p^n - 1}$ tend to zero too slowly for this element to lie in A^\dagger .

Exercise 2.5. The map $d : A_K^\dagger \rightarrow \Omega_{A_K^\dagger/K}$ is surjective.

The lifting from $A = k[x]$ to A_K^\dagger is a model for what we are going to do in general.

3. WEAKLY COMPLETE LIFTS

Definition 3.1. We define the weakly complete power series ring

$$W_n := R\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle^\dagger = \left\{ \sum a_\alpha x^\alpha : \exists C > 0, \rho \in (0, 1) \text{ with } |a_\alpha|_p \leq C\rho^{|\alpha|} \forall \alpha \right\}.$$

In the above, α runs over tuples $(\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}^n$, $x^\alpha = x_1^{\alpha_1} x_2^{\alpha_2} \cdots x_n^{\alpha_n}$ and $|\alpha| = \sum_{i=1}^n \alpha_i$.

Theorem 3.2. W_n is Noetherian.

Proof. One way to show Noetherianity is to use the Weierstrass division and preparation theorems. See [2] Theorems 3.1.1 and 3.2.1 for the case of $K\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle$, which can be adapted to the weakly complete situation.

Alternatively, the note of Fulton [3] gives the original proof this lemma. \square

Definition 3.3. A WCFG (weakly complete, finitely generated) R -algebra is a quotient of W_n .

Suppose A is a finitely generated k -algebra. A WCFG R -algebra \tilde{A}^\dagger is a *lift* of A if it is flat over R and $\tilde{A}^\dagger \otimes_R k \cong A$.

Theorem 3.4 (Elkik, Theorem 6 [1]). *Suppose A is a smooth finitely generated k -algebra. Then there exists a finitely generated smooth R -algebra \tilde{A} with $\tilde{A}/p\tilde{A} = \tilde{A} \otimes_R k \cong A$.*

The above theorem is easy to see when $A = k[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_r)$ satisfies the Jacobian criterion. Choose some lifts $\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_r$ of the f_i to $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ and consider $R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(\tilde{f}_1, \dots, \tilde{f}_r)$. This R -algebra is smooth in a Zariski open neighbourhood of $V(pR) \subset \text{Spec}(R)$ (since the determinant of the Jacobian matrix is non-zero modulo p), so some localisation gives a smooth lift of A .

Corollary 3.5. *Suppose A is a smooth finitely generated k -algebra. Then there is a lift of A to a WCFG R -algebra.*

Proof. Take \tilde{A} as in the above Theorem and write $\tilde{A} = R[x_1, \dots, x_n]/(f_1, \dots, f_r)$. Set

$$\tilde{A}^\dagger = R\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle^\dagger / (f_1, \dots, f_r).$$

Then we claim \tilde{A}^\dagger is a lift of A . It is clear that $\tilde{A}^\dagger \otimes_R k = \tilde{A} \otimes_R k$, so we just need to check that \tilde{A}^\dagger is flat over R . But $\tilde{A}^\dagger / p^n \tilde{A}^\dagger = \tilde{A} / p^n \tilde{A}$ is flat over $R/p^n R$ for all n , so this follows from [4, Theorem 2.4] (see also [5, Tag 0523]). \square

4. MONSKY-WASHNITZER COHOMOLOGY

We will now simplify our notation a bit. From now on \bar{A} will be a smooth k -algebra and A will be a lift to a WCFG algebra over R . As mentioned before, when we discuss differentials of A we will want to take *continuous* differentials.

Definition 4.1. For $A = R\langle x_1, \dots, x_n \rangle / (f_1, \dots, f_r)$, set $D^1(A/R)$ to be the A -module

$$D^1(A/R) := Adx_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus Adx_n / (df_i : i = 1, \dots, r)$$

The more abstract description of $D^1(A/R)$ as continuous differentials shows that it is well-defined, independently of the presentation of A .

Lemma 4.2. $D^1(A/R)$ is a locally free A -module of rank $\dim(\bar{A})$.

Proof. Since A/R is flat and $A/pA = \bar{A}$ is smooth over k , $A/p^n A$ is smooth over $R/p^n R$ for all $n \geq 1$ [5, Tag 031L]. Therefore $D^1(A/R) \otimes_A A/p^n A = \Omega_{(A/p^n A)/(R/p^n R)}$ is flat over $A/p^n A$ for all $n \geq 1$. Now [4, Lemma 2.1] (see also [5, Tag 0523]) shows that $D^1(A/R)$ is flat over A . Since it is also finitely generated, it is locally free. The statement about the rank follows from $D^1(A/R) \otimes_A \bar{A} \cong \Omega_{\bar{A}/k}$. \square

Definition 4.3. Set $D^i(A/R) = \bigwedge_A^i D^1(A/R)$, and $D^i(A/K) = D^i(A/R) \otimes_R K = \bigwedge_{A/K}^i D^1(A/K)$.

