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## Aims

－To draw the attention of the Applied Mathematics community to interesting issues on Variational and Hemivariational Inequalities with emphasis to the study of Contact Mechanics．
－To illustrate the cross fertilization between Modeling and Applications on the one hand，and Nonlinear Functional Analysis on the other hand．
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## I. A VISCOELASTIC CONTACT PROBLEM



Figure: 1. Physical setting.


Figure: 2. Tire of the plane at landing.


Figure：3．Some examples of contact processes．

## Notation

$I=[0, T]$ or $I=\mathbb{R}_{+}$- interval of time ;
$\Omega$ - bounded domain of $\mathbb{R}^{d}(d=1,2,3)$;
$\Gamma$ - boundary of $\Omega$;
$\Gamma_{1}, \Gamma_{2}, \Gamma_{3}$ - partition of $\Gamma$ such that meas $\Gamma_{1}>0 ;$
$\boldsymbol{\nu}$ - unit outward normal on 「;
$\mathbb{S}^{d}$ - space of second order symmetric tensors on $\mathbb{R}^{d}$;
$\varepsilon$ - the deformation operator;
$v_{\nu}, \mathbf{v}_{\tau}$-normal and tangential components of $\boldsymbol{v}$ on $\Gamma$;
$\sigma_{\nu}, \sigma_{\tau}$ - normal and tangential components of $\sigma$ on $\Gamma$.

Problem $\mathcal{P}$. Find a displacement field $\boldsymbol{u}: \Omega \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{d}$ and a stress field $\sigma: \Omega \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^{d}$ such that $\boldsymbol{u}(0)=\boldsymbol{u}_{0}$ and

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)=\mathcal{A} \varepsilon(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t))+\mathcal{B} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}(t))+\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{C}(t-s) \varepsilon(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)) d s & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\operatorname{Div} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)+\boldsymbol{f}_{0}(t)=\mathbf{0} & \text { in } \Omega, \\
\boldsymbol{u}(t)=\mathbf{0} & \text { on } \Gamma_{1}, \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) \boldsymbol{\nu}=\boldsymbol{f}_{2}(t) & \text { on } \Gamma_{2}, \\
F \leq-\sigma_{\nu}(t) \leq 0, \quad-\sigma_{\nu}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & \dot{u}_{\nu}(t)<0, \\
F & \text { if } & \dot{u}_{\nu}(t)>0
\end{array}\right. & \text { on } \Gamma_{3}, \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}_{\tau}(t)=\mathbf{0} & \text { on } \Gamma_{3} .
\end{array}
$$

for all $t \in I$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V=\left\{\boldsymbol{v}=\left(v_{i}\right) \in H^{1}(\Omega)^{d}: v_{i}=0 \text { on } \Gamma_{1}\right\}, \\
& Q=\left\{\boldsymbol{\tau}=\left(\tau_{i j}\right): \tau_{i j}=\tau_{j i} \in L^{2}(\Omega)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Inner products:

$$
(\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})_{V}=\int_{\Omega} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}) \cdot \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{v}) d x, \quad(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\tau})_{Q}=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{\sigma} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} d x
$$

Associated norms: $\|\cdot\| v$ and $\|\cdot\|_{Q}$.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}=\left\{\mathcal{E}=\left(e_{i j k l}\right): e_{i j k l}=e_{j i k l}=e_{k l i j} \in L^{\infty}(\Omega),\right\} . \\
\|\mathcal{E}\|_{\mathbf{Q}_{\infty}}=\max _{0 \leq i, j, k, l \leq d}\left\|e_{i j k l}\right\|_{L^{\infty}(\Omega)}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\left(X,\|\cdot\|_{X}\right)$ - real normed space, $C(I ; X)$ - standard notation.

## Assumptions

(1) $\left\{\begin{array}{l}\text { (a) } \mathcal{A} \in \mathbf{Q}_{\infty} . \\ \text { (b) There exists } m_{\mathcal{A}}>0 \text { such that } \\ \mathcal{A} \boldsymbol{\tau} \cdot \boldsymbol{\tau} \geq m_{\mathcal{A}}\|\boldsymbol{\tau}\|^{2} \forall \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \mathbb{S}^{d} \text {, a.e. in } \Omega .\end{array}\right.$
(2) $\mathcal{B} \in \mathbf{Q}_{\infty}$.
(3) $\mathcal{C} \in C\left(I ; \mathbf{Q}_{\infty}\right)$.
(4) $\boldsymbol{f}_{0} \in C\left(I ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{f}_{2} \in C\left(I ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)^{d}\right)$.
(5) $F \in L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{3}\right), \quad F \geq 0$ a.e. on $\Gamma_{3}$.
(6) $\boldsymbol{u}_{0} \in V$.

