

SOME QUESTIONS ARISING FROM THE STUDY OF THE GENERATING GRAPH

Andrea Lucchini

Università di Padova, Italy

ISCHIA GROUP THEORY 2012
March, 26th - 29th

THE GENERATING GRAPH

The generating graph $\Gamma(G)$ of a group G is the graph defined on the non-identity elements of G in such a way that two distinct vertices are connected by an edge if and only if they generate G .

THE GENERATING GRAPH

The generating graph $\Gamma(G)$ of a group G is the graph defined on the non-identity elements of G in such a way that two distinct vertices are connected by an edge if and only if they generate G .

The graph $\Gamma(G)$ has “many” edges when G is a finite non abelian simple group: a finite non abelian simple group G can be generated by 2 elements and the probability that a random pair of vertices of $\Gamma(G)$ is connected by an edge tends to 1 as $|G|$ tends to infinity.

THE GENERATING GRAPH

The generating graph $\Gamma(G)$ of a group G is the graph defined on the non-identity elements of G in such a way that two distinct vertices are connected by an edge if and only if they generate G .

The graph $\Gamma(G)$ has “many” edges when G is a finite non abelian simple group: a finite non abelian simple group G can be generated by 2 elements and the probability that a random pair of vertices of $\Gamma(G)$ is connected by an edge tends to 1 as $|G|$ tends to infinity.

Many deep results about finite simple groups G can equivalently be stated as theorems about $\Gamma(G)$.

- (Guralnick and Kantor, 2000) There is no isolated vertex in $\Gamma(G)$.
- (Breuer, Guralnick and Kantor, 2008) The diameter of $\Gamma(G)$ is at most 2.

- A **Hamiltonian cycle** is a cycle in an undirected simple graph which visits each vertex exactly once.
- A graph is called **Hamiltonian** if it contains a Hamiltonian cycle.

- A **Hamiltonian cycle** is a cycle in an undirected simple graph which visits each vertex exactly once.
- A graph is called **Hamiltonian** if it contains a Hamiltonian cycle.

THEOREM (BREUER, GURALNICK, AL , MARÓTI, NAGY (2010))

For every sufficiently large finite simple group G , the graph $\Gamma(G)$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle.

- A **Hamiltonian cycle** is a cycle in an undirected simple graph which visits each vertex exactly once.
- A graph is called **Hamiltonian** if it contains a Hamiltonian cycle.

THEOREM (BREUER, GURALNICK, AL, MARÓTI, NAGY (2010))

For every sufficiently large finite simple group G , the graph $\Gamma(G)$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle.

CONJECTURE

Let G be a finite group with at least 4 elements. Then $\Gamma(G)$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle if and only if G/N is cyclic for all non-trivial normal subgroups N of G .

- The conjecture is true for finite soluble groups.
- For every sufficiently large symmetric group $\text{Sym}(n)$, the graph $\Gamma(\text{Sym}(n))$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle.
- For every sufficiently large non-abelian finite simple group S , the graph $\Gamma(S \wr C_m)$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle, where m denotes a prime power.

- The conjecture is true for finite soluble groups.
- For every sufficiently large symmetric group $\text{Sym}(n)$, the graph $\Gamma(\text{Sym}(n))$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle.
- For every sufficiently large non-abelian finite simple group S , the graph $\Gamma(S \wr C_m)$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle, where m denotes a prime power.

Moreover computer calculations show that the following groups contain Hamiltonian cycles.

- Non-abelian simple groups of orders at most 10^7 ,
- groups G containing a unique minimal normal subgroup N such that N has order at most 10^6 , N is nonsolvable, and G/N is cyclic,
- alternating and symmetric groups on n points, with $5 \leq n \leq 13$,
- sporadic simple groups and automorphism groups of sporadic simple groups.

The proofs rely on classical results in graph theory that ensure that a graph Γ contains a Hamiltonian cycle provided that “many vertices of Γ have large degree”.

The proofs rely on classical results in graph theory that ensure that a graph Γ contains a Hamiltonian cycle provided that “many vertices of Γ have large degree”.

PÓSA'S CRITERION

A graph with m vertices and list of vertex degrees $d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_m$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle if $d_k \geq k + 1$ for all $k < m/2$.