Abusing notation, we write d for each of the K -linear maps

$$d : D^i(A/K) \rightarrow D^{i+1}(A/K)$$

given by mapping $f_0 df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_i$ to $df_0 \wedge df_1 \wedge \cdots \wedge df_i$.

Denote the resulting complex by $D^\bullet(A/K)$. Finally, set $H_{MW}^i(A/K) = H^i(D^\bullet_{A/K})$.

In the next section, we will show that $H_{MW}^i(A/K)$ only depends on the smooth k -algebra \bar{A} , so we may instead write $H_{MW}^i(\bar{A}/K)$.

5. FUNCTORIALITY AND UNIQUENESS OF LIFTING

Theorem 5.1. *Suppose \bar{A}, \bar{B} are smooth k -algebras. Let A/R and B/R be WCFG lifts of \bar{A}, \bar{B} respectively (which exist by Corollary 3.5). Then:*

- (1) *Every lift of \bar{A} is isomorphic (over R) to A .*
- (2) *Suppose*

$$\bar{f} : \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{B}$$

is a k -algebra map. Then there exists an R -algebra map

$$f : A \rightarrow B$$

lifting \bar{f} .

Proof. We just explain part (1). The second part is proved by the same argument. First we show that $A/p^n A$ is smooth over $R/p^n R$ for all $n \geq 1$. Indeed, since A/R is flat, and $A/pA = \bar{A}$ is smooth over $R/pR = k$, it follows that $A/p^n A$ is smooth ([5, Tag 031L]).

In particular $A/p^n A$ is formally smooth over $R/p^n R$, so we have the following lifting property:

Suppose C is another R -algebra. Then any R -algebra map $A/p^n A \rightarrow C/p^n C$ lifts (not necessarily uniquely) to an R -algebra map $A/p^{n+1} A \rightarrow C/p^{n+1} C$.

Now we assume that C is another lift of \bar{A} . Denoting the p -adic completions of A and C by \hat{A} and \hat{C} , we apply the above lifting property to get a map $\hat{f} : \hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{C}$, lifting the identity map mod p . Applying a form of Artin approximation (see [6, 2.4.1]) gives a map $f : A \rightarrow C$ which is an isomorphism mod p . Finally, the map f must be an isomorphism. Indeed, A and C are flat R -algebras, so there are isomorphisms $A/pA \cong p^n A/p^{n+1} A$ and $C/pC \cong p^n C/p^{n+1} C$. Therefore f induces isomorphisms $p^n A/p^{n+1} A \cong p^n C/p^{n+1} C$ so $\ker(f) \subset \cap_{n \geq 1} p^n A = \{0\}$. Hence f is injective. Surjectivity is given by the following lemma. \square

Lemma 5.2. *Suppose $f : A \rightarrow B$ is a map between WCFG R -algebras, which is surjective mod p . Then f is surjective.*

Proof. First note that we may assume that $A = W_n$ for some n (just write A as a quotient of W_n).

For some m , we have a surjective map $f' : A' = A\langle x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m} \rangle^\dagger \rightarrow B$. Suppose m is minimal. If $m = 0$ we are done, so assume $m > 0$. There is an $a \in A$ such that $x_{n+m} - a$ is in the kernel of \bar{f} . Now we have $x_{n+m} - a = a' + pr$ for some $a' \in \ker(f)$ and $r \in A'$. Weierstrass division implies that $A\langle x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m-1} \rangle^\dagger \rightarrow A'/(a')$ is an isomorphism, so $A\langle x_{n+1}, \dots, x_{n+m-1} \rangle^\dagger$ surjects onto B , contradicting minimality of m . \square

Finally, we want to show that the map on Monsky-Washnitzer cohomology induced by lifts $f : A \rightarrow B$ of a map $\bar{f} : \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{B}$ depends only on \bar{f} (i.e. it does not depend on the choice of lifting f , which is not unique).

Theorem 5.3. *Suppose A, B are lifts of two smooth k -algebras \bar{A}, \bar{B} , and f_0, f_1 are two maps from A to B lifting a single map $\bar{f} : \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{B}$. Then the induced maps*

$$f_{0,*}, f_{1,*} : D^\bullet(A/K) \rightarrow D^\bullet(B/K)$$

are homotopic. In other words, for $q \geq 0$ there exist K -linear maps $\delta_q : D^{q+1}(A/K) \rightarrow D^q(B/K)$ such that (with $\delta_{-1} = 0$)

$$f_{1,*} - f_{0,*} = d\delta_{q-1} + \delta_q d : D^q(A/K) \rightarrow D^q(B/K).$$

In particular, f_0 and f_1 induce the same map $H_{MW}^i(A/K) \rightarrow H_{MW}^i(B/K)$.