Denote by $\boldsymbol{w}=\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}$ the velocity field. Then
(7) $\boldsymbol{u}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} \boldsymbol{w}(s) d s+\boldsymbol{u}_{0} \quad \forall t \in I$.

Moreover, a standard result implies that
(8) $\boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{w}(t))=\mathcal{A}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)+\mathcal{R} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) \quad \forall t \in I$.
where $\mathcal{R}: C(I ; Q) \rightarrow C(I ; Q)$.

Define $A: V \rightarrow V, B: V \rightarrow V, C: C(I ; V) \rightarrow C(I ; V)$, $\mathcal{S}: C(I ; V) \rightarrow C(I ; V)$ by equalities
$(A \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})_{V}=(\mathcal{A} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}), \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{v}))_{Q}, \quad(B \boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v})_{V}=(\mathcal{B} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}), \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{v}))_{Q}$,
$(C \boldsymbol{w}(t), \boldsymbol{v})_{V}=\left(\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{C}(t-s) \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{w}(s)) d s, \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{v})\right)_{Q}$,
$(\mathcal{S} \boldsymbol{w}(t), \boldsymbol{v})_{V}=\left(B\left(\int_{0}^{t} \boldsymbol{w}(s) d s+\boldsymbol{u}_{0}\right), \boldsymbol{v}\right)_{v}+(C \boldsymbol{w}(t), \boldsymbol{v})_{V}$,
for all $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}, \in V, \boldsymbol{w} \in C(I ; V), t \in I$.

## Remarks

1. $A$ and $B$ are linear bounded symetric operators.
2. $C, \mathcal{S}$ and $\mathcal{R}$ are history-dependent operators.

Definition. An operator $\mathcal{S}: C(I ; X) \rightarrow C(I ; Y)$ is called a history-dependent operator if for any compact set $K \subset I$ there exists $L_{K}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\mathcal{S} u_{1}(t)-\mathcal{S} u_{2}(t)\right\|_{Y} \leq L_{K} \int_{0}^{t}\left\|u_{1}(s)-u_{2}(s)\right\|_{X} d s \\
& \quad \text { for all } u_{1}, u_{2} \in C(I ; X), \quad t \in K
\end{aligned}
$$

Such operators arise in Solid Mechanics and Contact Mechanics.

Define $j: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \boldsymbol{f}: I \rightarrow V, K: I \rightarrow 2^{V}, \Sigma: I \rightarrow 2^{Q}$ by

$$
j(\boldsymbol{v})=\int_{\Gamma_{3}} F v_{\nu}^{+} d a,
$$

$$
(\boldsymbol{f}(t), \boldsymbol{v})_{v}=\int_{\Omega} \boldsymbol{f}_{0}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{v} d x+\int_{\Gamma_{2}} \boldsymbol{f}_{2}(t) \cdot \boldsymbol{v} d a
$$

$$
K(t)=\boldsymbol{f}(t)-\partial j\left(0_{v}\right)
$$

$$
\Sigma(t)=\left\{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in Q:(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}))_{Q}+j(v) \geq(\boldsymbol{f}(t), \boldsymbol{v})_{v} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V\right\}
$$

for all $\boldsymbol{v} \in V, t \in I$.

## Remarks

1. $j$ is a continuous seminorm on $V$. Therefore, it is convex, positively homogenuous, Lipshitz continuous and $j\left(0_{V}\right)=0$.
2. $\boldsymbol{f}$ has the regularity $\boldsymbol{f} \in C(I ; V)$.
3. For all $t \in I, K(t)$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $V$. Denote by $N_{K(t)}(u)$ the outward normal cone to $K(t)$ at $u$.
4. For all $t \in I, \Sigma(t)$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of $Q$. Moreover,

$$
\Sigma(t)=\Sigma_{0}+\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{f}(t)) \quad \forall t \in I
$$

where

$$
\Sigma_{0}=\left\{\boldsymbol{\sigma} \in Q:(\boldsymbol{\sigma}, \boldsymbol{\varepsilon}(\boldsymbol{v}))_{Q}+j(v) \geq 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V\right\}
$$

## Variational formulations

$\left(P_{w}\right)$ Variational formulation in velocity: Find $\boldsymbol{w}: I \rightarrow V$ s.t.