The proofs rely on classical results in graph theory that ensure that a graph Γ contains a Hamiltonian cycle provided that “many vertices of Γ have large degree”.

PÓSA'S CRITERION

A graph with m vertices and list of vertex degrees $d_1 \leq \dots \leq d_m$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle if $d_k \geq k + 1$ for all $k < m/2$.

The **n -closure** of a graph Γ is the graph obtained from Γ by recursively joining pairs of nonadjacent vertices whose degree sum is at least n until no such pair remains.

BONDY, CHVÁTAL

A graph with m vertices is Hamiltonian if and only if its m -closure is Hamiltonian.

QUESTION

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let S be a nonabelian simple group and consider the wreath product $G = S \wr C_m$. Is the generating graph of G Hamiltonian?

QUESTION

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let S be a nonabelian simple group and consider the wreath product $G = S \wr C_m$. Is the generating graph of G Hamiltonian?

- Let $\pi : G = S \wr C_m \rightarrow C_m$ the projection to the top group C_m .
- If $\pi(g_1)$ and $\pi(g_2)$ generate C_m , then g_1 and g_2 are connected in $\Gamma(G)$ with high probability.
- $\deg(g, \Gamma(G)) \sim \deg(\pi(g), \Gamma(C_m))|S|^m$ if S is large.

QUESTION

Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and let S be a nonabelian simple group and consider the wreath product $G = S \wr C_m$. Is the generating graph of G Hamiltonian?

- Let $\pi : G = S \wr C_m \rightarrow C_m$ the projection to the top group C_m .
- If $\pi(g_1)$ and $\pi(g_2)$ generate C_m , then g_1 and g_2 are connected in $\Gamma(G)$ with high probability.
- $\deg(g, \Gamma(G)) \sim \deg(\pi(g), \Gamma(C_m))|S|^m$ if S is large.

The conditions in Posa's criterion and in the Bondy-Chvátal Theorem are satisfied by $\Gamma(G)$ provided that the graph $\Gamma(C_m)$ satisfies similar conditions.

DEFINITION

Λ_m := the $(m + 1)$ -closure of the generating graph $\Gamma(C_m)$.

We say that m is **Hamiltonian** if every $1 \neq x \in C_m$ generating a subgroup of C_m of odd index is connected in Λ_m to any other vertex.

DEFINITION

$\Lambda_m :=$ the $(m + 1)$ -closure of the generating graph $\Gamma(C_m)$.

We say that m is **Hamiltonian** if every $1 \neq x \in C_m$ generating a subgroup of C_m of odd index is connected in Λ_m to any other vertex.

THEOREM (E. CRESTANI AND AL (2011))

Assume that m is Hamiltonian. There exists a positive integer τ such that if S is a nonabelian simple group with $|S| \geq \tau$, then the graph $\Gamma(S \wr C_m)$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle.

DEFINITION

Λ_m := the $(m + 1)$ -closure of the generating graph $\Gamma(C_m)$.

We say that m is **Hamiltonian** if every $1 \neq x \in C_m$ generating a subgroup of C_m of odd index is connected in Λ_m to any other vertex.

THEOREM (E. CRESTANI AND AL (2011))

Assume that m is Hamiltonian. There exists a positive integer τ such that if S is a nonabelian simple group with $|S| \geq \tau$, then the graph $\Gamma(S \wr C_m)$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle.

CONJECTURE

Every positive integer is Hamiltonian.

COROLLARY

Let $m = \prod_{i=1}^s p_i^{\alpha_i}$, where $p_s < p_{s-1} < \dots < p_1$ are distinct primes and $\alpha_i > 0$ for every $1 \leq i \leq s$. Assume that one of the following holds:

- 1 $s \leq 2$;
- 2 $\varphi(m)/m \geq (p_s - 1)/(2p_s - 1)$;
- 3 m is odd and $p_s \geq s + 1$;
- 4 m is even and $p_{s-1} \geq 2s - 1$.

There exists τ such that if $|S| \geq \tau$, then the graph $\Gamma(S \wr C_m)$ contains a Hamiltonian cycle.

- S a finite non abelian simple group
- $\delta(S) :=$ number of $(\text{Aut } S)$ -orbits on pairs of generators for S

S^n is still 2-generated if and only if $n \leq \delta(S)$.

