

Task model summary – 2


- Task communication
 - Shared variables with mutually exclusive access Ada: protected objects with procedures and functions
 - No conditional synchronization
 - Except for sporadic task activationAda: PO with a single entry
- Scheduling model
 - Fixed-priority pre-emptive
 Ada: FIFO within priorities
- Access protocol for shared objects Immediate priority ceiling
 - Ada: Ceiling_Locking policy




configuration pragma pragma Profile (Ravenscar);

which is equivalent to a set of Ada restrictions and three additional configuration pragmas:

pragma Task_Dispatching_Policy (FIF0_Within_Priorities); pragma Locking_Policy (Ceiling_Locking); pragma Detect_Blocking;





Potentially blocking operations

- Potentially blocking operations
 - Protected entry call statement
 - Delay until statement
- Call on a subprogram whose body contains a potentially blocking operation
- Pragma Detect_Blocking requires detection of potentially blocking operations
- Exception Program_Error must be raised if detected at run-time
- Blocking need not be detected if it occurs in the
- domain of a foreign language (e.g. C)

Other run-time checks

- · Priority ceiling violation
 - More than one call waiting on a protected entry or a suspension object
 - Program_Error must be raised in both cases
- Task termination

7 of 11

- Program behaviour must be documented - Possible effects include
 - Silent termination
 - Holding the task in a pre-terminated state

 - Execution on an application-defined termination handler
 Use of the new Ada.Task_Termination package (C.7.3)

8 of 119

Other restrictions

- Some restrictions on the sequential part of the language may be useful in conjunction with the Ravenscar profile

ng properties at run time


- No_Dispatch
 No_IO
 No_Recursion
- No_Unchecked_Access
- No_Allocators
- No_Local_Allocators See ISO/IEC TR 15942, Guide for the use of the Ada Programming Language in High Integrity Systems for the details

Execution-time measurement

- The CPU time consumed by tasks can be monitored
- Per-task CPU clocks can be defined
 - Set at 0 before task activation
 - The clock value increases as the task executes

Ada.Execution_Time with Ada.Task_Identification; with Ada.Real_Time; use Ada.Real_Time;

package Ada. Execution_Time is
type CPU_Time is private;
CPU_Time_First : constant CPU_Time;
CPU_Time_Last : constant CPU_Time;
CPU_Time_Unit : constant := implementation-defined-real-number;
CPU_Tick : constant Time_Span;
function Clock
(T : Ada. Task_I denti fi cati on. Task_I d
:= Ada.Task_Identification.Current_Task)
return CPU_Time;
end Ada. Execution_Time;
Excerpts from Ada-Europe 2008 Tutorial T4 – June 16, 2008 11 of 119




erving properties at run time	
Ada.Execution_Time.Time	rs
<pre>with System; package Ada.Execution_Time.Timers is type Timer (7 : not null access constant</pre>	
tagged limited private; type Timer_Handler is	
access protected procedure (TM : in out Timer); Min_Handler_Ceiling : constant System.Any_Priority := implementation-defined;	
<pre>procedure Set_Handler (TM : In out Timer;</pre>	
<pre>procedure Set_Handler (TM : In out Timer;</pre>	
end Ada. Execution_Time. Timers;	
Excerpts from Ada-Europe 2008 Tutorial T4 - June 16, 2008	13 of '


Group budgets


- Groups of tasks with a global executiontime budget can be defined
 - Basic mechanism for server-based scheduling

14 of 119

 Can be used to provide temporal isolation among groups of tasks







Priority-band dispatching

- Mixed policies can coexist within a single partition
 - Priority specific dispatching policy can be set by configuration
 - Protected objects can be used for tasks to communicate across different policies
 - Tasks do not move across bands

eserving properties at run time

An object-oriented approach

- · Real-time components are objects
 - Instances of classes
 - Internal state + interfaces
 - Based on a reduced set of archetypes
 - Cyclic & sporadic tasks
 - Protected data
 - Passive data


Two ways to ensure consistent temporal behavior


- Static WCET analysis and response-time analysis can be used to assert correct temporal behavior at design time
- Platform mechanisms can be used at run time to ensure that temporal behavior stays within the asserted boundaries

 Clocks, timers, timing events, ...
- Conveniently complementary approaches

Run-time services

- The execution environment must provide runtime services to preserve properties asserted at model level
 - Real-time clocks & timers
 - Execution-time clocks & timers
 - Predictable scheduling
- We assume an execution environment implementing the Ravenscar model
 - Ada 2005 with the Ravenscar profile
 - Augmented with (restricted) execution-time timers







· Passive component


Cyclic component


- · Clock-activated activity with fixed rate
- Attributes
 - Period
 - Deadline
 - Worst-case execution time
- The most basic cyclic code pattern does not need the synchronization agent
 - The system clock delivers the activation event
 - The component behavior is fixed and immutable








25 of 119
































Deadline overruns

- Deadline overruns in a task may occur as a result of
 - Higher priority tasks executing more often than expected
 - Prevented with inter-arrival time enforcement
 - Execution time of the same or higher priority tasks longer than stipulated

43 of 119

- Programming errors
- Bounding assertions violated by functional code
- Inaccurate WCET analysis


eserving properties at run time


Detection of deadline overruns


- Deadline overruns can be detected at run time with the help of timing events
 - A mechanism for requiring some application-level action to be executed at a given time
 - Timing events can only exist at library level under the Ravenscar Profile


44 of 119


- Statically allocatedA minor optimization may be possible for
- periodic tasks
 - Which however breaks the symmetry of patterns


















50 of 119


- Extended profile














Modifiers

- Cyclic and sporadic objects may have modifier operations
 - Mode change, behavior modifications, etc.
- ATC not allowed in Ravenscar
 - Modifier requests are queued in the OBCS
 Synchronization agent now required for cyclic
 - components as well
 - The thread takes requests from the queue and executes them whenever possible

56 of 119







GNAT for LEON

- Cross-compilation system targeted to LEON2 computers
 - Radiation-hardened SPARC v8
 - ESA standard

Components

- GNAT Ada 2005 compiler (Ada Core)
- GNARL run-time system (Ada Core)
- ORK+ kernel
- (UPM)

61 of 119

GNAT compiler

- Ada 2005 cross-compilation system
 Hosted on GNU/Linux
 - Targeted to ELF-SPARC v8
 - real hardware or simulators
- Current version: GNAT GPL 2007

62 of 119

- Supports Ada 2005
- Ported to LEON2 at UPM
 - Including Ravenscar run-time

Vetrue properties at true toORK+• Lightweight real-time kernel for the
Ravenscar tasking model• Evolution of ORK• Developed at UPM under ESA contract• New Ada 2005 features• Timing events• Execution-time clocks and timers• Group budgets