Proof. We first suppose that there is a map $f : A \rightarrow B\langle T \rangle^\dagger$ such that, if $\alpha_0, \alpha_1 : B\langle T \rangle^\dagger \rightarrow B$ are defined by sending T to 0, 1 respectively, then $\alpha_0 \circ f = f_0, \alpha_1 \circ f = f_1$. This is an analogue of the topological definition of homotopy. It is sufficient to prove that $\alpha_{0,*}$ and $\alpha_{1,*}$ are homotopic.

Now

$$D^{q+1}(B\langle T \rangle^\dagger/K) = B\langle T \rangle^\dagger \otimes_B D^{q+1}(B/K) \oplus B\langle T \rangle^\dagger dT \otimes_B D^q(B/K)$$

and we define δ_q to be 0 on the first factor in the direct sum, and

$$g \otimes \omega \mapsto \left(\int_0^1 g dT \right) \omega$$

on the second.

Now we just need to show the existence of f . We want to define it by sending a to $(1-T)f_0(a) + Tf_1(a)$. This will not in general be an algebra homomorphism, so instead we approximate it, slightly indirectly. Set $S = pT$ and define

$$h : A \rightarrow \hat{B}[[S]]/(S^2 - pS)$$

by

$$a \mapsto (1-T)f_0(a) + Tf_1(a) = f_0(a) + \frac{f_1(a) - f_0(a)}{p} S.$$

You can check that h is an R -algebra homomorphism.

Formal smoothness allows us to lift h to

$$\hat{h} : \hat{A} \rightarrow \hat{B}[[S]] \subset \hat{B}\langle T \rangle$$

since $\hat{B}[[S]] = \lim_n \hat{B}[[S]]/(p^n, (S^2 - pS)^n)$. Now Artin approximation gives the desired map $A \rightarrow \hat{B}\langle T \rangle^\dagger$. \square

The previous two theorems establish that $H_{MW}^i(A/K)$ depends only on \bar{A} , and is functorial in maps of smooth k -algebras $\bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{B}$.

An important result (proved by Berthelot long after Monsky and Washnitzer's work) is that the K -vector spaces $H_{MW}^i(A/K)$ are *finite-dimensional*.

6. FROBENIUS

Recall that k is a finite field of cardinality q . For a smooth k -algebra \bar{A} , denote by $F : \bar{A} \rightarrow \bar{A}$ the q -power Frobenius map.

Theorem 6.1. F_* is bijective on $H_{MW}^i(\bar{A}/K)$.

Proof. We just sketch the proof. Recall that F lifts to an endomorphism of A which we again call F . F induces an isomorphism $A \cong F(A)$. It is possible to define a trace map $S_{A/F(A)} : D^\bullet(A/R) \rightarrow D^\bullet(F(A)/R)$.

We define a map ψ by composing $S_{A/F(A)}$ with the inverse of the isomorphism $D^\bullet(A/R) \cong D^\bullet(F(A)/R)$ induced by F .

The composition $\psi \circ F$ induces multiplication by $[A : F(A)] = [\bar{A} : \bar{A}^q] = q^{\dim(\bar{A})}$ on $D^\bullet(A/R)$. This shows that F_* is injective on $H_{MW}^i(\bar{A}/K)$. Another argument involving the Galois closure of $\text{Frac}(A)/\text{Frac}(F(A))$ shows that $S_{A/F(A)}$ is injective on $H_{MW}^i(\bar{A}/K)$, which implies that ψ_* is injective and hence F_* is surjective on $H_{MW}^i(\bar{A}/K)$. \square

Finally, we can state the Lefschetz fixed point formula:

Let \bar{A} be a smooth k -algebra which is an integral domain of dimension n . Let $N(\bar{A})$ denote the number of k -algebra maps $\bar{A} \rightarrow k$. In other words, this is the number of k -points of $\text{Spec}(\bar{A})$.

Theorem 6.2.

$$N(\bar{A}) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i \text{Tr}(q^n F_*^{-1} | H^i(\bar{A}/K))$$

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Elkik. Solutions d'équations à coefficients dans un anneau hensélien. *Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4)*, 6:553–603 (1974), 1973.
- [2] J. Fresnel and M. van der Put. *Rigid analytic geometry and its applications*, volume 218 of *Progress in Mathematics*. Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2004.
- [3] W. Fulton. A note on weakly complete algebras. *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 75:591–593, 1969.
- [4] P. Monsky and G. Washnitzer. Formal cohomology. I. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 88:181–217, 1968.
- [5] The Stacks Project Authors. Stacks project. <http://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2014.
- [6] M. van der Put. The cohomology of Monsky and Washnitzer. *Mém. Soc. Math. France (N.S.)*, (23):4, 33–59, 1986. *Introductions aux cohomologies p -adiques* (Luminy, 1984).