- S a finite non abelian simple group
- $\delta(S) :=$ number of $(\text{Aut } S)$ -orbits on pairs of generators for S

S^n is still 2-generated if and only if $n \leq \delta(S)$.

$\delta(S)$ increases with $|S|$ and the probability that a random pair of vertices of $\Gamma(S^{\delta(S)})$ is connected by an edge tends to 0 as $|S| \rightarrow \infty$.

- S a finite non abelian simple group
- $\delta(S) :=$ number of $(\text{Aut } S)$ -orbits on pairs of generators for S

S^n is still 2-generated if and only if $n \leq \delta(S)$.

$\delta(S)$ increases with $|S|$ and the probability that a random pair of vertices of $\Gamma(S^{\delta(S)})$ is connected by an edge tends to 0 as $|S| \rightarrow \infty$.

Nevertheless, the abundance of edges in the graph $\Gamma(S)$ reflects on $\Gamma(S^{\delta(S)})$.

Let $n \leq \delta(S) = \delta$. If n is large, it is no more true that $\Gamma(S^n)$ has no isolated vertices. We will concentrate our attention on the subgraph $\Gamma_n(S)$ obtained from $\Gamma(S^n)$ by removing the isolated vertices.

Let $n \leq \delta(S) = \delta$. If n is large, it is no more true that $\Gamma(S^n)$ has no isolated vertices. We will concentrate our attention on the subgraph $\Gamma_n(S)$ obtained from $\Gamma(S^n)$ by removing the isolated vertices.

- $\{(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_\delta, b_\delta)\}$ a set of representatives for the $\text{Aut}(S)$ -orbits on the ordered pairs of generators for S
- C_1, \dots, C_u the $\text{Aut}(S)$ -orbits on $S \setminus \{1\}$
- $\delta_r = |\{j \mid a_j \in C_r\}|$

Let $n \leq \delta(S) = \delta$. If n is large, it is no more true that $\Gamma(S^n)$ has no isolated vertices. We will concentrate our attention on the subgraph $\Gamma_n(S)$ obtained from $\Gamma(S^n)$ by removing the isolated vertices.

- $\{(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_\delta, b_\delta)\}$ a set of representatives for the $\text{Aut}(S)$ -orbits on the ordered pairs of generators for S
- C_1, \dots, C_u the $\text{Aut}(S)$ -orbits on $S \setminus \{1\}$
- $\delta_r = |\{i \mid a_i \in C_r\}|$

$S^n = \langle (x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \rangle \iff$
 $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ are not $\text{Aut}(S)$ -conjugated generating pairs of S

Let $n \leq \delta(S) = \delta$. If n is large, it is no more true that $\Gamma(S^n)$ has no isolated vertices. We will concentrate our attention on the subgraph $\Gamma_n(S)$ obtained from $\Gamma(S^n)$ by removing the isolated vertices.

- $\{(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_\delta, b_\delta)\}$ a set of representatives for the $\text{Aut}(S)$ -orbits on the ordered pairs of generators for S
- C_1, \dots, C_u the $\text{Aut}(S)$ -orbits on $S \setminus \{1\}$
- $\delta_r = |\{j \mid a_j \in C_r\}|$

$S^n = \langle (x_1, \dots, x_n), (y_1, \dots, y_n) \rangle \iff$
 $(x_1, y_1), \dots, (x_n, y_n)$ are not $\text{Aut}(S)$ -conjugated generating pairs of S

$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$ is a nonisolated vertex of $\Gamma(S^n)$

\iff

$|\{j \mid x_j \in C_r\}| \leq \delta_r$ for $1 \leq r \leq u$.

(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	(1, 3, 4, 2, 5)
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	(1, 4, 5, 3, 2)
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	(1, 5, 2, 4, 3)
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	(1, 2, 3, 5, 4)
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	(3, 4, 5)
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	(2, 5, 3)
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	(3, 5, 4)
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	(2, 3, 5)
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	(1, 3)(2, 4)
(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)	(2, 3)(4, 5)
(1, 2, 3)	(1, 5, 4, 3, 2)
(1, 2, 3)	(1, 3, 5, 2, 4)
(1, 2, 3)	(1, 2, 3, 5, 4)
(1, 2, 3)	(1, 4, 2, 5, 3)
(1, 2, 3)	(3, 4, 5)
(1, 2, 3)	(2, 4)(3, 5)
(1, 2)(3, 4)	(1, 3, 5, 2, 4)
(1, 2)(3, 4)	(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)
(1, 2)(3, 4)	(2, 3, 5)