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left.(A \boldsymbol{w}(t), \boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}(t))_{v}+(\mathcal{S} \boldsymbol{w}(t), \boldsymbol{v})-\boldsymbol{w}(t)\right)_{Q}+j(\boldsymbol{v})-j(\boldsymbol{w}(t)) \\
\geq(\boldsymbol{f}, \boldsymbol{v}-\boldsymbol{w}(t))_{v} \quad \forall \boldsymbol{v} \in V, t \in I .
\end{gathered}
$$

$\left(P_{u}\right)$ Variational formulation in displacement: Find $\boldsymbol{u}: I \rightarrow V$ s.t.

$$
\begin{gathered}
-\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t) \in N_{K(t)}(A \dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)+B \boldsymbol{u}(t)+C(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t)) \quad \forall t \in I \\
\boldsymbol{u}(0)=\boldsymbol{u}_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

$\left(P_{s}\right)$ Variational formulation in stress: Find $\sigma: I \rightarrow V$ s.t.
$\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) \in \Sigma(t), \quad\left(\mathcal{A}^{-1} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)+\mathcal{R} \boldsymbol{\sigma}(t), \boldsymbol{\tau}-\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t)\right)_{Q} \geq 0 \quad \forall \boldsymbol{\tau} \in \Sigma(t), t \in I$.

## Remarks

1. The variational formulations above are obtained by using Green's formula combined with the boundary conditions and the properties of the operators and functions defined above.
2. Problems $\left(P_{w}\right),\left(P_{u}\right)$ and $\left(P_{s}\right)$ represent variational formulations of the the same contact problem. Therefore, besides their unique solvability, there is a need to provide the link between their solutions.
3. Problems $\left(P_{w}\right),\left(P_{u}\right)$ and $\left(P_{s}\right)$ represent different mathematical objects: a history-dependent variational inequality of the second kind, a sweeping proces, and a time-dependent variational inequality of the first kind. Therefore, their solvability is made by using different functional arguments.

## Equivalence result

Theorem 1. Assume that (1)-(6) hold and let $\boldsymbol{w} \in C(I ; V)$, $\boldsymbol{u} \in C^{1}(I ; V), \sigma \in C(I, Q)$ be three functions which satisfy the equalities below, for all $t \in I$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\boldsymbol{u}(t) & =\int_{0}^{t} \boldsymbol{w}(s) d s+\boldsymbol{u}_{0} \\
\boldsymbol{\sigma}(t) & =\mathcal{A} \varepsilon(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(t))+\mathcal{B} \varepsilon(\boldsymbol{u}(t))+\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{C}(t-s) \varepsilon(\dot{\boldsymbol{u}}(s)) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider the following statements:
i) $\boldsymbol{w}$ is a solution of Problem $\left(P_{w}\right)$.
ii) $\boldsymbol{u}$ is a solution of Problem $\left(P_{u}\right)$.
iii) $\boldsymbol{\sigma}$ is a solution of Problem $\left(P_{s}\right)$.

Then, if one of these statements holds, the reminders hold, too.

## Proof

The prof of Theorem 1 is based on the defintions of the sets $K(t)$, $\Sigma(t)$ and operators $A, B, C, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{R}$, combine with the properties of the seminorm $j$.

