

# Laboratorio di Apprendimento Automatico

Fabio Aioli

Università di Padova

# Model Selection and Hold-out

- Most of the time, the learner is parametric. These parameters should be optimized by testing which values of the parameters yield the best effectiveness.
- **Hold-out procedure**
  1. A small subset of  $Tr$ , called the validation set (or hold-out set), denoted  $Va$ , is identified
  2. A classifier is learnt using examples in  $Tr-Va$ .
  3. Step 2 is performed with different values of the parameters, and tested against the hold-out sample
- In an operational setting, after parameter optimization, one typically re-trains the classifier on **the entire training corpus**, in order to boost effectiveness (debatable step!)
- It is possible to show that the evaluation performed in Step 2 gives an **unbiased estimate** of the error performed by a classifier learnt with the same parameters and with training set of cardinality  $|Tr|-|Va| < |Tr|$

# K-fold Cross Validation

- An alternative approach to model selection (and evaluation) is the K-fold cross-validation method
- **K-fold CV procedure**
  - K different classifiers  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k$  are built by partitioning the initial corpus  $Tr$  into  $k$  disjoint sets  $Va_1, \dots, Va_k$  and then iteratively applying the Hold-out approach on the  $k$ -pairs  $\langle Tr_i = Tr - Va_i, Va_i \rangle$
  - Effectiveness is obtained by individually computing the effectiveness of  $h_1, \dots, h_k$ , and then averaging the individual results
- The special case  $k = |Tr|$  of  $k$ -fold cross-validation is called **leave-one-out** cross-validation

# Evaluation for unbalanced data

- Classification accuracy:
  - usual in ML,
  - the proportion of correct decisions,
  - Not appropriate if the population rate of the class is low
- Precision, Recall and  $F_1$ 
  - Better measures

# Contingency Table

	Relevant	Not Relevant
Retrieved	True positives ( <b>tp</b> )	False positives ( <b>fp</b> )
Not Retrieved	False negatives ( <b>fn</b> )	True negatives ( <b>tn</b> )

$$\pi = \frac{tp}{tp+fp}$$

$$\rho = \frac{tp}{tp+fn}$$

Why NOT using the accuracy  $\alpha = \frac{tp+tn}{tp+fp+tn+fn}$  ?

# Effectiveness for Binary Retrieval: Precision and Recall

If relevance is assumed to be binary-valued, effectiveness is typically measured as a combination of

- **Precision**: the “degree of soundness” of the system

$$\pi = Pr(Rel|Ret) = \frac{|\hat{Rel} \cap \hat{Ret}|}{|\hat{Ret}|}$$

- **Recall**: the “degree of completeness” of the system

$$\rho = Pr(Ret|Rel) = \frac{|\hat{Rel} \cap \hat{Ret}|}{|\hat{Rel}|}$$

# F measure

- A measure that trades-off precision versus recall?  
*F-measure* (weighted harmonic mean of the precision and recall)

$$F = \frac{(\beta^2 + 1)\pi\rho}{\beta^2\pi + \rho}$$

$$F_{\beta=1} = \frac{2\pi\rho}{\pi + \rho}$$

$\beta < 1$  emphasizes precision!

# ROC

- Receiver Operating Characteristic
- False Negative Rate plotted against the False Positive Rate



# AUC (area under the ROC)

Efficient computation of AUC

- Assume  $h(\mathbf{x})$  returns a real quantity (larger values  $\Rightarrow$  class 1)
- Sort  $\mathbf{x}_i$  according to  $h(\mathbf{x}_i)$ . Number the sorted points from 1 to  $N$  such that  $r(i)$  = the rank of data point  $\mathbf{x}_i$

AUC = probability that a randomly chosen example from class 1 ranks above a randomly chosen example from class 0 = the Wilcoxon-Mann-Whitney statistic

# Adaboost con WEKA

- Ionosphere
- Iris