$$S = \text{Alt}(5), \quad \delta(S) = 19, \quad u = 3$$

$$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5) \in C_1 \Rightarrow \delta_1 = 10$$

$$(1, 2, 3) \in C_2 \Rightarrow \delta_2 = 6$$

$$(1, 2)(3, 4) \in C_3 \Rightarrow \delta_3 = 3$$

$$x = (x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

is a non-isolated vertex in $\Gamma(S^n)$

if and only if x has

at most 10 entries of order 5,

at most 6 entries of order 3,

at most 3 entries of order 2.

- $\text{Aut}(S^\delta) \cong \text{Aut}(S) \wr \text{Sym}(\delta) \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma_\delta(S))$.
- $S^\delta = \langle x, y \rangle = \langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle \Rightarrow (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (x^\alpha, y^\alpha) \exists \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S^\delta)$.

- $\text{Aut}(S^\delta) \cong \text{Aut}(S) \wr \text{Sym}(\delta) \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma_\delta(S))$.
- $S^\delta = \langle x, y \rangle = \langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle \Rightarrow (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (x^\alpha, y^\alpha) \exists \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S^\delta)$.

- $\Gamma_\delta(S)$ is vertex-transitive and edge-transitive.
- $\Gamma_\delta(S)$ has $\frac{|\text{Aut}(S)|^\delta \delta!}{2}$ edges and $\frac{|\text{Aut}(S)|^\delta \delta!}{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq u} \gamma_i^{\delta_i} \delta_i!}$ vertices, with $\gamma_i = |\mathcal{C}_{\text{Aut}(S)}(x_i)|$ for $x_i \in \mathcal{C}_i$.

- $\text{Aut}(S^\delta) \cong \text{Aut}(S) \wr \text{Sym}(\delta) \leq \text{Aut}(\Gamma_\delta(S))$.
- $S^\delta = \langle x, y \rangle = \langle \bar{x}, \bar{y} \rangle \Rightarrow (\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (x^\alpha, y^\alpha) \exists \alpha \in \text{Aut}(S^\delta)$.

- $\Gamma_\delta(S)$ is vertex-transitive and edge-transitive.
- $\Gamma_\delta(S)$ has $\frac{|\text{Aut}(S)|^\delta \delta!}{2}$ edges and $\frac{|\text{Aut}(S)|^\delta \delta!}{\prod_{1 \leq i \leq u} \gamma_i^{\delta_i} \delta_i!}$ vertices, with $\gamma_i = |\mathcal{C}_{\text{Aut}(S)}(x_i)|$ for $x_i \in \mathcal{C}_i$.

For example $\Gamma_{19}(\text{Alt}(5))$ is a graph with $2^{45} \cdot 3^{14} \cdot 5^9 \cdot 7 \cdot 11 \cdot 13 \cdot 17 \cdot 19$ vertices, whose degree is equal to $2^{28} \cdot 3^{13} \cdot 5^{13} \cdot 7$.

THEOREM (E. CRESTANI AND AL (2011))

If S is a non abelian simple group, then the graph $\Gamma_n(S)$ is connected for $n \leq \delta(S)$.

THEOREM (E. CRESTANI AND AL (2011))

If S is a non abelian simple group, then the graph $\Gamma_n(S)$ is connected for $n \leq \delta(S)$.

THEOREM (E. CRESTANI AND AL (2011))

Let S be a finite non abelian simple group and let $\delta = \delta(S)$.

- 1 If $n < \delta$, then the diameter of $\Gamma_n(S)$ is at most $2 + 4(n - 1)$.*
- 2 The diameter of $\Gamma_\delta(S)$ is at most $4\delta + c$ for an absolute constant c .*
- 3 The diameter of $\Gamma_\delta(S)$ is at most 4δ if $|S|$ is large enough.*

THEOREM (E. CRESTANI AND AL (2011))

If S is a non abelian simple group, then the graph $\Gamma_n(S)$ is connected for $n \leq \delta(S)$.