## Mathematical tools

Theorem 2. Assume that $K$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of the Hilbert space $X, A: X \rightarrow X$ is a strongly monotone Lipschitz continous operator, $\mathcal{S}: C(I ; X) \rightarrow C(I ; X)$ is a history-depdendent operator, $f \in C(I ; X)$ and $\varphi: X \times X \times K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is such that

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { (a) For all } z, u \in X, \varphi(z, u, \cdot) \text { is convex and l.s.c. on } K \text {. } \\
\text { (b) There exist } \alpha>0 \text { and } 0<\beta<m_{A} \text { such that } \\
\varphi\left(z_{1}, u_{1}, v_{2}\right)-\varphi\left(z_{1}, u_{1}, v_{1}\right)+\varphi\left(z_{2}, u_{2}, v_{1}\right)-\varphi\left(z_{2}, u_{2}, v_{2}\right) \\
\leq \alpha\left\|z_{1}-z_{2}\right\| x\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\| x+\beta\left\|u_{1}-u_{2}\right\| x\left\|v_{1}-v_{2}\right\|_{x} \\
\text { for all } z_{1}, z_{2} \in X, u_{1}, u_{2} \in X, v_{1}, v_{2} \in K .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then there exists a unique function $u \in C(I ; X)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(t) \in K, \quad(A u(t), v-u(t))_{X}+\varphi(\mathcal{S} u(t), u(t), v) \\
& \quad-\varphi(\mathcal{S} u(t), u(t), u(t)) \geq(f(t), v-u(t))_{x} \quad \forall v \in K, t \in I .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of Theorem 2 was obtained in

囯 M. Sofonea \& Y. Xiao, Fully history-dependent quasivariational inequalities in Contact Mechanics, Applicable Analysis 95 (2016), 2464-2484.

It is based on a fixed point result for history-dependent operators obtained in

围
M. Sofonea, C. Avramescu \& A. Matei, A fixed point result with applications in the study of viscoplastic frictionless contact problems, Communications on Pure and Applied Analysis 7 (2008), 645-658.

Theorem 3. Assume that $X$ is a real Hilbert space and, moreover:

- $A, B: X \rightarrow X$ are linear bounded symetric positive operators, A being positively defined,
- $j: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a convex positively homogenous Lipschtz continuous function such that $j\left(0_{X}\right)=0$,
- $f \in W^{1,1}(0, T ; X), K(t)=f(t)-\partial j\left(0_{X}\right)$ for all $t \in[0, T]$,
- $u_{0} \in X$ with $B u_{0} \in K(0)$.

Then there exists a unique function $u \in W^{1, \infty}(0, T ; X)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\dot{u}(t) & \in N_{K(t)}(A \dot{u}(t)+B u(t)) \quad \text { a.e. } t \in(0, T) \\
u(0) & =u_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of Theorem 3 was obtained in the recent paper

圊 S．Adly \＆T．Haddad，An implicit sweeping process approach to quasistatic evolution variational inequalities， Mechanics，SIAM Journal of Mathematical Analysis，in press．

It is based on time－discretization method and arguments of maximal monotone operators．

## Existence and uniqueness results

Theorem 2 can be used to obtain the existence of a unique solution of Problem $\left(P_{w}\right)$, with regularity $\boldsymbol{w} \in C(I ; V)$, under assumptions (1)-(6). To this end, an appropriate choice of operators is needed.

It also can be used to obtain the existence of a unique solution of Problem $\left(P_{s}\right)$, with regularity $\sigma \in C(I ; V)$, under assumptions (1)-(6). To this end, the special structure

$$
\Sigma(t)=\Sigma_{0}+\varepsilon(\boldsymbol{f}(t)) \quad \forall t \in I
$$

is crucial.

Moreover, the hypothesis of Theorem 2 allow us to use it in the study of more complicate quasistatic contact problems with:

- nonlinear constitutive operators $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{B}$ (the operators $A$ and $B$ can be nonlinear);
- unilateral constraints in displacements or velocities (the abstract inequality allows unilateral constraints for the unknown).
- various nonlinear boundary conditions ( $j$ is not necessary positively homogenous and could depend on the solution).