THEOREM (E. CRESTANI AND AL (2011))

Let S be a finite non abelian simple group and let $\delta = \delta(S)$.

- 1 *If $n < \delta$, then the diameter of $\Gamma_n(S)$ is at most $2 + 4(n - 1)$.*
- 2 *The diameter of $\Gamma_\delta(S)$ is at most $4\delta + c$ for an absolute constant c .*
- 3 *The diameter of $\Gamma_\delta(S)$ is at most 4δ if $|S|$ is large enough.*

THEOREM (E. CRESTANI AND AL (2011))

Let $S = \text{SL}(2, 2^p)$ with p an odd prime. Then $\text{diam}(\Gamma_\delta(S)) \geq 2^{p-2} - 1$ if p is large enough.

For a given graph Γ , the clique number $\omega(\Gamma)$ of Γ is the size of a largest complete subgraph.

For a given graph Γ , the clique number $\omega(\Gamma)$ of Γ is the size of a largest complete subgraph.

If a graph has “many” edges, then by Turán’s Theorem, it should contain a “large” complete subgraph. Applying this result to $\Gamma(S)$ when S is a nonabelian simple group with large order, it follows:

THEOREM (LIEBECK AND SHALEV (1995))

There exists a positive constant c_1 such that $c_1 \cdot m(S) \leq \omega(\Gamma(S))$ for any finite simple group S where $m(S)$ denotes the minimal index of a proper subgroup in S .

For a given graph Γ , the clique number $\omega(\Gamma)$ of Γ is the size of a largest complete subgraph.

If a graph has “many” edges, then by Turán’s Theorem, it should contain a “large” complete subgraph. Applying this result to $\Gamma(S)$ when S is a nonabelian simple group with large order, it follows:

THEOREM (LIEBECK AND SHALEV (1995))

There exists a positive constant c_1 such that $c_1 \cdot m(S) \leq \omega(\Gamma(S))$ for any finite simple group S where $m(S)$ denotes the minimal index of a proper subgroup in S .

The value of $\omega(\Gamma(S))$ is in general much larger than $m(S)$. For example $\omega(\Gamma(\text{Alt}(n))) = 2^{n-2}$ if n is large and not divisible by 4.

For a given graph Γ , the clique number $\omega(\Gamma)$ of Γ is the size of a largest complete subgraph.

If a graph has “many” edges, then by Turán’s Theorem, it should contain a “large” complete subgraph. Applying this result to $\Gamma(S)$ when S is a nonabelian simple group with large order, it follows:

THEOREM (LIEBECK AND SHALEV (1995))

There exists a positive constant c_1 such that $c_1 \cdot m(S) \leq \omega(\Gamma(S))$ for any finite simple group S where $m(S)$ denotes the minimal index of a proper subgroup in S .

The value of $\omega(\Gamma(S))$ is in general much larger than $m(S)$. For example $\omega(\Gamma(\text{Alt}(n))) = 2^{n-2}$ if n is large and not divisible by 4.

THEOREM (A. MAROTI AND AL (2009))

If $\delta = \delta(S)$, then $\omega(\Gamma(S^\delta)) = \omega(\Gamma_\delta(S))$ is at most $(1 + o(1))m(S)$, so $\omega(\Gamma_i(S))$ decreases drastically with i .

No good lower bound for $\omega(\Gamma_\delta(S))$ is known.

No good lower bound for $\omega(\Gamma_\delta(S))$ is known.

QUESTION

Do there exist simple groups S for which $\omega(\Gamma_\delta(S))$ is arbitrarily large?

No good lower bound for $\omega(\Gamma_\delta(S))$ is known.

QUESTION

Do there exist simple groups S for which $\omega(\Gamma_\delta(S))$ is arbitrarily large?

- $G = \langle x, y \rangle \Rightarrow \{x, y, xy\}$ is a complete subgraph of $\Gamma(G)$.
- If G is 2-generated, then $\omega(\Gamma(G)) \geq 3$.

No good lower bound for $\omega(\Gamma_\delta(S))$ is known.

QUESTION

Do there exist simple groups S for which $\omega(\Gamma_\delta(S))$ is arbitrarily large?

- $G = \langle x, y \rangle \Rightarrow \{x, y, xy\}$ is a complete subgraph of $\Gamma(G)$.
- If G is 2-generated, then $\omega(\Gamma(G)) \geq 3$.