Theorem 3 can be used to obtain the existence of a unique solution of Problem ( $P_{u}$ ), with regularity $\boldsymbol{u} \in W^{1, \infty}(0, T ; V)$, under stronger assumptions:

- $I=[0, T]$ with $T>0\left(\right.$ instead of $I=[0, T]$ or $\left.I=\mathbb{R}_{+}\right)$,
- $\mathcal{C} \equiv 0\left(\right.$ instead of $\left.\mathcal{C} \in C\left(I ; \mathbf{Q}_{\infty}\right)\right)$,
- $\boldsymbol{f}_{0} \in W^{1,1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{f}_{2} \in W^{1,1}\left(0, T ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)^{d}\right)$ (instead of $\boldsymbol{f}_{0} \in C\left(I ; L^{2}(\Omega)^{d}\right), \quad \boldsymbol{f}_{2} \in C\left(I ; L^{2}\left(\Gamma_{2}\right)^{d}\right)$.
- $\boldsymbol{u}_{0} \in V$ with $B \boldsymbol{u}_{0} \in K(0)$ (instead of $\boldsymbol{u}_{0} \in V$.)

Moreover, the regularity of the solution is weaker: $\boldsymbol{u} \in W^{1, \infty}(0, T ; V)$ instead of $\boldsymbol{u} \in C^{1}([0, T] ; V)$.

## Conclusions

- The weak formulations in terms of VI are more appropiate than the weak formulations in terms of sweeping process. The reason : the moving convex describes the boundary conditions (in contrast to plasticity problems, where the moving convex is related to the constitutive law).
- Open problem : extention of the results in the paper by Adly and Haddad to more general problems of the form
$-\dot{u}(t) \in N_{K(t)}(A \dot{u}(t)+B u(t)+\mathcal{S} \dot{u}(t))$ a.e. $t \in(0, T), u(0)=u_{0}$,
where $\mathcal{S}$ is history-dependent (linear) operator.


## II. VARIATIONAL-HEMIVARIATIONAL INEQUALITIES FOR ELASTIC CONTACT PROBLEMS

Problem $\mathcal{P}$. Given $f \in Y$ and $g>0$, find $u \in K_{g}$ such that
$\langle A u, v-u\rangle+\varphi(u, v)-\varphi(u, u)+j^{0}(u ; v-u) \geq(f, \pi v-\pi u)_{Y} \quad \forall v \in K_{g}$.

Here:
$X$ - reflexive Banach space of dual $X^{*}$,
$K \subset X, K_{g}=g K$,
$A: X \rightarrow X^{*}, \varphi: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, j: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$,
$Y$ - Hilbert space with the inner product $(\cdot, \cdot)_{Y}$,
$\pi: X \rightarrow Y$.

## Main results

Under appropriate assumptions of the data, the following results have been obtained:

- existence of a unique solution $u=u(f, g)$ of Problem $\mathcal{P}$.
- a weak-strong convergence result:

$$
f_{n} \rightharpoonup f \text { in } Y, \quad g_{n} \rightarrow g \Longrightarrow u\left(f_{n}, g_{n}\right) \rightarrow u(f, g) \text { in } X
$$

- existence of the solution of two optimal control problems in which the controls are $f$ and $g$, respectively.
- convergence results for the corresponding optimal control problems.


## One－dimensional elastic contact problem



Figure：4．Physical setting．

We consider the particular case $L=1, f \in \mathbb{R}$ and we restrict to linear elasticity and monotone contact conditions．

Problem $\mathcal{P}^{1 d}$. Find a displacement field $u:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and a stress field $\sigma:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma(x)=E u^{\prime}(x) \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1), \\
& \sigma^{\prime}(x)+f=0 \quad \text { for } x \in(0,1), \\
& u(0)=0, \\
& \sigma(1)=0 \quad \text { if } u(1)<0 \\
& -F<\sigma(1)<0 \quad \text { if } \quad u(1)=0 \\
& \sigma(1)=-F \quad \text { if } \quad 0<u(1)<g \\
& \sigma(1) \leq-F \\
& \text { if } u(1)=g
\end{aligned}
$$

We use the space

$$
V=\left\{v \in H^{1}(0,1): v(0)=0\right\}
$$

and the set of admissible displacement fields defined by

$$
K_{g}=\{u \in V \mid u(1) \leq g\} .
$$

The variational formulation of Problem $\mathcal{P}^{1 d}$ is the following.
Problem $\mathcal{P}_{V}^{1 d}$. Find a displacement field $u \in K_{g}$ such that
$\int_{0}^{1} E u^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}-u^{\prime}\right) d x+F v(1)^{+}-F u(1)^{+} \geq \int_{0}^{1} f(v-u) d x \quad \forall v \in K_{g}$.