QUESTION

Is there a non abelian simple group S for which $\omega(\Gamma_\delta(S)) > 3$?

No good lower bound for $\omega(\Gamma_\delta(S))$ is known.

QUESTION

Do there exist simple groups S for which $\omega(\Gamma_\delta(S))$ is arbitrarily large?

- $G = \langle x, y \rangle \Rightarrow \{x, y, xy\}$ is a complete subgraph of $\Gamma(G)$.
- If G is 2-generated, then $\omega(\Gamma(G)) \geq 3$.

QUESTION

Is there a non abelian simple group S for which $\omega(\Gamma_\delta(S)) > 3$?

THEOREM

$$\omega(\Gamma_{19}(\text{Alt}(5))) = 4.$$

$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$	$(1, 3, 4, 2, 5)$	$(1, 5, 3, 2, 4)$	$(1, 5, 2, 3, 4)$
$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$	$(1, 4, 5, 3, 2)$	$(3, 5, 4)$	$(1, 3)(2, 5)$
$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$	$(1, 5, 2, 4, 3)$	$(1, 4, 2)$	$(2, 3, 5)$
$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$	$(1, 2, 3, 5, 4)$	$(1, 3)(2, 5)$	$(2, 4, 3)$
$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$	$(3, 4, 5)$	$(1, 2, 4, 3, 5)$	$(1, 4, 2)$
$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$	$(2, 5, 3)$	$(1, 5)(3, 4)$	$(1, 2, 4, 5, 3)$
$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$	$(3, 5, 4)$	$(1, 2, 5)$	$(1, 5, 3, 2, 4)$
$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$	$(2, 3, 5)$	$(1, 3, 4, 2, 5)$	$(1, 2)(4, 5)$
$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$	$(1, 3)(2, 4)$	$(1, 4, 5, 3, 2)$	$(1, 2, 5)$
$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$	$(2, 3)(4, 5)$	$(1, 3, 5)$	$(1, 5, 3, 4, 2)$
$(1, 2, 3)$	$(1, 5, 4, 3, 2)$	$(3, 5, 4)$	$(1, 3, 5, 4, 2)$
$(1, 2, 3)$	$(1, 3, 5, 2, 4)$	$(1, 4)(2, 5)$	$(1, 4, 3, 2, 5)$
$(1, 2, 3)$	$(1, 2, 3, 5, 4)$	$(1, 3, 2, 5, 4)$	$(1, 5, 4)$
$(1, 2, 3)$	$(1, 4, 2, 5, 3)$	$(1, 5, 3, 4, 2)$	$(2, 4)(3, 5)$
$(1, 2, 3)$	$(3, 4, 5)$	$(1, 2, 4, 5, 3)$	$(1, 2, 3, 5, 4)$
$(1, 2, 3)$	$(2, 4)(3, 5)$	$(1, 4, 2, 5, 3)$	$(1, 2, 4, 3, 5)$
$(1, 2)(3, 4)$	$(1, 3, 5, 2, 4)$	$(1, 4, 5, 2, 3)$	$(1, 5, 3)$
$(1, 2)(3, 4)$	$(1, 2, 3, 4, 5)$	$(1, 3, 5)$	$(1, 3, 4, 5, 2)$
$(1, 2)(3, 4)$	$(2, 3, 5)$	$(1, 3, 4, 5, 2)$	$(1, 3, 5, 2, 4)$

Assume that G is a 2-generated finite group and let $\sigma(G)$ denote the least number of proper subgroups of G whose union is G .

A set that generates G pairwise cannot contain two elements of any proper subgroup, hence $\omega(\Gamma(G)) \leq \sigma(G)$.

Assume that G is a 2-generated finite group and let $\sigma(G)$ denote the least number of proper subgroups of G whose union is G .

A set that generates G pairwise cannot contain two elements of any proper subgroup, hence $\omega(\Gamma(G)) \leq \sigma(G)$.

In general $\omega(\Gamma(G)) \neq \sigma(G)$. $\omega(\Gamma(\text{Alt}(5))) = 8$ and $\sigma(\text{Alt}(5)) = 10$.

However no example is known of a finite 2-generated soluble non cyclic group G with $\omega(\Gamma(G)) \neq \sigma(G)$.