Our abstract results (existence, uniqueness, continuous dependence, control) can be applied in the sudy of Problem $\mathcal{P}_{V}^{1 d}$.

Moreover, a simple calculation allows to solve Problems $\mathcal{P}^{1 d}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{V}^{1 d}$. Four cases are possible, as shown below.
a) $f<0 \Longrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\sigma(x)=-f x+f, \\ u(x)=-\frac{f}{2 E} x^{2}+\frac{f}{E} x\end{array}\right.$
b) $0 \leq f<2 F \Longrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\sigma(x)=-f x+\frac{f}{2}, \\ u(x)=-\frac{f}{2 E} x^{2}+\frac{f}{2} x\end{array}\right.$
c) $2 F \leq f<2 E g+2 F \Longrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\sigma(x)=-f x+f-F, \\ u(x)=-\frac{f}{2 E} x^{2}+\frac{f-F}{E} x\end{array}\right.$
d) $2 E g+2 F \leq f \Longrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}\sigma(x)=-f x+\frac{2 E g+f}{2}, \\ u(x)=-\frac{f}{2 E} x^{2}+\frac{2 E g+f}{2 E} x\end{array}\right.$
for all $x \in[0,1]$.


Figure: 5. The rod in contact with a foundation:
a) The case $f<0$; b) The case $0 \leq f<2 F$;
c) The case $2 F \leq f<2 E g+2 F ;$ d) The case $2 E g+2 F \leq f$.

Consider the following optimal control problem.

Problem $\mathcal{Q}^{1 d}$. Find $\left(u^{*}, g^{*}\right) \in \mathcal{V}_{\text {ad }}$ such that

$$
\mathcal{L}\left(u^{*}, g^{*}\right)=\min _{(u, g) \in \mathcal{V}_{a d}} \mathcal{L}(u, g)
$$

Here

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{L}(u, g)=\alpha|u(1)-\phi|+\beta|g| \\
\mathcal{V}_{a d}=\left\{(u, g) \in K_{g} \times W \text { such that } \mathcal{P}_{V}^{1 d} \text { holds }\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

with $\phi \in \mathbb{R}, \alpha>0, \beta>0, W=\left[g_{0}, \infty\right)$ with $g_{0}>0$.

Mechanical interpretation : given $f$, we are looking for a thickness $g \geq g_{0}$ such that the displacement of the rod in $x=1$ is as close as possible to the "desired displacement" $\phi$. Furthermore, this choice has to fulfill a minimum expenditure condition.

$$
(\mathcal{L}(u, g)=\alpha|u(1)-\phi|+\beta|g| .)
$$

The existence of the solution of Problem $\mathcal{Q}^{1 d}$ follows from our abstract existence result. An analytic expression can be provided. Some numerical examples show that the solution could be unique or not.

## Numerical example

We take $E=1, f=10, F=2, \phi=4$ and $g_{0}=1$ which implies that $W=[1,+\infty)$. It is easy to see that
(s) $u(x)= \begin{cases}-5 x^{2}+(g+5) x & \text { if } 1 \leq g \leq 3, \\ -5 x^{2}+8 x & \text { if } g>3\end{cases}$
for all $x \in[0,1]$ and, therefore,

$$
\mathcal{L}(u, g)= \begin{cases}(\beta-\alpha) g+4 \alpha & \text { if } 1 \leq g \leq 3 \\ \beta g+\alpha & \text { if } g>3\end{cases}
$$

## We note that:

a) If $\beta>\alpha>0$ then the optimal control problem $\mathcal{Q}^{1 d}$ has a unique solution $\left(u^{*}, g^{*}\right)$ where $g^{*}=1$ and $u^{*}$ is given by (s) with $g=g^{*}$.
b) If $\beta=\alpha$ then the optimal control problem $\mathcal{Q}^{1 d}$ has an infinity of solutions of the form $\left(u^{*}, g^{*}\right)$ where $g^{*}$ is any value in the interval $[1,3]$ and $u^{*}$ is given by (s) with $g=g^{*}$.
c) If $0<\beta<\alpha$ then the optimal control problem $\mathcal{Q}^{1 d}$ has a unique solution $\left(u^{*}, g^{*}\right)$ where $g^{*}=3$ and $u^{*}$ is given by (s) with $g=g^{*}$.
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