THEOREM (E. CRESTANI AND AL (2012))

If G is a finite, 2-generated, non cyclic, soluble group and \mathcal{A} is the set of the chief factors G having more than one complement, then

$$\min_{A \in \mathcal{A}} (1 + |\text{End}_G(A)|) \leq \omega(\Gamma(G)) \leq \sigma(G) = \min_{A \in \mathcal{A}} (1 + |A|).$$

THEOREM (E. CRESTANI AND AL (2012))

If G is a finite, 2-generated, non cyclic, soluble group and \mathcal{A} is the set of the chief factors G having more than one complement, then

$$\min_{A \in \mathcal{A}} (1 + |\text{End}_G(A)|) \leq \omega(\Gamma(G)) \leq \sigma(G) = \min_{A \in \mathcal{A}} (1 + |A|).$$

COROLLARY (A. MAROTI AND AL (2009))

Let G be a finite soluble group with Fitting height at most 2. Then $\omega(\Gamma(G)) = \sigma(G)$.

DEFINITION

$\mu_d(G)$:= the largest m for which there exists an m -tuple of elements of G such that any of its d entries generate G ($\mu_2(G) = \omega(\Gamma(G))$).

THEOREM

Let G be a d -generated finite soluble group with $d \geq 2$ and let \mathcal{A} be the set of the chief factors G having more than one complement. Assume that a positive integer t satisfies the following property:

- 1 $t \leq |A|$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $C_G(A) = G$.
- 2 $\binom{t-1}{d-1} \leq |\text{End}_G(A)|$ for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$ with $C_G(A) \neq G$.

Then $\mu_G(d) \geq t$.

COROLLARY

Let G be a d -generated finite group, with $d \geq 2$, and let p be the smallest prime divisor of the order of G . Then $\binom{\mu_d(G)}{d-1} > p$.

A question in linear algebra plays a crucial role in the study of the value of $\mu_d(G)$ when G is soluble.

$M_{r \times s}(F)$ the ring of the $r \times s$ matrices with coefficients over F .

Let $t \geq d$. Assume that $A_1, \dots, A_t \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ have the property that $\text{rank} \begin{pmatrix} A_{i_1} & \cdots & A_{i_d} \end{pmatrix} = n$ whenever $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_d \leq t$.

Can we find $B_1, \dots, B_t \in M_{n(d-1) \times n}(F)$ with the property that $\det \begin{pmatrix} A_{i_1} & \cdots & A_{i_d} \\ B_{i_1} & \cdots & B_{i_d} \end{pmatrix} \neq 0$ whenever $1 \leq i_1 < i_2 < \cdots < i_d \leq t$?

PROOF.

$$\begin{aligned} x &= (x_1, \dots, x_\delta) & \bar{x} &= (\bar{x}_1, \dots, \bar{x}_\delta) \\ y &= (y_1, \dots, y_\delta) & \bar{y} &= (\bar{y}_1, \dots, \bar{y}_\delta) \end{aligned}$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \bar{x}_{i\pi} \\ \bar{y}_{i\pi} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} x_i \\ y_i \end{pmatrix}^{a_i} \quad \exists \pi \in \mathbf{Sym}(\delta), (a_1, \dots, a_\delta) \in \mathbf{Aut}(S)^\delta$$

$$\Downarrow$$

$$(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = (x^\alpha, y^\alpha) \text{ for } \alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_\delta)\pi \in \mathbf{Aut}(S^\delta).$$

□

TURÁN'S THEOREM

Let Γ be a graph with n vertices and e edges. If $\omega(\Gamma) \leq r$ then

$$e \leq \left(1 - \frac{1}{r}\right) \frac{n^2}{2}.$$



Assume that $\{g_1, \dots, g_r\}$ is a complete subgraph of $\Gamma_\delta(S)$.

$S^\delta = \langle g_1, g_2 \rangle$ and for each $i \in \{3, \dots, r\}$ there exists a word $w_i(x_1, x_2)$ such that $g_i = w_i(g_1, g_2)$.

$$S = \langle s_1, s_2 \rangle$$



$\{s_1, s_2, w_3(s_1, s_2), \dots, w_r(s_1, s_2)\}$ is a complete subgraph of $\Gamma(S)$

